

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY) KASHMORE- KANDHKOT

NO.DEO(P) KK/-

218

Kandhkot

dated:-

4/5/15

To,

Imran Razzak,
Deputy Director (Enf-I)
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh
Karachi.

**SUBJECT: NIT NO. DEO(PRY)KK/DEV/SSB-2014-15/890/2015, DATED 06.02.2015
INF/KRY/502/15, PUBLISHED IN DAILY "THE NEWS, EXPRESS &
AWAMI AWAZ" DATED 13.02.2015 (Sr. No. 22795)**

Reference :- your letter No. Dir(Enf-I)/0412/SPPRA/3-33(K@K)14-15/8008 dated 28th April 2015

On the subject cited above, we submit our para wise reply as under:-

- 1) The Bid Evaluation Report is signed by the "Actual Member" of the PC i.e. XEN, Education Works Kashmore, but the "Stamp" is mistakenly affixed of Executive Engineer Buildings Division Kashmore. Now the corrected copy with "stamp of XEN Education Works Kashmore" is enclosed.
- 2) The Signatures of "Actual Members of P.C" are obtained where their nominated representatives participated on their behalf.
- 3) The bids were opened on 10.3.2015 but due to typing mistake date was written as 10.02.2015. The corrections have been done in the Bid Evaluation Report and copy of corrected copy is attached.
- 4) The reply of your letter dated 07.04.2015 is attached here with.
- 5) The Bid Evaluation Report and Comparative statement signed by the members of PC is hereby attached.

SPPRA INWARD DIARY

NO:

DATED:

6598
07-05-15

(Mst. Khadija)
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER
(Primary) KASHMORE-KANDHKOT

Copy to:

- 1) The Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana.
- 2) P.S to Secretary Education & Literacy Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- 3) The Chief Program Manager, Reform Support Unit (RSU) Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh, Karachi.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY) KASHMORE- KANDHKOT

NO.DEO(P) KK/-

Kandhkot

dated:-

4/5/15

To,

Imran Razzak,
Deputy Director (Enf-I)
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh
Karachi.

**SUBJECT: NIT NO. DEO(PRY)KK/DEV/SSB-2014-15/890/2015, DATED 06.02.2015
INF/KRY/502/15, PUBLISHED IN DAILY "THE NEWS, EXPRESS &
AWAMI AWAZ" DATED 13.02.2015 (Sr. No. 22795)**

Reference:- your letter No. Dir(Enf-I)/0412/SPPRA/3-22(K@K)14-15/7329 dated 7th April 2015

On the subject cited above, we submit our para wise reply as under:-

- 1) We will take care to submit the bid documents prior to the date of expiry for our future bids. As far as the subject NIT is concerned, there was no complaint from interested bidding firm(s) about denial from issuing bid documents. All those bidders who purchased bids from other districts of Larkana Region were the same bidders who purchased bids from the office of undersigned.
- 2) The complaint from M/s Faiz Scientific Company and others were placed before the CRC on dated 10-04-2015. The decision of CRC was sent to you on dated 17-4-2015 through TCS dated: 22-4-2015 is hereby once again attached.



(Mst. Khadija)

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER
(Primary) KASHMORE-KANDHKOT

Copy to:

- 1) The Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana.
- 2) P.S to Secretary Education & Literacy Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- 3) The Chief Program Manager, Reform Support Unit (RSU) Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh, Karachi.

DIRECTOR SCHOOLS EDUCATION REGION LARKANA

NO. DSE (PRY)/ 132/2015

Dated: 17/4/2015

To,

- 1) M/s Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi.
- 2) M/s Unique Supplies Company, Karachi.
- 3) M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's


SUBJECT: DECISION OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

Your complainant / grievances against the Bid Evaluation Reports for utilization of School Specific Budgets under object codes viz In-class Material, Stationary items and Lab/Library items prepared by the Procurement Committees of five Districts of Larkana Region, were placed before the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) as Notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh.

The CRC fixed date of hearing on 10th April 2015 at 10.00am in the office of Director Schools Education Larkana Region, Larkana, where your authorized representatives presented the grievances in writing to the members of CRC.

After hearing your verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined the record and conducting detailed scrutiny of your profile / Technical Proposals. The CRC members were not convinced on your grievances. Therefore the CRC unanimously endorsed the decision of Procurement Committee.

Copy of detailed decision of CRC is enclosed herewith.


Director School Education (Primary)
(Larkana Region)

Copy to :

1. P. S. Secretary Education & Literacy Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. Director A & F, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi.
3. The District Education Officers of District Larkano, Kambar, Shahdad Kot, Jacobabad, Shikarpur and Kashmore, Kandhkot.

①

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

Directorate of Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region

**Complaints against the Bid Evaluation Reports prepared by the
Procurement Committee for utilization of School Specific Budget for F/Y 2014-15.**

COMPLAINANTS

1. M/s Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi
2. M/s Unique Supplies Company, Karachi
3. M/s Salah Brothers, Khairpur

v/s

Bid Evaluation Reports prepared by Procurement Committees of 5 districts in Larkana Region

COMPLAINT U/R # 31 OF THE SPPRA RULES 2010

AMENDED UP TO 2013.

The complainants mentioned above moved applications against the Bid Evaluation Reports for utilization of School Specific Budgets under object codes viz In-class Material, Stationary items and Lab/Library items prepared by the Procurement Committees of five Districts of Larkana Region.

All three complaints were placed before the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) as Notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh vide Notification No. SO(G-III)EDU/E&A/SSB/RSU/13-14 dated: 3rd December 2014 comprising of following five persons:


Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

(2)

- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1) Anwar Ali Khokhar | Director Schools Education (Primary) | Chairman |
| 2) Gul Bahar Magsi | Assistant Director DSE Primary | Secretary |
| 3) Mushtaq Ali Shahani | Rep. of Accountant General Sindh | Member |
| 4) M. Hassan Sollangi | AO BISE Larkana Indpdnt Professional | Member |
| 5) Pervez Ali Tunio | Procurement Specialist from RSU | Member |

The CRC fixed date of hearing on 10th April 2015 in the office of Director Schools Education Larkana Region, Larkana, where the above mentioned complainants participated through their authorized representatives.

List showing the name of Members of complaint Redressed committee who attended the meeting regarding grievances of contractors who disqualify in the Technical Education of Bids for Supply of In - Class Material, Library, Laboratory and stationary items under school Specific Budget 2015-15 held on 10.04.2015, at 10:00 AM in the committee Room of Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

Sr.No.	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No.	Signature
01	Anwar Ali Khokhar	Director Schools 03082381142	0308 2361142	
02	Mushtaq Ali Shahani	A.A. O.A.K	0332-2512011	
03	Gul Bahar Magsi	Asst. Direct	03313423791	
04	Pervez Ali Tunio	DC - LRA LRA Asst. PC (RSU)	0300 2416908	
05	Muhammed Hassan Solangi	AO BISE LRA	0308 3419442	

11

Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

(3)

Brief Facts of the Tendering Process:

- 1) Tenders were invited by the District Education Officers Primary (DEOPs) of Larkana, Kambar, Shikarpur, Kashmore and Jacobabad for utilization of School Specific Budget 2014-2015.
- 2) The Bid Documents were for each item object code prepared by the Reform Support Unit Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh, Karachi. Such Bid Documents were issued by the DEOs of the concerned Districts to the interested bidding firms upon submission of tender fee.
- 3) The Evaluation criteria was mentioned in the Bid Documents, and the interested bidding firms were required to submit their Technical Proposals in accordance with the Bid Evaluation Criteria (as mentioned in the bid Document) which is reproduced below:

Evaluation Criteria For School Specific Budget 2014-15

<i>The Technical Bids Shall be Evaluated on the basis of following parameters:</i>				
Sr#	Evaluation Parameters		Total Marks	Brief
	Company / Firm / Individual Information			
	Required Field	Marks		
1	* No of Years in Business	10	45	02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)
	* Annual Turnover in Millions	15		0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)
	* NTN Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate
	* Sales Tax Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department
2	Technical Proposal * Specifications & Brochures	10	10	10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.
3	Financial Capabilities		25	
	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years	10		02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years	5		01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months	10		1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)
5	Relevant Field Experience	20	20	04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment
Note: Firm must get 70% marks in Technical Evaluation for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria				

Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

9

- 4) The procurement Committee was notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department vide notification NO. SO(G-III)/SSB/FW-01/2012 dated: 3rd December 2014 to conduct evaluation of the Technical Proposals of the Bidding firms and prepare a Bid Evaluation Report.
- 5) In the light of the Bid Evaluation Criteria, the Procurement Committee prepared reports, issued letter to those who did not qualify in their Technical Proposals.
- 6) The Complainants being aggrieved with the decision of Procurement Committee submitted their grievances in the light of Rule # 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010 (amended up to 2013).
- 7) The Complainants were invited to present their grievances personally in writing and verbally, before the Complaint Redressal Committee Members on 10th April at 10 am in the office of Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region, Larkana.
- 8) All three Complainants i.e. M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi through its representative Mr. Abdul Rauf Khan, M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi through its representative Syed Nabeel Hussain Zaidi and M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's through its representative Mr. Umar Salah participated in the proceedings of CRC ON 10TH April 2015.
- 9) The representatives of all three complaints were personally heard by the members of CRC. The complainants also submitted their para-wise grievances in writing on the Bid Evaluation Reports.
- 10) The Bid Evaluation Report as prepared by the Procurement Committee is reproduced for each of the complainant on next page.


Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

**Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Larkana, Kambar, Shikarpur, Kashmore and Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY.**

S#	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	INCOME TAX # 0901411-0 is registered at FBR w.e.f 01-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200850800891 w.e.f 27-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	Annual Turn over in Millions: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has NOT provided last year Annual Income Tax Return for assessment of its Annual Sales Turnover for the last year, thus it acquires Zero Marks.	None
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # 0901411-0 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate. 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200850800891 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	This firm has Not provided Annual Income Tax Return for the year 2014 in all districts except Jacobabad, but has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria. Bidder has not provided Audited Balance Sheet for the year 2014. Bidder has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria. It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months. However acquired Marks: 8 marks for Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns, 4 marks for Four Years Audited Financial Statements. No marks as Sales Tax summaries are not provided.	8
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)		4
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)		None
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. This firm has not provided any supply order in 2012, 2013 & 2014 but provided some old supply orders of year 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. Such old supply orders cannot be accepted. However acquires ZERO Marks	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY,			52

Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

**Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY.**

S #	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	This firm's INCOME TAX # 0901384-9 is registered at FBR w.e.f 28-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200701300146 w.e.f 31-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of this firm for last year is approx. 11.456 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 458,277 for last year, thus it acquires (11.45 x 0.75) 8.5 Marks.	8.5
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # # 0901384-9 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate, 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200701300146 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	Bidding Firm has provided Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years and acquired 10 marks. Bidding Firm has not provided Balance Sheet (Financial Statement) for the year 2014 and provided for the rest four years however acquires 4 marks. As required in Tender that the Bidding Firms should provide last 10 Months Sales Summaries (Apr-2014 to Jan-2015), but This firm provided Sales Tax Summaries only for the month of May, June & Oct-2014. This bidder has provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required, however acquires 03 marks. TOTAL 17 Marks	10
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)		4
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)		3
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	This firm has not provided any supply order of "Complex Assignment in 2014 and/or 2013" but provided "ordinary nature supply orders" of years 2012, 2011, 2009, 2008, 2006 and 2005. Sales Tax Summaries are only provided for three months which also do not confirm that this firm has made sales of complex assignments during past 10 months The Bidder has attached one supply order of 2014 which is on the name of M/s Science and Computer Link. Only one supply order for the year 2014 is attached for Rs. 365,160/- which is also of ordinary nature and cannot be considered at place of "complex assignment" however this firm does not qualify for the marks	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY,			65.5

Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

7

***Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Kashmore and Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S SALAH BROTHERS KHAIRPUR MIR'S.***

S#	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	This firm's INCOME TAX # 1538892-1 is registered at FBR w.e.f 30-April-2003, SALES TAX # 0108940300137 w.e.f 23-Feb-2004. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 11 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of last year is 0.105 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is Rs.4,200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 Marks	None
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # 2153038-6 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate. 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 0108940300137 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	a) Bidder has not provided Income Tax Return for the year 2013 but has provided Acknowledgement which was not required in the evaluation criteria. Bidder has provided Annual Income Tax Returns for rest of the years Acquires 8 marks	8
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)	b) Bidder has provided Audited Financial Statements. Acquires 5 marks	5
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)	c) The Bidder has not provided the required Document i.e. "SALES TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria, hence the provided documents cannot be accepted and no marks can be given for this.	None
		Total 13 marks acquired by the bidder	
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	Since the bidder has not produced supply orders of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which cannot meet the requirement of Evaluation Criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration special consideration accepted two projects as mentioned in the table above for the year 2013 however 8 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders.	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's			53


 Director Schools Education
 (Primary) Larkana Reninn Larkana

(8)

- 11) The complaint letters, para-wise grievances, authority letters of representatives and other correspondence / letters are annexed at the end of this document.
- 12) The CRC members examined para-wise grievances and contents of Bid Evaluation Report for each of the Complainant separately and re-visited the profiles / Technical proposals of each complainant in detail. After conducting detailed scrutiny in the light of para-wise grievances raised by each of the complainant the CRC prepared findings & decisions for each of the complainant as under:-

M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi

Turnover : Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Annual Turn over in Millions: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has NOT provided last year Annual Income Tax Return for assessment of its Annual Sales Turnover for the last year, thus it acquires Zero Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your tender document evaluation criteria did not demanded such documents, your objection is not valid as it was evaluated with different criteria (not asked in tender) your assessment is based on other suppliers, you have qualified, that's why it is conflicting with your tender document which is discriminatory

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be assessed from the "official documents" such as **Annual Income Tax Return** and/or **Monthly Sales Tax Summaries**. However assessment of annual turnover was rightly calculated by the Procurement Committee from Last year's Annual Income Tax Return. The official documents were required in the Bid Evaluation Criteria,

CRC Decision for this para However the grievance for this para has no legal weight. Thus the CRC endorses the decision of Procurement Committee.

