

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT / Evaluation Report

Name of Work

Rehabilitation of Scheme Damaged During Heavy Rain 2011, Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah Taluka, Shaheed Patal Rahu District Badin.

NIT No. TC/PH/1794 Dated 10-09-2012

Date of Issue 01-10-2012 Date of opening 02-10-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Chief Engineer P.H. Engg. Deptt. Hyderabad vide No: DB/DEW/1582 Dated 06-07-2012 amounting to Rs. 4127520.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	3208926.00	✓
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	398512.00	✓
3	Cartage.	Rs.	291792.00	✓
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	72899.00	✓
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	186735.00	✓
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R.C.	Rs.	430.00	✓
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	6246.00	✓
8	10% Profit on Specials	Rs.	11978.00	✓
Total Sanction Estimate.		Rs.	4127518.00	
Bog Amount		Rs.	4127520.00	

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	Mr. Ahyo Charin	52.35% Above
2	M/s Qureshi Traders	33.00% Above
3	M/s Shahid Builders	34.50% Above
LOWEST BID COST		
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 3208926.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 398512.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 32.3500% above on S. Item	Rs. 1038088.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 216310.00
5	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 4861836.00
6	Less Estimate Cost	Rs. 412752.00
7	Difference	Rs. 734316.00

The lowest B-I Percentage i.e. tender in favour of Mr. Ahyo Charin is 52.35% i.e. difference amounting to Rs. 36,07,438/- (Rupees Thirty Six Lacs & Seven Thousand Four hundred = Thirty eight) only @ 32.35% above on the Schedule Items being 17.79% above on the estimated cost to hereby accepted. No. Premium will be allowed on Non-Schedule Items.

NOTE:- The payment of escalation of prices of cement, steel, bricks etc will be subject to intance of market rate @ the date of execution of work @ site in the special clause of B-I Agreement.

734316.00 x 100 = 17.79% Above on Est. Cost

Senior Assistant Engineer  
Public Health Engg. Circle  
Hyderabad

Divisional Accounts Officer  
Public Health Engg. Divn.  
BADIN

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG. DIVISION  
BADIN.

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. Public Health Engg: Department Govt. of Sindh
- 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER UNICEF
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rehabilitation of Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 1 No:
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Rehabilitation of Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Public Health Engg: Department Govt. of Sindh
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.949 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE N/A  
( For Civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT 4 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON ( DATE & TIME) 02-10-2012 (1:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 3 Nos  
( Attached) list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 3 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 3 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT 3 Nos  
(Enclosed a Copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mr. Aliyo Charin  
Government Contractor Village Muhammad Rahim Nizamani Taluka Matli District Badin.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 4.862 Million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT ( i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) Mr. Aliyo Charin (1st Lowest)  
  
M/s Qureshi Traders  
M/s Shahid Builders
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- ( Ticke one)
- |  |                                     |                  |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| (b) SIGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE       | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                  |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE              | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                  |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                  |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health  
Engg. Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Daily Koshish 13-09-2012 2) Daily Ibrat 14-09-2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID ( in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES  
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
( If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS  
(If yes give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
( If yes, give reasons

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA  
( If yes, give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL, OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S  
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING  
FINANCING OF VIST, IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE  
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)


Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation  
report on SPPRA website

  
Executive Engineer,  
Public Health Engg: Division  
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291





19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health  
Engg: Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Daily Koshish 13-09-2012 2) Daily Ibrat 14-09-2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID ( in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES  
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
( If yes,result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS  
(If yes give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
( if yes ,give reasons

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA -  
( If yes ,give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S  
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING  
FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE  
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	
No	✓

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation  
report on SPPRA website

  
Executive Engineer,  
Public Health Engg. Division  
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



## LIST OF BUYERS

Name of Work :- 1) Rehabilitation of Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah  
Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin

2) Rehabilitation of Rural Water Supply Scheme Tarai  
Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin

Place:- Office of the Executive Engineer Public Health Engg.  
Division Badin on Dated 02-10-2012 at 1.00 P.M

The following bidders attended the public opening of bids.

S#	Name of Bidders
1	Mr. Aliyo Charin
2	M/s Qureshi Traders
3	M/s Z.H Constt:
4	M/s Shahid Builders