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This firm has Not provided Annual Income Tax Return for the year 2014 in all districts (except Jacobabad), but has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria. Bidder has not provided Audited Balance Sheet for the year 2014. Bidder has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria. It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months. However it acquired Marks: 8 marks for Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns, 4 marks for

B-11
Director Schools Education
(Primary) Lahore District, Lahore

(2)

Four Years Audited Financial Statements. No marks, as Sales Tax summaries are not provided.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We have submitted documents as per your tender evaluation criteria

- (1) Income Tax annual return of 5 years
 - (2) Audited Financial Statement of 5 years
 - (3) Monthly Sale Tax Summaries of last 10 months
- (we are eligible for all marks in total) 25 marks

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The CRC examined Profile of M/s Faiz Scientific Company and found that it has not provided Annual Income Tax Returns for the period from 2010 to 2014 but it has provided from 2009 to 2013 and so also for Audited Financial Statements. The Bidder has also not provided the required Sales Tax Summaries but instead of that it has provided Sales Tax Returns.


CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance for this para has no legal grounds and weight, thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience : Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. This firm has not provided any supply order in 2012, 2013 & 2014 but provided some old supply orders of years 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. Such old supply orders cannot be accepted. However acquires ZERO Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your objection is conflicting with your tender document's evaluation criteria. There was never mentioned consecutive year. Please give us from where you have got this word in tender documents. We have submitted the required work orders and eligible to get full marks (20). This seems that some other suppliers submitted criteria.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders. It was found from the available record/profile of the bidder that this bidding firm instead of providing Sales Tax Summaries provided Sales Tax Returns for last 18 months (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) and all these Returns have are carrying Zero Turnover in last 18 months, however it confirm that this firm has made ZERO TURNOVER during past eighteen months.


Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance for this para is un-justified and groundless. Hence the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Faiz Scientific Company stands as disqualified.

M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi

Turnover : Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of this firm for last year is approx. 11.456 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 458,277 for last year, thus it acquires (11.45 x 0.75) 8.5 Marks..

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We have submitted 3 years Financial Statements which shows us eligible for full marks


CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be considered for last year, as the evaluation criteria at para No. 3 required "Sales Tax Summaries" for last 10 months, because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience, supported by purchase orders.

CRC Decision for this para: The grievances submitted by the bidder for summing up last three years amount cannot be permitted, however the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Bidding Firm has provided Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years and acquired 10 marks. Bidding Firm has not provided Balance Sheet (Financial Statement) for the year 2014 and provided for the rest four years however acquires 4 marks. As required in Tender that the Bidding Firms should provide last 10 Months Sales Summaries (Apr-2014 to Jan-2015), but This firm provided Sales Tax Summaries only for the month of May, June & Oct-2014. This bidder has provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required, however acquires 03 marks. TOTAL 17 Marks

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We had submitted all sales tax summaries as per your tender evaluation criteria. Your criteria did not mentioned for non-acceptance of zero balance sales tax returns with


Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

11

zero turnover can be submitted as your evaluation criteria did not specified in the same.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The CRC examined Profile of M/s Unique Supplies Company and did not found Sales Tax Summaries as claimed by the complainant. Only three months Sales Tax Summaries were available in their profile. The Procurement Committee has already given them 03 marks.

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance of complainant for this para were not justified and groundless. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience : Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This firm has not provided any supply order of "Complex Assignment in 2014 and/or 2013" but provided "ordinary nature supply orders" of years 2012, 2011, 2009, 2008, 2006 and 2005. Sales Tax Summaries are only provided for three months which also do not confirm that this firm has made sales of complex assignments during past 10 months

The Bidder has attached one supply order of 2014 which is on the name of M/s Science and Computer Link. Only one supply order for the year 2014 is attached for Rs. 365,160/- which is also of ordinary nature and cannot be considered at place of "complex assignment" however this firm does not qualify for the marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your Tender Evaluation Criteria never specified the requirement of last year work orders. Therefore our submitted work orders should be accepted. The relevant Field Experience consider for previous background of the company. That is why we have submitted five years work orders.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders.

CRC Decision for this para: However the complainant's grievance that there was not specified in the evaluation criteria for last years work order, is unjustified and unsubstantiated. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Unique Supplies Company stands as disqualified.

No 3

**Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana**

(17)

M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's

Turnover :

Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)


Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of last year is 0.105 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is Rs.4,200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: It is nowhere written in the evaluation criteria for School Specific Budget 2014-15 that Annual Turnover will be checked from Income Tax Annual Return. And nowhere it is written that only the last year Annual Income Tax Return will be checked. Moreover, the word Annual means Yearly and it does mean any particular of specific year. Since you have required the 5 years Annual Income Tax Return as mentioned in the evaluation criteria (Financial capabilities) therefore my 5 years Annual Income Tax return should be checked. You can only check my annual turnover from the Bank Account Maintenance Certificate or Bank Statement. The details of the 5 years annual Income Tax return is given below:

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Paid	Annual Sales Turnover in Millions	Marks 0.75 per Million
2014	4200	0.105	0
2013	29752	0.850	0
2012	253792	7.25	5.25
2011	79741	2.27	1.5
2010	319910	9.44	6.75
		Total marks	13.5

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be assessed from last year, because it was clearly mentioned at para No. 3 of the Evaluation Criteria that bidder should submit last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries because Sales Tax Summaries are the authentic documents to evaluated turnover which should match with the declarations on Annual Income Tax return. The Bidders Sales Tax Summaries were not found in its profile, but there were ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which even were carrying zero value in turnover. However the bidders has further submitted to evaluate his turn over from Bank Statement or Bank Certificate. This can also not be considered as both the documents were required.

CRC Decision for this para However Bidder's grievance cannot be considered for summing several years together. Since Annual Income Tax Return and "Sales Tax Summaries" are the authentic & Official documents. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.


Director Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region Larkana

13

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Bidder has not provided Income Tax Return for the year 2013 but has provided Acknowledgement which was not required in the evaluation criteria. Bidder has provided Annual Income Tax Returns for rest of the years Acquires 8 marks. Bidder has provided Audited Financial Statements. Acquires 5 marks The Bidder has not provided the required Document i.e. "SALES TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria, hence the provided documents cannot be accepted and no marks can be given for this. Total 13 marks acquired by the bidder

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: 1) Annual Income Tax Returns: I was awarded 8 marks out of 10, I have not attached acknowledgement for the year 2013 in the company profile but I have attached the annual income tax return 2013. Therefore I would request you to proper check it and award 10 marks.
2) Monthly Sales Tax Summaries: I would request you to please recheck my profile properly. I have attached the Sales Tax Monthly Summaries and acknowledgement and sales tax monthly return. Moreover, this can also be confirmed from the FBR Islamabad. Therefore, I should be awarded 10 marks.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention The CRC re-examined Profile of M/s Salah Brothers which was submitted by the bidder on the tender opening day and did not found the documents as claimed by the complainant in his grievances.

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievances of complainant for this para are not justified and are groundless, thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience: Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Since the bidder has not produced supply orders of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which cannot meet the requirement of Evaluation Criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration accepted two projects as mentioned in the table for the year 2013 however 8 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders..

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: It is nowhere mentioned in the Bid evaluation criteria that only the last 3 years projects are acceptable. I have attached the 10 years work orders of Relevant Field Experience and this is reasons that I was awarded 10 marks out of 10 for business experience. Moreover, I have been awarded 8 marks out of 20 for the relevant field experience which is total injustice.

Therefore, you are requested to reconsider my older similar type projects in the light of the 10 years works orders of the Relevant Field Experience and award me 20 marks.


CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders. Procurement Committee has awarded 8 marks under special consideration for the year 2013. The CRC is not agree for awarding 8 marks under Special Consideration for the year 2013, because special consideration indicates favoritism.

CRC Decision for this para The grievance of complainant that it was nowhere specified in the evaluation criteria that the evaluation of relevant field experience will be carried from last year's work orders. is unjustified and groundless. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.


CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's stands as disqualified.




Gul Bahar Magsi
Assistant Director
Directorate of Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region,
(Secretary of CRC)




Pervez Tunio
Procurement Specialist,
Reform Support Unit,
(Member CRC)
on behalf



Mushtaq Ali Shahani
A.A.O. Larkana
Representative from Accountant
General Sindh (District Accounts
Office Larkana (Member CRC)



Muhammad Hassan Solangi
Audit Officer BISE Larkana,
An independent Professional in relevant field
concerning the procurement process
Member CRC



Anwar Ali Khokhar
Director Schools Education (Primary)
Larkana Region,
Chairman of Procurement Committee

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY) KASHMORE-KANDHKOT

NIT NO: SPPRA Website Sr. No. 22795 dated 13-2-2015

Procedure of Procurement: National Competitive Bidding (Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure.

MINUTES OF FINANCIAL BID OPENING

IN-CLASS MATERIAL

A meeting for opening of Financial Bids of tenders of **IN-CLASS MATERIAL** for District **Kashmore-Kandhot** was held on 18-03-2015 time 3.30 pm in the office of DEO (Primary) Kashmore-Kandhkot. The meeting was attended by the following members of Procurement Committee and the representatives of Technically Qualified Bidding Firms. (Attendance sheets are attached).

Names of members of Procurement Committee

- 1) Mr. Nawab Ali Khokhar Deputy Director (Primary) Larkana Chairman of C'ttee
- 2) Mst.Khadija District Education Officer (Primary) Kashmore-Kandhkot. Member/ Sec: of C'tte
- 3) Mr. Aijaz Ahmed Memon, DO/Executive Engineer(EW) Kashmore-Kandhkot External Member

Names of representatives of Technically Qualified Bidding Firms:




- 1) Qazi Hamid Ali Representative of M/S Imperial Trading Inc: Hyderabad
- 2) Fida Hussain Representative of M/S Ideal Distributer Line Karachi

The financial bids of technically qualified bidding firms were opened in presence of above mentioned representatives of bidding firms, and the prices offered by the bidders were announced aloud.







Mst.Khadija)
DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER
(PRIMARY) KASHMORE-KANDHKOT

List showing the name of Procurement Committee Members who attended the meeting regarding opening of Financial Bids for supply of In-Class Material, Library & Laboratory and Stationery Material under School Specific Budget-2014-15 held on 18-03-2015 at 3:30 PM in the office of the District Education Officer (Primary) Kashmir Kandhkot.

Sr. No.	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No.	Signature
1	Namus Ali Ullah	DY. Director DSE M.	0334277 5433	
2	Aijaz Ali Memon	Executive Engineer	0300-3015 998	
3	Ms. Khadija	D.E.O Primary		

List showing the name of Contractors who attended the meeting regarding opening of Financial Bids for supply of In-Class Material, Library & Laboratory and Stationery Material under School Specific Budget-2014-15 held on 18-03-2015 at 3:30 PM in the office of the District Education Officer (Primary) Kashmore Kandhkot.


Sr. No.	Name of Participant	Designation Propriater/ Company	Name of Company	Contact No.	Signature
1	Kazi Hamid Ali	Manager	Imperial	0343 3546125	
2	Uma Salah	Proprietor	Salah Brothers	0333 7591632	
3	FIDA	REP	IDEAL	0360 8247833	
4	Mohd Nawaz	Sales OFFICER	Fai Z Scientific Company	0346 9090797	
5					
6					
7					
8					

DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY)
KASHMORE @ KANDHKOT
Comparative Statement of Tenders

IN-CLASS MATERIAL

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
1	475-Mathematical board Size 4 x 3 ft made of Chipboard 3/4 " Edges sealed with PVC Lipping International Standard, Wall Hanging Hooks	Rs.2,250.00	Rs.2385.00
2	475-Abacus (small) 1 to 100 PVC Balls different Colors, Wooden Frame (China/ Equivalent)	Rs.720.00	Rs.310.00
3	475-Abacus (medium) 1 to 100 Balls different Colors made of Iron Frame in Rectangular Size 18 x 24" & Balls Rods made of Iron	NQ	Rs.310.00
4	475-Abacus (large) 1 to 100 Balls different Colors made of Iron Frame in Rectangular Size 24 x 30" & Balls Rods made of Iron	NQ	Rs.556.50
5	475-Map stand (small) Frame made of Iron Size	Rs.600.00	Rs.636.00
6	475-Map stand (medium)	Rs.750.00	Rs.763.20
7	475-Soft board (small) Size: 3 X 4 ft, made of Soft board and hardboard 18mm thick with U Shape Channel aluminum angle frame with hanging hook & Blazer Cloth	Rs.1800.00	Rs.612.15
8	475-Soft board (medium) Size: 4 X 6 ft, made of Soft board and hardboard 18mm thick with U Shape Channel aluminum angle frame with hanging hook & Blazer Cloth	Rs.3,380.00	Rs.1033.50
9	475-Number Blocks PVC Blocks 1 to 100 size 2 x 2" each block Local Made	Rs.00	Rs.7.95
10	475-English alphabets chart Made of Panaflex size 24 x 30" with 2 Wooden Rod with hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20





Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
11	475-Urdu alphabet chart Made of Panaflex size 24 x 30" with 2 Wooden Rod with hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
12	475-Puzzles Standard size made of Plastic/ Rubber (local made)	Rs.100.00	Rs.63.60
13	475-Globe Made of Plastic, size 3 x 3" with Stand	Rs.295.00	Rs.310.05
14	475-Globe Made of Plastic, size 6 x 6" with Stand	Rs.600.00	Rs.612.15
15	475-Compass Needle Size 1/2" made of Plastic	Rs.25.00	Rs.28.62
16	475-Life cycle charts Made of Panaflex size 24 x 30" with 2 Wooden Rod with hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
17	475-Flash cards animals Best Quality Standard size(4*6)printed on card lamimted packet in box	Rs.95.00	Rs.222.60
18	475-Flash cards birds Best Quality Standard size	Rs.95.00	Rs.222.60
19	475-Flash card sea animals Best Quality Standard size	Rs.95.00	Rs.222.60
20	475-Flash cards insects Best Quality Standard size	Rs.95.00	Rs.222.60
21	475-White Board Size: 4 X 6 ft, made of MDF 16mm thick with U Shape Channel aluminum angle frame with hanging hook	Rs.2,200.00	Rs.2226.00
22	475-Stand Board with clips sheet Board Size 18 x 24" with Iron Stand in Regtangular Pipe	Rs.2,150.00	Rs.00
23	475-Pena flex screen with stand 60 x 60" with Tripod Stand imported (Local Quality)	Rs.7200.00	Rs.00
24	475-Brush for Drawing (Stable Fair) Set of 12 Brush 0 to 10 no.(Local Quality)	Rs.265.00	Rs.477.00
25	475-Water Colour 12 Cplors	Rs.195.00	Rs.198.75
26	475-Drawing Board Made of Wood size 14 x 18" with Rubber Sheet	Rs.299.00	Rs.00
27	475-Drawing Paper Ream 80gm, A2 (420*594)Local Quality	Rs.3,200.00	Rs.00
28	475-Drawing frames with other material 2x2. 1/2	Rs.2,500.00	Rs.00

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
29	475-Colour Mixing Plate Plastic Big Size (Local Quality)	Rs.104.00	Rs.23.85
30	475-Drawing Sheet 20x30 (Local Quality)	Rs.8.50	Rs.11.13
31	475-Tracing Paper Pocket	Rs.800.00	Rs.06.36
32	475-Remover Duster Wood Piece with Carpet Size 2 x 6"	Rs.24.00	Rs.23.85
33	475-Piece of Cloth (In Meters) Local Quality	Rs.32.00	Rs.15.90
34	475-Oil Colour Set of 12 Colors Box in Medium Size(Local Brand)	Rs.300.00	Rs.333.90
35	475-Oil Colour (Three Basic Quarters) Local Brand	Rs.890.00	Rs.00
36	475-Powder Colour Bottle medium-Local Brand	Rs.00	Rs.25.44
37	475-Colorful papers Chart Paper Size 20 x 30" A2	Rs.12.50	Rs.12.72
38	475-Land Skip Charts (Pena Flex) 20 x 30"(Local Quality)	Rs.125.00	Rs.00
39	475-Pair of Scissor Size 9" & 12" in Each Pair made of steel with Plastic Cover	Rs.250.00	Rs.63.60
40	475-World Globe Full Size 16 x 16" in Plastic with Rolling Stand	Rs.625.00	Rs.628.05
41	475-Province Map made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
42	475-Country Map made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
43	475-World Map made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
44	475-Wall Clock (Digital) 10 x 12" Operated in AA Batteries(Toshiba OR Equivalent)	Rs.350.00	Rs.00
45	475-Marker Removable (White Board Marker/Board Marker) Standard Size	Rs.29.00	Rs.30.21
46	475-Florescent Colour	Rs.50.00	Rs.30.21
47	475-District Map made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
48	475-Taluka Map made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
49	475-Projector Screen Screen Size 60 x 60" Panaflex with Tripod Stand Imported	Rs.7200.00	Rs.00
50	475-ABC Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
51	475-Sindhi Alphabet Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
52	475-Colors Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
53	475-Animals Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
54	475-Birds Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
55	475-Body Parts Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
56	475-Fruit Chart Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
57	475-Vegetable Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
58	475-Table Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
59	475-Geometrical Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
60	475-Shapes Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
61	475-Transport Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
62	475-National Heroes Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
63	475-Brief History Photo-Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
64	475-Sindhi Spelling Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
65	475-Phonic Spelling Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
66	475-Numeracy Vocabulary Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
67	475-Short Vowel Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
68	475-Long Vowel Chart - made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
69	475-Counting Rhymes Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
70	475-Cursive Writing Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
71	475-Punctuation Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
72	475-English Skill Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
73	475-Grammar and Punctuation Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
74	475-Verb and Punctuation Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
75	475-Life Skill Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
76	475-Mental Math Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
77	475-Metric Unit and Measurement made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
78	475-Multiplication Division Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
79	475-Fraction Decimal Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
80	475-Geometry Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
81	475-Multiplication Square Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
82	475-2D Shapes Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
83	475-3D Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
84	475-Fraction Decimals% Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
85	475-Geometry Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
86	475-Calories Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
87	475-Earth History Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
88	475-Every Day Science Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
89	475-Fruit Chart let Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
90	475-Height Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
91	475-Human Body Health Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
92	475-Skeletal @Muscular Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
93	475-Digestive System Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
94	475-Nervous System Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
95	475-Respiratory System Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
96	475-Cardio Vascular System Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
97	475-Insect Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
98	475-Vegetable Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
99	475-Fruit Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
100	475-Space Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
101	475-The Planet Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
102	475-Earth Moon Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
103	475-Volume Factors Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
104	475-Building a Healthy Boy Balancing Feed and Exercise Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
105	475-Part of Cell Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
106	475-Periodic Table of the Element Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
107	475-10 Ways To Be A Good Student Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20

Sr.#	Description	Ideal Distribution	Imperial Trading
SCHOOL IN-CLASS MATERIAL ITEMS			
108	475-Symbol of Math Chart made of Panaflex Size 20 x 30" with two Wooden Rod and Hanging Hooks	Rs.125.00	Rs.127.20
109	475-Others	NQ	NQ
110	White Chalks:Pack of 12 small boxes,each of 20 sticks	Rs.75.00	Rs.47.70
111	Iron Slate:(Thick iron state,22 Guage)(10*8)	Rs.39.00	Rs.39.750


- 1) **M/s Ideal Distribution Line:** is lowest in following items and the serial numbers of the items in which this Bidder is lowest are mentioned here: 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 to 28, 30, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40 to 45, 47, to 108 and 111.
- 2) **M/s Imperial Trading Hyderabad:** is lowest in following items and the serial numbers of the items in which this Bidder is lowest are mentioned here: 2 to 4, 7, to 9, 12, 29, 31, 33, 36, 39, 46 and 110.



(Nawab Ali Khokhar
Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'tte



(Mst. Khadija
District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee



(Aijaz Ahmed Memon)
District Officer / Executive Engr.
(EW) Kashmore-Kandhkot
External Member



**District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot.
Bid Evaluation Report
of
Technical Proposals of tenders**

In-Class Material

(District Kashmore-Kandhkot)

opened on 10th March-2015

**For District Specific Contracts
Under School Specific Budget, 2014-2015**

District Education Officer (Primary)

Kashmore-Kandhkot

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S #	Description	Annexure	Page #
1	Bid Evaluation Report (Summary)	-	3
2	Introduction	-	4 to 5
3	Notifications for Appointment of Procurement Committee	A	6
4	Advertisements	B	7 to 8
5	Total List of Bidders who purchased Bids	C	9
6	Attendance Sheets	D	10-11
7	Evaluation Criteria	E	12
8	Evaluation Assessment	F	13 – 22
9	Bidder's Status & Recommendations	G	23

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER(PRY)
KASHMORE-KANDHKOT


Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **District Education Officer (Primary), Kashmore-Kandhkot.**
2. Tender Reference No: NIT NO. DEO/(PRY)KK/DEV/SSB-2014-15/890/2015
Dated 06-02-2015
SPPRA SR. NO. 22795 Dt 13-02-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item:
Supply of In-Class Material.
4. Method of Procurement: **SPPRA Rule 46/II (Single Stage two envelopes Procedure)**
5. Tender Published: Following daily newspapers.
a. Daily Jang (Urdu) dated 13-Feb 2015
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 05 Bids
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Bids
8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 02
9. Bid(s) Rejected: 01
10. Bid Opening date: Bids were opened on 10-March-15
11. Technical Evaluation Results From Next Page




Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee

Nawab Ali



District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee

Mst. Khudija



District Officer /Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member

Aijaz Ahmed
Memon

District Education Officer (Primary)

Kashmore-Kandhkot

INTRODUCTION

The procurement committee was notified by the Secretary to Govt of Sindh Education and Literacy Department Government of Sindh vide Notification No. SO (G-III)/SSB/FW-01/2012 dated 3rd December 2014 comprising of 03 (three) officers under chairmanship of Deputy Director (Primary) Larkana for making recommendations for the award of District Specific Contracts for fiscal year 2014-15 (copy attached as ANNEXURE-A)

Bids were invited through advertisement in daily newspapers from eligible bidders for procurement of various items/goods for Primary schools under School Specific Budget 2014-15.

The tenders were invited under SPPRA Rule 46/II single stage - two envelope procedure for ensuring transparency in the procurement.

- 1) The Tender was published in widely circulated Nation-wide leading daily newspapers through Director Advertisement Sindh Information & Archive Department Government of Sindh vide reference No. INF-KRY: no. 502/15. Here is the details of newspapers:
 1. Daily Jang (Urdu) dated 13-Feb 2015
 2. Published on SPPRA website Sr. No. 22795 dated 13 Feb 2015

Copies attached here with as ANNEX-B


- 2) In all 5 Nos, of bids were purchased by interested parties/suppliers. Their names are mentioned at ANNEX-C.
- 3) 03 Bidders participated in tendering process and submitted their (Technical & Financial) proposals on 10th March 2015 at 12 Noon and same were opened at 12.30 Noon in the Committee Room of DEO (Primary) Kashmore-Kandhkot, whereas 02 bidders not participated. The Technical proposals were opened in the presence of Tender Evaluation Committee members and the representatives of bidding firms. Attendance sheet of the contractors and Members of Procurement Committee attached as ANNEX-D.
- 4) The evaluation has been done on the basis of bid evaluation criteria which was prepared in the light of SPPRA Rules 2010 (amended in 2013), the SPPRA Rules Guidelines and with the help of Reform Support Unit (RSU), Education & Literacy Department, Government of Sindh. Such evaluation criteria was mentioned in the Bid document and is also attached here as ANNEX-E.
- 5) Evaluation Assessment Results. 02 Bidder Qualified where One Disqualified. ANNEX-F
- 6) Qualification Results & Recommendations ANNEX-G



Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee



District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee



District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member



Government of Sindh
Education and Literacy Department
Karachi, dated 3rd December, 2014

NOTIFICATION

No.SO(G-III)/SSB/FW-01/2012: In pursuance of Rule – 7 of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 (amended 2013), a Procurement Committee comprising of following Officers for School Specific Budget is hereby notified for Larkana Division (District wise) for Financial Year 2014-15

S.No.	Procurement Committee	Placement in committee
1	Deputy Director- (Primary), Larkana Region	Chairman
2	District Education Officer-(Primary), Concerned District	Member/Secretary
3	District Officer/ Executive Engineer (Education Works), concerned District.	Member

Terms of Reference:

- (1) Collecting and collating "Need Requisitions" from schools through TEOs
- (2) Preparing bidding documents
- (3) Carrying out technical as well as financial evaluation of the bids
- (4) Preparing evaluation report as provided in SPPRA Rule 45
- (5) Making recommendations for the award of district specific contracts; and
- (6) Perform any other function ancillary and incidental to the above

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

No.SO(G-III)/SSB/FW-01/2012

Karachi, dated the 3rd December, 2014

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:

1. Director School Education- (Primary), Larkana Region
2. District Officer/ Executive Engineer (Education Works), concerned District.
4. P.S to Secretary to Govt. of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Government of Sindh.
5. Office Order File


SECTION OFFICER (ADMIN)



SINDH EDUCATION &
LITERACY DEPARTMENT



دفتر ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر (پرائمری) کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ

ای میل: deokashmore@yahoo.com ویب سائٹ: <http://educationlrk.net84.net>
DEO(Pry)K.K/Dev./SSB-2014-15/890/2015 Dated: 06-02-2015

پیشکش / ٹینڈر طلبی نوٹس

ریجنل پروکیورمنٹ کمپنی پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ کو طلبی پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ کیلئے اسکول اسپلٹ بجٹ 2014-15 کے تحت "اسکول گنز اور سیٹائیز کی پروکیورمنٹ" کیلئے سربراہر پیشکشیں مطلوب ہیں۔ ڈنگ سٹائل آئیٹم نوٹس پروفیکورمنٹ پروکس کے تحت منصفی جانے کی اور ڈنگ دستاویزات میں مقرر کردہ کم از کم معیار اہلیت پر پورا اترنے والے تمام اہل بولی دہندگان کیلئے مہل ہے۔

ٹینڈر ریفرنس	بیکٹج کی تفصیل	مقدار اور تصریحات	پیشکش جمع کرانے کی تاریخ اور وقت	میکینل پیشکش کی تاریخ اور وقت	فناصل پیشکش کی تاریخ اور وقت
SSB-475	ان کلاس میٹریل آئیٹمز	ڈنگ دستاویزات ملاحظہ کریں	10-03-2015 دن 12:00 بجے	10-03-2015 دن 12:30 بجے	18-03-2015 سپر 03:30 بجے

ڈنگ دستاویزات، تجزیہ درخواست جمع کرانے اور Rs.1000/ (ایک ہزار) کی ناقابل واپسی لیس کی ذریعہ ایک ڈرافٹ اپنے آرڈر جو ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ کے حق میں جاری کیا گیا ہو، اور ایٹمی پر 16-02-2015 تا 09-03-2015 صبح 10:00 بجے تا شام 04:00 بجے ذیل میں دیکھے گئے پتے سے حاصل کی جاسکتی ہیں۔

پیشکش، پیشکش جمع کرانے کی آخری تاریخ اور وقت کو پائل مندرجہ ذیل پتے پر دفتر ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ میں جمع کرانی ہوگی۔ موصول شدہ پیشکشیں، پیشکش کی تاریخ اور وقت جیسا کہ اوپر درج ہے، پر اسی پتے پر مہولی جائیں گی۔

بولی کی قیمت کے 2% کی ڈسکونٹی بھلنے پر آرڈر ایک ڈرافٹ جو کسی شیڈولڈ ٹیک سے ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ کے حق میں جاری کیا گیا ہو، سربراہر لٹاٹے میں فناصل پر پوزل کے ہمراہ لازمی منسلک کرنا ہوگی۔

سربراہر پیشکشیں 10-03-2015 بوقت دن 12:00 بجے کو اس سے قبل مندرجہ ذیل پتے پر لازماً موصول ہو جانی چاہئیں۔ پیشکشیں اسی دن، دن 12:30 بجے موقع پر موجود رہنے کے خواہشمند بولی دہندگان کے نمائندوں کی موجودگی میں مہولی جائیں گی۔

مندرجہ ذیل شرائط پر ردی نہ کرنے کی صورت میں پیشکشیں مسترد کر دی جائیں گی:

- مشروط اور ٹیلی گرافک پیشکشیں / ٹینڈرز
- مطلوبہ رقم اور قارم کی ڈسکونٹی منسلک کے بغیر پیشکشیں
- مقررہ تاریخ اور وقت کے بعد موصول ہونے والی پیشکشیں
- ایک اسٹ فرم کی پیشکشیں

دیگر تمام قواعد و ضوابط وہی رہیں گے۔

SPPRA 2010 کی متعلقہ ضوابط سے مشروط پروکیورمنٹ کمپنی کو کسی ٹینڈر کو قبول یا مسترد کرنے یا کنٹریکٹ اجراء کے جانے سے قبل مقدار میں اضافہ / کمی کرنے کا اہتمام حاصل ہے۔ پیشکش جمع کرانے کی آخری تاریخ اور وقت جیسا کہ اوپر درج ہے کے بعد پیشکشیں زیر غور نہیں لائی جائیں گی۔ ٹینڈر نوٹس SPPRA ویب سائٹ www.pprasinidh.gov.pk سے بھی ڈاؤن لوڈ کیا جاسکتا ہے۔

تمام قابل اطلاق گورنمنٹ ٹیکسز لاگو ہوں گے۔

ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر

پتہ پرائمری کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ

فون وٹلس نمبر: 0722570908

ای میل: deokashmore@yahoo.com

INF-KRY:No.502/15

ڈسٹرکٹ ایجوکیشن آفیسر
(پرائمری) کشمور واقع کندھ کوٹ

ایک نشلی ہونے سے بہتر ہر مستمند شرارتی ہونا

Daily Jang
(Urdu)
dated 13th
Feb-2015

SPPRA Web Site Showing Bid published under

Sr # 22795 Dated 13 Feb 2015



**Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
Government of Sindh**

- [Home](#)
- [Public Tenders](#)
- [SPPRA Notifications](#)
- [SPPRA Board](#)
- [SPP Act 2009](#)
- [SPP Rules 2010](#)
- [SPP Regulations](#)
- [SPPRA Team](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Public Tenders](#)
- [SPPRA Notifications](#)
- [Policy Instructions](#)
- [Review Panel](#)
- [Black Listed Firms](#)
- [Capacity Building](#)
- [Complaints](#)
- [Contract Evaluation Form](#)
- [Departments](#)
- [Download Statistics](#)


Search Tenders Archive By City Names click here


- Serial No.
- City (e.g sukkar)
- SPPRA ID
- Tender Title
- Advertise Date
- Closing Date
- Month/Year


S. No.	SPPRA Tender ID	Tender Title	Download	Upload On	Advertise Date	Closing Date	Corrigendum	Remarks	Evaluation Reports
22795	187216420SPPRA ID 18721642	District Education Officer Kashmore @ Kandhoke Indus District		13 Feb 2015	13 Feb 2015	13 Feb 2015		BBR CC TOP ACC Revised	

LIST OF BIDDING FIRMS
Who purchased Tenders




S #	BIDDER	Remarks
1	Ideal Distribution Line, Karachi.	Purchased Bid Also Participated in Tender
2	Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi	Purchased Bid Also Participated in Tender
3	Imperial Traders Hyderabad	Purchased Bid Also Participated in Tender
4	Bismullah Enterprises	Purchased Bid but Not Participated in Tender
5	Qurban Ahmed & Company	Purchased Bid but Not Participated in Tender


Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee






District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee


District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member

List showing the name of Procurement Committee Members who attended the meeting regarding opening of Technical Bids for supply of In-Class Material, Library & Laboratory and Stationery Material under School Specific Budget-2014-15 held on 10-03-2015 at 1:00 PM in the office of the District Education Officer (Primary) Kashmore Kandhkot.

Sr. No.	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No.	Signature
1	Nawab Anwar	Dy. Director DSE Mr.	0334 2775433	
2	Aijaz Ali Memon	Executive Engineer	0300-3015 998	
3	Ms. Khadija	DEO. Primary		

List showing the name of contractors who attended the meeting regarding submission and opening of Technical Bids for supply of In-Class Material, Library & Laboratory and Stationery Material under School Specific Budget-2014-15 held on 10-03-2015 at 12:30 PM in the office of the District Education Officer (Primary) Kashmore@Kandhkot.

Sr. No.	Name of Participant	Designation Propriater/ Company	Name of Company	Contact No.	Signature
1	Kazilhamid Ali	Manager	Imperial	0343 3544125	
2	Umae Salah	Proprietor	Salah Brothers	0333 7591632	
3	FIDA	REP.	IDEAL	0360 8247833	
4	Mohd Nawaz	Sales OFFICER	Faiz Saenctific Company	0346 3030737	
5					
6					
7					

Bid Evaluation Criteria of “Technical Proposals”

The evaluation criteria was prepared in the light of SPPRA Rules 2010 (amended in 2013), the Guidelines by SPPRA, Reform Support Unit (RSU) Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh. Such evaluation criteria was mentioned in the Bid document giving instruction to the interested bidding firms to prepare their “Technical Proposals” in the light of given evaluation criteria so that the fair and transparent competition of “apple vs apple” could be ensured. Details of evaluation criteria is given below:-

Evaluation Criteria For School Specific Budget 2014-15

The Technical Bids Shall be Evaluated on the basis of following parameters:				
Sr#	Evaluation Parameters		Total Marks	Brief
	Company / Firm / Individual Information			
	Required Field	Marks		
1	* No of Years in Business	10	45	02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)
	* Annual Turnover in Millions	15		0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)
	* NTN Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate
	* Sales Tax Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department
2	Technical Proposal * Specifications & Brochures	10	10	10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.
3	Financial Capabilities		25	
	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years	10		02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years	5		01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months	10		1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)
5	Relevant Field Experience	20	20	04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment

Note: Firm must get 70% marks in Technical Evaluation for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria

NOTE: The purpose of getting documents as mentioned in the evaluation criteria was not just to see the papers, but to EVALUATE, MEASURE & ASSESS the worth and stature of bidding firms to safeguard the interest of procuring agency and public money, by filtering best of the best suppliers to have a very healthy competition among the firms who are genuine, tax payers, financially sound, reliable and reputable. Such evaluation criteria was also prepared to avoid favoritism, interferences, prejudice and to maintain impartiality and ensure fairness in the instant procurement.

**Evaluation Assessment of
Technical Proposals is prepared
from the Profiles submitted
by the Bidders.**

**Such profiles are available on Record for
verifications of this report**

1. IDEAL DISTRIBUTION LINE.

- 1) **Number of Years in Business:** This firm's INCOME TAX # 1056713-5 is registered at FBR w.e.f 13-April-2000, SALES TAX # 1200850070746 w.e.f 16-Jan-2001. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below) which confirms that this firm is being in business since last 15 years **thus it acquire 10 Marks.**

FBR PAKISTAN		Taxpayer Online Verification									
		Date : 08-03-2015 Time : 01:04:28									
NTN/FTN	1056713-5	Category	INDIVIDUAL								
Name	ASLAM PIRZADA										
Association	Z.										
CHIC/PP/Reg. /Inc. No..	42**110*96***										
Business/Branches	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl.</th> <th>Business/Branch Name</th> <th>Business/Branch Address</th> <th>STRN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>IDEAL DISTRIBUTION LINE</td> <td>201,2ND FLOOR,LANDMARK PLAZA,OPP JANG PRESS, II CHUNDRISAR ROAD, KARACHI</td> <td>1200850070746</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sl.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN	1.	IDEAL DISTRIBUTION LINE	201,2ND FLOOR,LANDMARK PLAZA,OPP JANG PRESS, II CHUNDRISAR ROAD, KARACHI	1200850070746
Sl.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN								
1.	IDEAL DISTRIBUTION LINE	201,2ND FLOOR,LANDMARK PLAZA,OPP JANG PRESS, II CHUNDRISAR ROAD, KARACHI	1200850070746								
Principal Activity	NON-SPECIALIZED WHOLESALE TRADE										
Business Nature (ST)	IMPORTERS/ WHOLESALER/ SERVICE PROVIDER										
Registered For	INCOME TAX w.e.f 13-Apr-2000, SALES TAX w.e.f 16-Jan-2001										
Income Tax office	RTO-III KARACHI										
Sales Tax Office	RTO-III KARACHI										
Active Taxpayer List (ATL)	for further details about ATL Status, click here										
Sales Tax Status	OPERATIVE										

- 2) **Annual Turnover in Millions:** It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that **Annual Sales Turnover for last year is greater than 25.765 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 1.03M for last year, thus it acquires 15 Marks.**



13(1E) RETURN OF INCOME FILED VOLUNTARILY FOR COMPLETE YEAR(S)

Name: ASL PIRZADA NTN: 1056713-5
 CNIC: 423211000000000000 Tax Year: 2014
 Submission Date: 29-Nov-2014

Description	Code	Total Amount	Amount Exempt from Tax / Subject to Final Tax	Amount Subject to Normal Tax
Tax Slogans/Signage	8200	0	0	1,030,810
Tax on Freight / Minimum / Average / Maximum / Standard	901100	0	0	1,030,810
Tax on Collection / Distribution / Fund	9400	0	0	1,030,810
Tax Payments	99999	0	0	0

Options available:

Description	Code	Receipts / Value	Tax Collected / Deducted / Paid	Tax Charged @	Attributable Taxable Income	Tax on Attributable Taxable Income	Difference (Option valid if <=0)
Provision for Deposits (Sec 11(1))	640000	21,765,250	1,030,810	1,030,810	0	0	721,427

Print Date: Tue, 27 Jan 2015 11:50:22 Page 1 of 1

- 3) **NTN Registration Certificate:** NTN Certificate # 1056713-5 is attached in its company Profile, however acquires 10 Marks.
- 4) **Sales Tax Registration Certificate:** Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200850070746 is attached with the profile. The Bidder's **Tax Payer status is also verified from the FBR website which confirms 100% Active Status, however . acquires 10 Marks.**

FBR PAKISTAN Taxpayer Facilitation Portal (e.fbr.gov.pk) 051:111-772-772 24 Hour eSupport@pral.com.pk

Home e-Enrollment e-Registration e-Payments Search Taxpayers Helpdesk & Support Downloads News Gallery FAQs Contact Us

ACTIVE TAXPAYERS LIST (ATL)

SEARCH UTILITY

Key: NTN 1056713-5 504747 Enter Image Characters

Taxpayer is Active, as on 08-MAR-2015 (01:15)

Personal Information	
ASLAK PERZADA	201 2ND FLOOR LANDMARK PLAZA E.I CHUNDRIGAR ROAD OFF JANG PRESS, II CHUNDRIGAR ROAD, KARACHI
Business Information	
IDEAL DISTRIBUTION LINE	201,2ND FLOOR LANDMARK PLAZA OFF JANG PRESS, II CHUNDRIGAR ROAD, KARACHI
Business Activities	
010000 - IMPORTER	
469000 - NON-SPECIALIZED WHOLESALE TRADE	
960900 - OTHER PERSONAL SERVICE ACTIVITIES N.E.C.	

The taxpayer e-filed 6 ST&FE Returns during past 6 tax periods, compliance level = 100 %

Compliance = 100%
Active

- 5) **Technical Proposal (Specifications & Brochures).** This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and Bidder is agree to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.
- 6) **Financial Capabilities:** In the Evaluation Criteria Bidders were asked to provide (a) 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns, (b) 5 Years Audited Balance Sheets and (c) Last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries. This Bidder provided required documents in its technical proposal. Following is the short summary which reflects Bidders Financial Capability.

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years showing tax paid as under	Audited Financial Statements of 5 Years Showing Capital as under	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months
2014	1,030,610.00	30,404,496.00	Jan -2015: NA Dec-2014: 226,952.00
2013	1,473,633.00	31,577,482.00	Nov-2014: 707,734.00 Oct-2014: 510,256.00
2012	2,920,738.00	50,811,221.00	Sep-2014: 458,812.00 Aug-2014: 2,541,119.00
2011	1,537,645.00	45,000,725.00	July-2014: 5,070,843.00 Jun-2014: 6,282,873.00
2010	1,740,265.00	47,716,906.00	May-2014: 5,144,026.00 Apr-2014: 1,013,545.00

Financial Capabilities of this firm do match with the **TURNOVER** as mentioned in para 2 above however this firm acquires 23 Marks.


- 7) **Relevant Field Experience:** It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "**Complex**" assignments. This firm has provided following Supply orders:-


No.	Procuring Agency	Details of Supply Orders	Total Amount
1	Mehran University Jamshoro	P.O. NO. MUET/ AC/197 Dated 05-feb-2014	22,850,000.00
2	BISE Sukkur	1) BISE/Store/Suk/31 dt 12-03-14 Rs:11.93M 2) BISE/Store/Suk/11 dt 29-01-15 Rs:5.8 M 3) BISE/Store/Suk/23 dt 29-01-15 Rs:2.0 M 4) BISE/Store/Suk/12 dt 29-01-15 Rs:1.3 M	21,043,520.00
3	BISE Larkana	5) BISE/Store/Lrk/391 dt 15-01-15 Rs:4.47M 6) BISE/Store/Lrk/168 dt 22-5-14 Rs:5.8 M	6,802,500.00
4	QUEST Nawabshah	QUEST/DF/759 Dated 04-12-14 Rs. 13.83M	13,831,355.00
5	SBBU Nawabshah	SBBU/DF/1265 Dated 19-08-2014 6.18 M	6,180,000.00

The amount / value of Supply orders tells the worth of Bidder experience in relevant complex assignments. Therefore the Bidder acquires 20 Marks.

Total Marks acquired by M/s Ideal Distribution Line 98 Stands "Qualified"


Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee


District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee


District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmir-
Kandhkot
External Member

2. FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY.

- 1) **Number of Years in Business:** This firm's INCOME TAX # 0901411-0 is registered at FBR w.e.f 01-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200850800891 w.e.f 27-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited bellow). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years **thus it acquire 10 Marks.**

FBR PAKISTAN		Taxpayer Online Verification																	
		Date : 08-03-2015 Time : 02:21:34																	
NTN/FTN	0901411-0	Category	INDIVIDUAL																
Name	SYED TAHIR IMAM RIZVI																		
Association	--																		
CNIC/PP/Reg. /Inc. No.	42**195*60***																		
Business/Branches	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr.</th> <th>Business/Branch Name</th> <th>Business/Branch Address</th> <th>STRN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>AR DIGITECH</td> <td>FLAT 303-B, FALCON PLAZA TARIQ ROAD, KARACHI</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY</td> <td>2 WALI CENTER 8B & BLOCK 13 C GULSHAN E IQBAL, KARACHI</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY</td> <td>2-WALI CENTRE, 8B-S, BLOCK-13/C, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL, KARACHI</td> <td>1200850800891</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sr.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN	1.	AR DIGITECH	FLAT 303-B, FALCON PLAZA TARIQ ROAD, KARACHI		2.	FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY	2 WALI CENTER 8B & BLOCK 13 C GULSHAN E IQBAL, KARACHI		3.	M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY	2-WALI CENTRE, 8B-S, BLOCK-13/C, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL, KARACHI	1200850800891
Sr.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN																
1.	AR DIGITECH	FLAT 303-B, FALCON PLAZA TARIQ ROAD, KARACHI																	
2.	FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY	2 WALI CENTER 8B & BLOCK 13 C GULSHAN E IQBAL, KARACHI																	
3.	M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY	2-WALI CENTRE, 8B-S, BLOCK-13/C, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL, KARACHI	1200850800891																
Principal Activity	NON-SPECIALIZED WHOLESALE TRADE																		
Business Nature (ST)	IMPORTERS																		
Registered For	INCOME TAX w.e.f 01-Jan-1995, SALES TAX w.e.f 27-Jul-1999																		
Income Tax office	RTO-II KARACHI																		
Sales Tax Office	RTO-II KARACHI																		
Active Taxpayer List (ATL)	for further details about ATL Status, click here																		
Sales Tax Status	OPERATIVE																		

- 2) **Annual Turn over in Millions:** It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has NOT provided last year Annual Income Tax Return for assessment of its Annual **Annual Sales Turnover for the last year**, thus it acquires Zero Marks.
- 3) **NTN Registration Certificate:** This firm provided NTN Certificate # 0901411-0 however acquires 10 Marks.
- 4) **Sales Tax Registration Certificate:** This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200850800891 However acquires 10 Marks.

Tax Payer status is also verified from the FBR website. It is 100% Active

FBR
PAKISTAN

Taxpayer Facilitation Portal
(e.fbr.gov.pk)

051-111-772-772
eSupport@pral.com.pk
24
Hour

ACTIVE TAXPAYERS LIST (ATL)

SEARCH UTILITY

Key: NTN: **538415** Enter Image Characters

Taxpayer is Active, as on 08-MAR-2015 (02:29)

Personal Information	
EYED TANZIL IHAH RIZVI	A-12 OPP# NATIONAL SCHOOL 13-A, GULSHAN E IQBAL , KARACHI
Business Information	
M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY	2-WALI CENTRE, SB-6, BLOCK-13/C, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL , KARACHI
Business Activities	
466100 - Wholesale of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels and r	
469000 - Non-specialized wholesale trade	

The taxpayer e-filed 6 ST&FE Returns during past 6 tax periods, compliance level = 100 %

Compliance = 100%
Active

- 5) **Technical Proposal (Specifications & Brochures)**..This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.
- 8) **Financial Capabilities:** In the Evaluation Criteria Bidders were asked to provide (a) 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns, (b) 5 Years Audited Balance Sheets and (c) Last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries. This Bidder partially provided required documents in its technical proposal. Following is the short summary which reflects Bidders Financial Capability.

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years showing tax paid as under	Audited Financial Statements of 5 Years Showing Capital as under	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months
2014	N.A	N.A	This firm has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which was not required in the Evaluation Criteria, Hence it can not be accepted. However Zero marks.
2013	408,430.00	28,098,219.00	
2012	1,961,716.00	19,804,178.00	
2011	816,697.00	11,127,548.00	
2010	809,518.00	8,883,023.00	

Observations on the Financial Documents provided by the Bidder:-

- b) This firm has Not provided Annual Income Tax Return for the year 2014.
- c) This Firm has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria.
- d) Bidder has not provided Audited Balance Sheet for the year 2014.

- e) Bidder has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but instead of the required documents Bidder has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria.
- f) It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) which are provided by the Bidder are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months.

The Bidding Firm acquired marks for Financial Capabilities as under:-

- Provided Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns	8 Marks
- Provided Four Years Balance Sheets	4 Marks
- No Sales Tax Summaries Provided	0 Marks

TOTAL 12 Marks
=====

- 6) **Relevant Field Experience:** It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. This firm has not provided any supply order in 2012, 2013 & 2014 but provided some old supply orders of year 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. Such old supply orders cannot be accepted. However acquires ZERO Marks.


Total Marks acquired by M/s Faiz Scientific Company 52 so, Stands "Disqualified"



Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee



District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee



District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member

3. IMPERIAL TRADERS INC.

- 1) **Number of Years in Business:** This firm's INCOME TAX # 2153038-6 is registered at FBR w.e.f 09-Sep-2004, SALES TAX # 0102940300273 w.e.f 18-Dec-2004. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited bellow). However this firm is being in business since last 10 years **thus it acquire 10 Marks.**

Taxpayer Online Verification

Date : 07-03-2015
 Time : 15:19:59

NTN/FTN	2153038-6	Category	INDIVIDUAL												
Name	FARRUKH ATIQUE RAJPUT														
Association	--														
CNIC/PP/Reg./Inc. No.	47**192*56***														
Business/Branches	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; font-size: 0.8em;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 5%;">Sr.</th> <th style="width: 45%;">Business/Branch Name</th> <th style="width: 40%;">Business/Branch Address</th> <th style="width: 10%;">STRN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>M/S IMPERIAL MOTORS</td> <td>A/72 S.T.E AREA, HYDERABAD</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>M/S IMPERIAL TRADERS (INC)</td> <td>H.NO.71A, BLOCK-B UNIT NO.16, LATIPABAD</td> <td>0102940300273</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sr.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN	1.	M/S IMPERIAL MOTORS	A/72 S.T.E AREA, HYDERABAD		2.	M/S IMPERIAL TRADERS (INC)	H.NO.71A, BLOCK-B UNIT NO.16, LATIPABAD	0102940300273
Sr.	Business/Branch Name	Business/Branch Address	STRN												
1.	M/S IMPERIAL MOTORS	A/72 S.T.E AREA, HYDERABAD													
2.	M/S IMPERIAL TRADERS (INC)	H.NO.71A, BLOCK-B UNIT NO.16, LATIPABAD	0102940300273												
Principal Activity	MANUFACTURE OF PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR MOTOR VEHICLES														
Business Nature (ST)	MANUFACTURER/ IMPORTERS/ EXPORTER/ WHOLESALE														
Registered For	INCOME TAX w.e.f 09-Sep-2004, SALES TAX w.e.f 18-Dec-2004														
Income Tax office	RTO HYDERABAD														
Sales Tax Office	RTO HYDERABAD														
Active Taxpayer List (ATL)	for further details about ATL Status, click here														
Sales Tax Status	OPERATIVE														

- 2) **Annual Turn over in Millions:** It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that **Annual Sales Turnover of this for last year is greater than 190 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 1.9M for last year, thus it acquires 15 Marks.**



DECLARATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

Name: FARRUKH ATIQUE RAJPUT
 CNIC: 4220192656715



NTN: 2153038-6
 Tax Year: 2014
 Submission Date: 18-Nov-2014

Description	Code	Amount
Final / Fixed / Average / Relevant / Reduced Rate Regime	640100	190,870,900
Net Assets Current Year	703001	34,152,589
Tax Chargeable	9200	1,908,708

This is not a valid evidence of being a "filer" for the purposes of clauses (23A) and (35C) of sections 2 and 181A.

- 3) **NTN Registration Certificate:** This firm provided NTN Certificate # 2153038-6 however acquires 10 Marks.
- 4) **Sales Tax Registration Certificate:** This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 0102940300273 However acquires 10 Marks.

Tax Payer status is also verified from the FBR website. It is 100% Active

FBR PAKISTAN Taxpayer Facilitation Portal (e.fbr.gov.pk) 051-111-772-772 24 Hour eSupport@pral.com.pk

Home e-Enrollment e-Registration e-Payments Search Taxpayers Helpdesk & Support Downloads News Gallery FAQs Contact Us

ACTIVE TAXPAYERS LIST (ATL) SEARCH UTILITY

Key: NTN 2153038-6 272162 Enter Image Characters

Taxpayer is Active, as on 07-MAR-2015 (03:20)

Personal Information	
FARRUKH ATIQUE RAJPUT	HOUSE NO. 7/A MOHALA UNIT NO. 10 BLOCK-B, LATIFABAD
Business Information	
H/S. IMPERIAL TRADERS (INC)	H.NO.7/A. BLOCK-B. UNIT NO.10 LATIFABAD, . LATIFABAD
Business Activities	
010000 - IMPORTER	
050000 - EXPORTER	
293000 - MANUFACTURE OF PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR MOTOR VEHICLES	
461000 - WHOLESALE ON A FEE OR CONTRACT BASIS	

The taxpayer e-filed 6 ST&E Returns during past 6 tax periods, compliance level = 100 %

Compliance = 100% Active

- 5) **Technical Proposal (Specifications & Brochures).** This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.
- 9) **Financial Capabilities:** In the Evaluation Criteria Bidders were asked to provide (a) 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns, (b) 5 Years Audited Balance Sheets and (c) Last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries. This Bidder provided required documents in its technical proposal. Following is the short summary which reflects Bidders Financial Capability.

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years showing tax paid as under	Audited Financial Statements of 5 Years Showing Capital as under	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months
2014	1,908,708.00	57,776,339.00	This firm has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURN which was not required in the Evaluation Criteria, Hence it can not be accepted. However Zero marks.
2013	2,126,880.00	53,276,339.00	
2012	2,043,370.00	49,285,699.00	
2011	2,651,863.00	46,155,589.00	
2010	1,714,279.00	20,626,215.00	


Observations on the Financial Documents provided by the Bidder:-


- g) The Bidder has not provided the required Document i.e. "SALES TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided **The Sales Tax Returns** which was not required in the Evaluation Criteria, hence the provided documents cannot be acceptable.
- h) It is further noticed that this firm has submitted all the Sales Tax Return @ ZERO RATE, which is questionable and how is it possible that this firm ONLY SOLD "Zero Rated" goods during the year?.
- i) Any way the this firm acquires 15 Marks for providing Income Tax Returns and Audited Balance Sheets.
- 6) **Relevant Field Experience:** It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "**Complex**" assignments. This firm has provided following Supply orders


No.	Procuring Agency	Details of Supply Orders	Total Amount
1	District Health Officer Matiari	1) Order No. EDOH (Mat)/Store/471 /12 dated 08-01-2012 Rs: 96,500.00 2) Order No. EDOH (Mat)/Store/210 /14 dated 15-10-2014 Rs: 42,400.00 3) Order No. EDOH (Mat)/Store/650 /14 dated 27-03-2014 Rs: 30,250.00	169,150.00
2	DHO Hyd	7) Order # "NILL" dated 16-01-2013 Rs:25,000 8) Order # "301" dated 15-01-2013 Rs:62,000	87,000.00
3	EDO (H) Jamshoro	EDO(H)Jam/Store/753 dt: 11.5.2011	1,050,000.00
4	DOH Jamshoro	EDO(H)Jam/Store/301 dt 20.3.2013	484,000.00
5	Med Supt CMS Larkana	CMCHL/ACCTT(ACCTT:Supply Ord:901 dated : 10-09-2013	150,000.00

The Bidder has not provided Supply Orders of "**Complex**" Assignments but it is produces supply orders of "**Ordinary Nature**". The Bidder has also not supplied supply order of last one year but it is produced supply order of 2011, 2012 and 2013. The **worth** of Supply orders did not match with Requirement of Bid, however acquires ZERO Marks.

Total Marks acquired by M/s Imperial Traders Inc 70 Stands "Qualified"


Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee


District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee


District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member

BIDDERS STATUS OF QUALIFICATION

S #	BIDDER	Marks Acquired	Qualified
1	Ideal Distribution Line Karachi	98	Yes
2	Faiz Scientific Company	52	No
3	Imperial Trading Inc	70	Yes

Recommendations for Technically Qualified Bidders.


In the light of Technical evaluation results (above), The Bidders who stand as qualified their Financial proposal may be opened in presence of representatives of bidders on 18th Mach-2015 and the sealed un-opened financial proposals of un-successful bidders shall be returns to the DISQUALIFIED firms



Deputy Director (Primary)
Larkana
Chairman of C'ttee



District Education Officer (Primary)
Kashmore-Kandhkot
Member /Secretary of C'ttee



District Officer / Executive
Engineer (EW) Kashmore-
Kandhkot
External Member

DIRECTOR SCHOOLS EDUCATION REGION LARKANA

NO. DSE (PRY)/ 33/2015

Dated: 17/4/2015

To,

- 1) M/s Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi.
- 2) M/s Unique Supplies Company, Karachi.
- 3) M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's

SUBJECT: DECISION OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

Your complainant / grievances against the Bid Evaluation Reports for utilization of School Specific Budgets under object codes viz In-class Material, Stationary items and Lab/Library items prepared by the Procurement Committees of five Districts of Larkana Region, were placed before the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) as Notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh.

The CRC fixed date of hearing on 10th April 2015 at 10.00am in the office of Director Schools Education Larkana Region, Larkana, where your authorized representatives presented the grievances in writing to the members of CRC.

After hearing your verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined the record and conducting detailed scrutiny of your profile / Technical Proposals. The CRC members were not convinced on your grievances. Therefore the CRC unanimously endorsed the decision of Procurement Committee.

Copy of detailed decision of CRC is enclosed herewith.

Director School Education (Primary)
(Larkana Region)

SPPRA INWARD DIARY

NO: 15770

DATED: 23-04-15

Copy to :

1. P. S. Secretary Education & Literacy Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. Director A & F, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi.
3. The District Education Officers of District Larkano, Kambar, Shahdad Kot, Jacobabad, Shikarpur and Kashmore, Kandhkot.

SPPRA Ser. NO:- 22789, 22790, 22791, 22792,
22793, 22794, 22795, 22796,
22797, 22798, 22799, 22826.

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

Directorate of Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region

**Complaints against the Bid Evaluation Reports prepared by the
Procurement Committee for utilization of School Specific Budget for F/Y 2014-15 .**

COMPLAINANTS

1. M/s Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi
2. M/s Unique Supplies Company, Karachi
3. M/s Salah Brothers, Khairpur

v/s

Bid Evaluation Reports prepared by Procurement Committees of 5 districts in Larkana Region

COMPLAINT U/R # 31 OF THE SPPRA RULES 2010

AMENDED UP TO 2013.

The complainants mentioned above moved applications against the Bid Evaluation Reports for utilization of School Specific Budgets under object codes viz In-class Material, Stationary items and Lab/Library items prepared by the Procurement Committees of five Districts of Larkana Region.

All three complaints were placed before the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) as Notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh vide Notification No. SO(G-III)EDU/E&A/SSB/RSU/13-14 dated: 3rd December 2014 comprising of following five persons:



- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1) Anwar Ali Khokhar | Director Schools Education (Primary) | Chairman |
| 2) Gul Bahar Magsi | Assistant Director DSE Primary | Secretary |
| 3) Mushtaq Ali Shahani | Rep. of Accountant General Sindh | Member |
| 4) M. Hassan Sollangi | AO BISE Larkana Indpdnt Professional | Member |
| 5) Pervez Ali Tunio | Procurement Specialist from RSU | Member |

The CRC fixed date of hearing on 10th April 2015 in the office of Director Schools Education Larkana Region, Larkana, where the above mentioned complainants participated through their authorized representatives.

List showing the name of Members of complaint Redressed committee who attended the meeting regarding grievances of contractors who disqualify in the Technical Education of Bids for Supply of In - Class Material, Library, Laboratory and stationary items under school Specific Budget 2015-15 held on 10.04.2015, at 10.00 AM in the committee Room of Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region Larkana.

Sr.No.	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No.	Signature
01	Anwar Ali Khokhar	Director Schools 0308238142	0308 2381142	
02	Mushtaq Ali Shahani	A.A.O. dsk	0332-2512011	
03	Gul Bahar Magsi	Asst: Direct	03313423791	
04	Pervez Ali Tunio	DC - Lia Larkana Rw/A PS (RSU)	0300 3416503	
05	Muhammed Hassan Solangi	AO BISE Larkana	0308 3419442	
06				

—————

Brief Facts of the Tendering Process:

- 1) Tenders were invited by the District Education Officers Primary (DEOPs) of Larkana, Kambar, Shikarpur, Kashmore and Jacobabad for utilization of School Specific Budget 2014-2015.
- 2) The Bid Documents were for each item object code prepared by the Reform Support Unit Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh, Karachi. Such Bid Documents were issued by the DEOs of the concerned Districts to the interested bidding firms upon submission of tender fee.
- 3) The Evaluation criteria was mentioned in the Bid Documents, and the interested bidding firms were required to submit their Technical Proposals in accordance with the Bid Evaluation Criteria (as mentioned in the bid Document) which is reproduced below:

Evaluation Criteria For School Specific Budget 2014-15

<i>The Technical Bids Shall be Evaluated on the basis of following parameters:</i>				
Sr#	Evaluation Parameters		Total Marks	Brief
	Company / Firm / Individual Information			
	Required Field	Marks		
1	* No of Years in Business	10	45	02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)
	* Annual Turnover in Millions	15		0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)
	* NTN Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate
	* Sales Tax Registration Certificate	10		10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department
2	Technical Proposal * Specifications & Brochures	10	10	10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.
3	Financial Capabilities		25	
	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years	10		02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years	5		01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months	10		1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)
5	Relevant Field Experience	20	20	04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment
Note: Firm must get 70% marks in Technical Evaluation for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria				

The procurement Committee was notified by the Secretary Education & Literacy Department vide notification NO. SO(G-III)/SSB/FW-01/2012 dated: 3rd December 2014 to conduct evaluation of the Technical Proposals of the Bidding firms and prepare a Bid Evaluation Report.

- 5) In the light of the Bid Evaluation Criteria, the Procurement Committee prepared reports, issued letter to those who did not qualify in their Technical Proposals.
- 6) The Complainants being aggrieved with the decision of Procurement Committee submitted their grievances in the light of Rule # 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010 (amended up to 2013).
- 7) The Complainants were invited to present their grievances personally in writing and verbally, before the Complaint Redressal Committee Members on 10th April at 10 am in the office of Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region, Larkana.
- 8) All three Complainants i.e. M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi through its representative Mr. Abdul Rauf Khan, M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi through its representative Syed Nabeel Hussain Zaidi and M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's through its representative Mr. Umar Salah participated in the proceedings of CRC ON 10TH April 2015.
- 9) The representatives of all three complaints were personally heard by the members of CRC. The complainants also submitted their para-wise grievances in writing on the Bid Evaluation Reports.
- 10) The Bid Evaluation Report as prepared by the Procurement Committee is reproduced for each of the complainant on next page.



**Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Larkana, Kambar, Shikarpur, Kashmore and Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY.**

S #	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	INCOME TAX # 0901411-0 is registered at FBR w.e.f 01-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200850800891 w.e.f 27-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	Annual Turn over in Millions: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has NOT provided last year Annual Income Tax Return for assessment of its Annual Sales Turnover for the last year, thus it acquires Zero Marks.	None
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # 0901411-0 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate. 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200850800891 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	This firm has Not provided Annual Income Tax Return for the year 2014 in all districts except Jacobabad, but has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria. Bidder has not provided Audited Balance Sheet for the year 2014. Bidder has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria. It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months. However acquired Marks: 8 marks for Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns, 4 marks for Four Years Audited Financial Statements. No marks as Sales Tax summaries are not provided.	8
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)		4
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)		None
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. This firm has not provided any supply order in 2012, 2013 & 2014 but provided some old supply orders of year 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. Such old supply orders cannot be accepted. However acquires ZERO Marks	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S FAIZ SCIENTIFIC COMPANY,			52

**Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY.**

#	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	This firm's INCOME TAX # 0901384-9 is registered at FBR w.e.f 28-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200701300146 w.e.f 31-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of this firm for last year is approx. 11.456 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 458,277 for last year, thus it acquires (11.45 x 0.75) 8.5 Marks.	8.5
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # # 0901384-9 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate. 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200701300146 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	Bidding Firm has provided Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years and acquired 10 marks. Bidding Firm has not provided Balance Sheet (Financial Statement) for the year 2014 and provided for the rest four years however acquires 4 marks. As required in Tender that the Bidding Firms should provide last 10 Months Sales Summaries (Apr-2014 to Jan-2015), but This firm provided Sales Tax Summaries only for the month of May, June & Oct-2014. This bidder has provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required, however acquires 03 marks. TOTAL 17 Marks	10
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)		4
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)		3
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	This firm has not provided any supply order of "Complex Assignment in 2014 and/or 2013" but provided "ordinary nature supply orders" of years 2012, 2011, 2009, 2008, 2006 and 2005. Sales Tax Summaries are only provided for three months which also do not confirm that this firm has made sales of complex assignments during past 10 months The Bidder has attached one supply order of 2014 which is on the name of M/s Science and Computer Link. Only one supply order for the year 2014 is attached for Rs. 365,160/- which is also of ordinary nature and cannot be considered at place of "complex assignment" however this firm does not qualify for the marks	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY,			65.5

**Bid Evaluation Reports as prepared by the Bid Evaluation Committee
for District Kashmir and Jacobabad
for the bidder M/S SALAH BROTHERS KHAIRPUR MIR'S.**

S#	Evaluation Criteria & Marks	Bid Evaluation Results as prepared by Procurement Committee from the Technical Proposal / Profile submitted by the Bidding Firm.	Marks
1	# of years in Business 02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)	This firm's INCOME TAX # 1538892-1 is registered at FBR w.e.f 30-April-2003, SALES TAX # 0108940300137 w.e.f 23-Feb-2004. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 11 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.	10
	Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of last year is 0.105 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is Rs.4,200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 Marks	None
	NTN 10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate)	This firm provided NTN Certificate # 2153038-6 however acquires 10 Marks.	10
	GST Registration Certificate. 10 marks if firm is registered with sales tax department	This firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 0108940300137 However acquires 10 Marks.	10
2	Tech Specifications 10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.	This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.	10
3	Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years. 02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)	a) Bidder has not provided Income Tax Return for the year 2013 but has provided Acknowledgement which was not required in the evaluation criteria. Bidder has provided Annual Income Tax Returns for rest of the years Acquires 8 marks	8
	Audited Financial Statements of 5 years 01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)	b) Bidder has provided Audited Financial Statements. Acquires 5 marks	5
	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months 1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)	c) The Bidder has not provided the required Document i.e. "SALES TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria, hence the provided documents cannot be accepted and no marks can be given for this.	None
		Total 13 marks acquired by the bidder	
4	Relevant Field Experience. 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment	Since the bidder has not produced supply orders of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which cannot meet the requirement of Evaluation Criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration special consideration accepted two projects as mentioned in the table above for the year 2013 however 8 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders.	None
Total Marks Obtained by M/s M/S Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's			53

The complaint letters, para-wise grievances, authority letters of representatives and other correspondence / letters are annexed at the end of this document.

- 12) The CRC members examined para-wise grievances and contents of Bid Evaluation Report for each of the Complainant separately and re-visited the profiles / Technical proposals of each complainant in detail. After conducting detailed scrutiny in the light of para-wise grievances raised by each of the complainant the CRC prepared findings & decisions for each of the complainant as under:-

M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi

Turnover : Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Annual Turn over in Millions: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has NOT provided last year Annual Income Tax Return for assessment of its Annual Sales Turnover for the last year, thus it acquires Zero Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your tender document evaluation criteria did not demanded such documents. your objection is not valid as it was evaluated with different criteria (not asked in tender) your assessment is based on other suppliers, you have qualified, that's why it is conflicting with your tender document which is discriminatory

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be assessed from the "official documents" such as **Annual Income Tax Return** and/or **Monthly Sales Tax Summaries**. However assessment of annual turnover was rightly calculated by the Procurement Committee from Last year's Annual Income Tax Return. The official documents were required in the Bid Evaluation Criteria,

CRC Decision for this para However the grievance for this para has no legal weight. Thus the CRC endorses the decision of Procurement Committee.

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This firm has Not provided Annual Income Tax Return for the year 2014 in all districts (except Jacobabad), but has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria. Bidder has not provided Audited Balance Sheet for the year 2014. Bidder has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria. It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months. However it acquired Marks: 8 marks for Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns, 4 marks for

Four Years Audited Financial Statements. No marks, as Sales Tax summaries are not provided.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We have submitted documents as per your tender evaluation criteria

- (1) Income Tax annual return of 5 years
- (2) Audited Financial Statement of 5 years
- (3) Monthly Sale Tax Summaries of last 10 months
(we are eligible for all marks in total) 25 marks

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The CRC examined Profile of M/s Faiz Scientific Company and found that it has not provided Annual Income Tax Returns for the period from 2010 to 2014 but it has provided from 2009 to 2013 and so also for Audited Financial Statements. The Bidder has also not provided the required Sales Tax Summaries but instead of that it has provided Sales Tax Returns.

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance for this para has no legal grounds and weight, thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience : Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. This firm has not provided any supply order in 2012, 2013 & 2014 but provided some old supply orders of years 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. Such old supply orders cannot be accepted. However acquires ZERO Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your objection is conflicting with your tender document's evaluation criteria. There was never mentioned consecutive year. Please give us from where you have got this word in tender documents. We have submitted the required work orders and eligible to get full marks (20). This seems that some other suppliers submitted criteria.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders. It was found from the available record/profile of the bidder that this bidding firm instead of providing Sales Tax Summaries provided Sales Tax Returns for last 18 months (from July 2013 till Dec-2014) and all these Returns have are carrying Zero Turnover in last 18 months, however it confirm that this firm has made ZERO TURNOVER during past eighteen months.

—————^d—————

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance for this para is un-justified and groundless. Hence the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Faiz Scientific Company stands as disqualified.

M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi

Turnover : Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of this firm for last year is approx. 11.456 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is 458,277 for last year, thus it acquires (11.45 x 0.75) 8.5 Marks..

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We have submitted 3 years Financial Statements which shows us eligible for full marks

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be considered for last year, as the evaluation criterion at para No. 3 required "Sales Tax Summaries" for last 10 months, because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience, supported by purchase orders.

CRC Decision for this para: The grievances submitted by the bidder for summing up last three years amount cannot be permitted, however the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Bidding Firm has provided Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years and acquired 10 marks. Bidding Firm has not provided Balance Sheet (Financial Statement) for the year 2014 and provided for the rest four years however acquires 4 marks. As required in Tender that the Bidding Firms should provide last 10 Months Sales Summaries (Apr-2014 to Jan-2015), but This firm provided Sales Tax Summaries only for the month of May, June & Oct-2014. This bidder has provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required, however acquires 03 marks. TOTAL 17 Marks

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: We had submitted all sales tax summaries as per your tender evaluation criteria. Your criteria did not mention for non-acceptance of zero balance sales tax returns with

zero turnover can be submitted as your evaluation criteria did not specified in the same.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The CRC examined Profile of M/s Unique Supplies Company and did not found Sales Tax Summaries as claimed by the complainant. Only three months Sales Tax Summaries were available in their profile. The Procurement Committee has already given them 03 marks.

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievance of complainant for this para were not justified and groundless. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience : Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: This firm has not provided any supply order of "Complex Assignment in 2014 and/or 2013" but provided "ordinary nature supply orders" of years 2012, 2011, 2009, 2008, 2006 and 2005. Sales Tax Summaries are only provided for three months which also do not confirm that this firm has made sales of complex assignments during past 10 months

The Bidder has attached one supply order of 2014 which is on the name of M/s Science and Computer Link. Only one supply order for the year 2014 is attached for Rs. 365,160/- which is also of ordinary nature and cannot be considered at place of "complex assignment" however this firm does not qualify for the marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: Your Tender Evaluation Criteria never specified the requirement of last year work orders. Therefore our submitted work orders should be accepted. The relevant Field Experience consider for previous background of the company. That is why we have submitted five years work orders.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders.

CRC Decision for this para: However the complainant's grievance that there was not specified in the evaluation criteria for last years work order, is unjustified and unsubstantiated. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's the record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Unique Supplies Company stands as disqualified.



M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's

Turnover :

Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million (Max 15)

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: It was mentioned in the Tender that 0.75 marks per million turnover will be given (maximum up to 15 marks). This Bidding Firm has provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of last year is 0.105 Million and the Income Tax Paid by this firm is Rs.4,200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 Marks.

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: It is nowhere written in the evaluation criteria for School Specific Budget 2014-15 that Annual Turnover will be checked from Income Tax Annual Return. And nowhere it is written that only the last year Annual Income Tax Return will be checked. Moreover, the word Annual means Yearly and it does mean any particular of specific year. Since you have required the 5 years Annual Income Tax Return as mentioned in the evaluation criteria (Financial capabilities) therefore my 5 years Annual Income Tax return should be checked. You can only check my annual turnover from the Bank Account Maintenance Certificate or Bank Statement. The details of the 5 years annual Income Tax return is given below:

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Paid	Annual Sales Turnover in Millions	Marks 0.75 per Million
2014	4200	0.105	0
2013	29752	0.850	0
2012	253792	7.25	5.25
2011	79741	2.27	1.5
2010	319910	9.44	6.75
		Total marks	13.5

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: The turnover can only be assessed from last year, because it was clearly mentioned at para No. 3 of the Evaluation Criteria that bidder should submit last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries because Sales Tax Summaries are the authentic documents to evaluated turnover which should match with the declarations on Annual Income Tax return. The Bidders Sales Tax Summaries were not found in its profile, but there were ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which even were carrying zero value in turnover. However the bidders has further submitted to evaluate his turn over from Bank Statement or Bank Certificate. This can also not be considered as both the documents were required.

CRC Decision for this para However Bidder's grievance cannot be considered for summing several years together. Since Annual Income Tax Return and "Sales Tax Summaries" are the authentic & Official documents. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Financial Capabilities:

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Bidder has not provided Income Tax Return for the year 2013 but has provided Acknowledgement which was not required in the evaluation criteria. Bidder has provided Annual Income Tax Returns for rest of the years Acquires 8 marks. Bidder has provided Audited Financial Statements. Acquires 5 marks The Bidder has not provided the required Document i.e. "SALES TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS which were not required in the Evaluation Criteria, hence the provided documents cannot be accepted and no marks can be given for this. Total 13 marks acquired by the bidder

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: 1) Annual Income Tax Returns: I was awarded 8 marks out of 10. I have not attached acknowledgement for the year 2013 in the company profile but I have attached the annual income tax return 2013. Therefore I would request you to proper check it and award 10 marks.
2) Monthly Sales Tax Summaries: I would request you to please recheck my profile properly. I have attached the Sales Tax Monthly Summaries and acknowledgement and sales tax monthly return. Moreover, this can also be confirmed from the FBR Islamabad. Therefore, I should be awarded 10 marks.

CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention The CRC re-examined Profile of M/s Salah Brothers which was submitted by the bidder on the tender opening day and did not found the documents as claimed by the complainant in his grievances.

CRC Decision for this para: However the grievances of complainant for this para are not justified and are groundless, thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.

Relevant Field Experience: Documented proof for 5 complex assignments was required.

Decision of Bid Evaluation Committee: Since the bidder has not produced supply orders of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which cannot meet the requirement of Evaluation Criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration accepted two projects as mentioned in the table for the year 2013 however 8 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders..

Text of the Grievance of Bidder in verbatim: It is nowhere mentioned in the Bid evaluation criteria that only the last 3 years projects are acceptable. I have attached the 10 years work orders of Relevant Field Experience and this is reasons that I was awarded 10 marks out of 10 for business experience. Moreover, I have been awarded 8 marks out of 20 for the relevant field experience which is total injustice.

Therefore, you are requested to reconsider my older similar type projects in the light of the 10 years works orders of the Relevant Field Experience and award me 20 marks.


CRC Proceedings for this para for the point of contention: This para was read with para 3 "Sales Tax Summaries" because the Sales Tax Summary is the authentic document to evaluate relevant field experience of the bidder supported by Purchase orders. Procurement Committee has awarded 8 marks under special consideration for the year 2013. The CRC is not agree for awarding 8 marks under Special Consideration for the year 2013, because special consideration indicates favoritism.


CRC Decision for this para The grievance of complainant that it was nowhere specified in the evaluation criteria that the evaluation of relevant field experience will be carried from last year's work orders. is unjustified and groundless. Thus the CRC endorses decision of Procurement Committee.


CRC Decision on the grievances filed by M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's: After hearing complainant's verbal and written grievances, the CRC examined complainant's record which the complainant submitted on the day of opening of tenders, the CRC members were not convinced with the complainant's grievances and however CRC unanimously endorses the decision of Procurement Committee. Thus M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's stands as disqualified.


Gul Bahar Magsi
Assistant Director
Directorate of Schools Education
(Primary) Larkana Region,
(Secretary of CRC)


Pervez Ali Tunio
Procurement Specialist,
Reform Support Unit,
(Member CRC)
on behalf


Mushtaq Ali Shahani
A.A.O. Larkana
Representative from Accountant
General Sindh (District Accounts
Office Larkana (Member CRC)


Muhammad Hassan Solangi
Audit Officer BISE Larkana,
An independent Professional in relevant field
concerning the procurement process
Member CRC


Anwar Ali Khokhar
Director Schools Education (Primary)
Larkana Region,
Chairman of Procurement Committee

FSC 00/100415/673

10th April, 2015

The Director Schools Education (Primary)
Larkana Region, Sindh, Larkana.

Subject : Authority Letter


Sir,

This is in reference to your Tenders SSB (Schools Specific Budget 2014-15) for Larkana Region, we have authorized our Group Director Operations Mr. Abdul Rauf Khan to attend the Redressal Meeting at your office on behalf of our Company. You are kindly requested to accept him and provide him opportunity to present our point of views.

Your kind support and cooperation will be highly obliged.

Thank you.

Very Sincerely


Faiz Scientific Company

Managing Director

SCHOOL EDUCATION (PRIMARY) LARKANA REGION LARKANA

Complaint Redressal Committee Meeting

Held on 10th April

For hearing of grievances filed by


M/s Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi.

Against the Bid Evaluation Reports of District Larkana, Kambar-Shahdadkot, Shikarpur, Kashmore @ Kandhkot and Jacobabad, for the tenders published for utilization of School Specific Budget 2014-15 of In-class Material, Stationary items and Laboratory / Library material.

Following person represented M/s Faiz Scientific Company participated on behalf of his company.

Acknowledgement of Representative

I, the undersigned am authorized representative of M/s Faiz Scientific Company Karachi and I am participating in the meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee on behalf of Faiz Scientific Company, Karachi.

Signature: 

Name: AQIL RAVE

Surname: KHAN

CNIC No. 42101-14850965

SCHOOLS EDUCATION (PRIMARY) LARKANA REGION, LARKANA.

1308.

Dated: April 10, 2015

M/s Faiz Scientific Company,
Karachi.

SUBJECT:- DECISION OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE ON THE TENDERS FOLATED UNDER SSB-2014-15

Ref:- your letter No.FSC.00/200315/624, 20th march 2015, and No.FSC-00/310315/647, 31-03-2015


The Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region Larkana, has received your grievances against the Bid Evaluation Reports of District Larkana, Kambar-Shahdaddkot, Shikarpur, Kashmore @ Kandhkot and Jacobabad, for the tenders published for utilization of School Specific Budget 2014-15 of In-class Material, Stationary items and Laboratory / Library material. Your written grievance applications are available on record.

The contention you have stated in your written applications is reproduced as under in verbatim:-


This evaluation was totally biased, unrealistic and unjustified as our company is the most deserving, experienced and qualified bidder in the competition, whose profile accepted by all other districts of Sindh as well as Govt Departments of Sindh. We do not accept your decision of challenge it for Redressal as per SPPRA rule # 31. We will represent our point of view in front of Redressal Committee.

Since you have not specified / highlighted in your written application about the parawise grievances on the Bid Evaluation Report, but you have requested that your representative will represent your point of view in front of CRC.

You are therefore given this opportunity to submit parawise grievances on the attached Bid Evaluation Report so that the Complaint Redressal Committee can address your grievances as per rules.


Director Schools Education (Primary)
Larkana Region, Larkana.

Received letter + 3 pages of BER + 1 Page of Memon Enterprises

Signature 
Name ABDUL RAUF KHAN
CNIC # 42101-1485076-5
Date: 10-04-2015

...TIFIC COMPANY.

- 1) **Number of Years in Business 2 Marks For Each Year In Business (Max 10):** Your firm's INCOME TAX # 0901411-0 is registered at FBR w.e.f 01-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200850R00R91 w.e.f 27-Jul-1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System (snap shot of FBR's site is cited below). However this firm is being in business since last 20 years thus it acquire 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

- 2) **Annual Turnover in Millions 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15):** Last years turnover was required to be assessed from the official documents such as last year's annual income tax return and Sales Tax summaries. Your Firm had neither provided last year Annual Income Tax Return nor Sales Tax Summaries to assess your turnover. On the other hand you have provided Sales Tax Returns for last 18 (eighteen) months, where you have declared zero sales. On the basis of your official documents your declared turnover is nothing, however you acquired Zero Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

Your Tender document Evaluation Criteria did not demanded such documents. your objection is not valid as it was evaluated with different criteria (not asked in tender). Your Assessment ^{is based on other} of ~~your~~ Suppliers, you have qualified, that's why it is conflicting with your tender document. which is discriminatory

- 3) **NTN Registration Certificate (10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate):** Your firm provided NTN Certificate vide Reg. # 0901411-0 however you acquired 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:


10/04/15

OK

◆ **Sales Tax Registration Certificate (10 marks if firm is registered with Sales Tax Department):**

Your firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200850800891 However you acquired 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

5) **Technical Proposal (Specifications & Brochures).** This firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:


OK

6) **Financial Capabilities:** In the Evaluation Criteria Bidders were asked to provide (a) 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns 2 marks for each return (max 10 marks), (b) 5 Years Audited Financial Statement 01 marks on production of Financial Statement (max 5 marks) (c) Last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries, 01 mark on production of each months record (max 10 marks). Your firm had not provided Income Tax Return for the year 2014 (except Jacobabad). Financial Statement for the year 2014 was not provided. Sales Tax Summaries (Domestic Sales) were also not provided by your firm but instead of the Sales Tax Summaries you provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required. Following is the short summary of your provided documents.

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years showing tax paid as under	Audited Financial Statements of 5 Years Showing Capital as under	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months
2014	Not provided	Not provided	This firm has not provided the "SALE TAX SUMMARIES" but has provided Sales Tax RETURNS which was not required in the Evaluation Criteria, Hence it can not be accepted. However zero marks.
2013	408,430.00	28,098,219.00	
2012	1,961,716.00	19,804,178.00	
2011	816,697.00	11,177,548.00	
2010	899,518.00	8,883,023.00	

- a) Your Firm has provided Income Tax Return for the year 2009 which doesn't fall under the required period as mentioned in the Evaluation Criteria. Five years means the consecutive five years (2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010). Five years "doesn't mean any five years"
- b) It is further noted from the Sales Tax Returns (from July 2013 till Dec 2014) which are provided by the Bidder are "NULL" and show ZERO TURNOVER during past 18 months.

However your firm acquired marks for Financial Capabilities: (1) 2x4 = 8 marks for providing Four Years Annual Income Tax Returns. 1x4 = 04 marks for providing of Four Years Financial Statements. And no marks as you did not provided Sales Tax Summaries. Thus your firm acquired 12 marks for Financial


10/04/15

Capabilities in four districts except Jacobabad, where you provided Income Tax Return for the year 2014 and acquired 14 marks for Jacobabad.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

We have submitted documents as per your tender evaluation criteria.

① Income tax annual return of 5 YEARS

② Audited financial statement of 5 YEARS

③ Monthly sales for 5 months of last

12 months. (We are eligible for all marks in total) 25 marks

- 7) **Relevant Field Experience** 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment (max 20 marks): Your firm had not provided any Documentary Proof (Supply Orders) for the consecutive years 2014, 2013 & 2012, but you provided some old supply orders for the years 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011. The provided supply orders are not of the "Complex Assignments" but these are the "ordinary assignments" however Such old supply orders and ordinary assignments cannot be accepted. Thus your firm acquired no Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

Your objection is conflicting with your tender documents evaluation criteria. There was never mention consecutive years. Please give us from where you have got this word in tender documents. We have submitted the required work orders and eligible to get full marks (20). This seem the same other suppliers submitted criteria.

Continued to next page



Clarify your position on the following letter submitted by M/s Memon Enterprises:

M/s Memon Enterprises who was one of the participant in the Kambar District has send a letter which is self explanatory and is quoted as under:-

I would like to draw your kind attention towards the rejection of M/s Fair Scientific Company by Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh due to its involvement in Court Case and FIR (copies of rejection report of Sindh Education & Literacy Department) are attached herewith. Such reports were published / hosted on SPPRA website and can be downloaded using this web link <http://ppra.sindh.gov.pk/evaluation/index.php?asSearch=5&searchBy=&idFromDate=&pageNumber=17> These reports can easily be searched and downloaded from SPPRA web site's Bid Evaluation Page by entering following details:-

REPORT ID	REPORT SR. NO.	BID ID	DATE OF HOISTING	MATERIAL DETAILS
227/2014	3791	14139/2014	7-Feb-2014	Supply of Furniture & Fixture
228/2014	3792	14140/2014	7-Feb-2014	Scientific, I.T. Equipment
229/2014	3793	14122/2014	7-Feb-2014	Supply of Furniture & Fixture
230/2014	3794	14124/2014	7-Feb-2014	Scientific Equipment
231/2014	3795	14125/2014	7-Feb-2014	Supply of Furniture & Fixture

However it is proved that M/s Fair Scientific Company is litigious party and it was rejected by the Sindh Education & Literacy Department Government of Sindh, however it may kindly be rejected and barred from participation in the tendering process.

Copies of rejection by the Education & Literacy Dept Government of Sindh are attached.

Please explain your point of view on the letter submitted by M/s Memon Enterprises:

Education & Literacy Dept Kambar ALREADY
 Rejected our company in so many (including) ^{cases}
 Tenders. After this objection,
 you can see CMA project report in
 their website that was a particular
 case on the basis of MISCELLANEOUS
 THE TENDER TERM & CONDITIONS AND
 AFTER THAT SO MANY TENDERS ARE REJECTED
 IN EDUCATION & OTHER DEPT. OF SINDH.
 ALL OTHER DISTRICTS HAVE APPROVED US IN SO
 RECENTLY. THEN WHY YOUR DIVISION OBJECTIVE.

Signature _____ Name _____ CNIC Number _____

MEMON company is NOT disqualify you
 criteria that how they can object.

PLEASE PROVIDE US WITH LETTER COPY

Proceedings of Complaints Redressal Committee, held on 10th April 2015 in the office of Director, Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region.

FOR LEGAL ACTION from us

42101-1485-465


 10/04/15

ABDUL RAUF KHAN
 GROUP DIRECTOR OPERATIONS

Soneri Bank

To,
M/s. Faiz Scientific Company

March 31, 2012

SUBJECT: Account Maintenance Certificate

This is to certify that **Mr. Tahir Imam Rizvi**, bearing: CNIC# 42201 9926464-1, is maintaining following Account with the bank as Sole Proprietor

S.No.	Account Number	Account Title	Account Turnover since 01/01/2012 till date
1.	01021815580	M/s. Faiz Scientific Company	Debit: 92.641 M Credit: 92.646 M

The conduct of this account(s) is to our satisfaction.

Best regards,

Authorized Signature

Authorized Signature

Signature of Tahir Imam Rizvi
01021815580
M/s. Faiz Scientific Company

This certificate is being issued on the specific request of the customer and does not constitute obligation. Soneri Bank does not and part of the bank or any of its employees. It is hereby confirmed, customer is responsible for any loss or damage to the account or contents of the certificate. Soneri Bank does not and part of the bank or any of its employees. It is hereby confirmed, customer is responsible for any loss or damage to the account or contents of the certificate.

UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY

Scientific Equipment, Material, Furniture & General Order Suppliers

USC-IND-18997/2014-15

Date: 10-04-2015

The Director Schools Education (Urban)

Lahore Region.


SUB: AUTHORITY LETTER

Sir,

We have authorized our Staff Syed Nabeel
Jaswan Baidi to attend Redressal Meeting
on behalf of our company. Kindly accept
him and provide him chance to present our
views/ concerns of this tender.

Thank you.

Very truly



UNIQUE SUPPLIES COMPANY

Dy. Managing Director

Complaint Redressal Committee Meeting

Held on 10th April

For hearing of grievances filed by


M/s Unique Supplies Company, Karachi.

Against the Bid Evaluation Reports of District Larkana, Kambar, Shikarpur, Kashmore and Jacobabad, for the tenders published for utilization of School Specific Budget of In-class Material, Stationary items and Laboratory / Library material

Following person represented M/s Unique Supplies Company participated on behalf of his company.

Acknowledgement of Representative

I, the undersigned am authorized representative of M/s Unique Supplies Company Karachi and I am participating in the meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee on behalf of Unique Supplies Company, Karachi.

Signature: 

Name: Syed Nabeel Hussain Faidi

Surname Syed

CNIC No. 41204-5242204-9

Dated: April 10th, 2015

M/s Unique Supplies Company,
Karachi.

SUBJECT:- DECISION OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE ON THE TENDERS FOLATED UNDER SSB-2014-15
Ref: your letter No.USC-TND-18465/2014-15 dated 31-3-2015.


The Director Schools Education (Primary) Larkana Region Larkana, has received your grievances against the Bid Evaluation Reports of District Jacobabad, for the tenders published for utilization of School Specific Budget of In-class Material, Stationary items and Laboratory / Library material. Your written grievance applications are available on record.

You have stated in your written applications as under:

Your office has rejected us due to non-confirmative of Evaluation Criteria in your bid document. We have checked all our documents and found eligible for your criteria. We feel that our Company has been discriminated by your evaluation committee. We would like to request for redressal as per SPPRA Rule # 31 and expect your office will provide us change to clarify our point of view.

Since you did not specified / highlighted in your written application about the parawise grievances on the Bid Evaluation Report, but you have requested that a chance should be provided to you.

You are therefore given this chance to submit parawise grievances on the attached Bid Evaluation Report so that the Complaint Redressal Committee can address your grievances.


Director Schools Education (Primary)
Larkana Region, Larkana.


Received letter + 3 pages of BER

Signature

Name

CNIC #

Date:



S. Nabeel Hussain Zaidi

41204-5242204-9

10-04-2015

Supplies Company.

Number of Years in Business 2 Marks for each year in business (max 10): Your firm's INCOME TAX # 0901384-9 is registered at FBR w.e.f 28-Jan-1995, SALES TAX # 1200701300146 w.e.f 31-Jul 1999. FBR's Verification was done from its Online Verification System. However your firm acquired 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

- 2) **Annual Turn over in Millions, 0.75 Marks per million turnover (max 15):** Last year's turnover was requested to be assessed from the official documents such as last year's annual income tax return and Sales Tax Summaries. Your firm provided last year Annual Income Tax Return which shows that Annual Sales Turnover of your firm is approx. 11.456 Million and the Income Tax Paid by your firm is 458,277 last year, thus you firm acquired (11.45×0.75) 8.5 Marks.

FBR

FEDERAL BOARD OF REVENUE
GOVERNMENT OF PUNJAB - DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

11/15

11411 (RETURN OF INCOME FILED VOLUNTARILY FOR COMPLETE YEAR)

Name: M. J. A. RIZVI
Address:

Registration No: 4220189700718
Tax Year: 2014
Date: 05 Dec 2014



Description	Code	Amount
Income Tax Paid	240100	458,277

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

We have submitted 3 Years Financial Statement which shows us eligible for Full marks.

- 3) **NTN Registration Certificate:** Your firm provided NTN Certificate vide Reg. No. 0901384-9 however your firm acquires 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

Sales Tax Registration Certificate: Your firm provided Sales Tax Registration Certificate vide Registration No. 1200701300146 However acquired 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

- 5) **Technical Proposal (Specifications & Brochures)**..Your firm has provided Specifications and Brochures for the required items and is agreed to supply same specifications as mentioned in the Schedule of Items in Bid Documents, thus acquires 10 Marks.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

OK

- 6) **Financial Capabilities:** In the Evaluation Criteria Bidders were asked to provide (a) 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns 2 marks for each return (max 10 marks), (b) 5 Years Audited Financial Statement 01 marks on production of Financial Statement (max 5 marks) (c) Last 10 months Sales Tax Summaries, 01 mark on production of each months record (max 10 marks). Your firm had not provided Financial Statement for the year 2014. Sales Tax Summaries (Domestic Sales) for last 10 month mean (April 2014 to Jan 2015) were required but your firm provided only for the month of **May, June and October 2014** only. You have attached un-required documents e.g. Sales Tax Returns which were not required in the evaluation criteria. Following is the short summary of your provided documents

YEAR	Annual Income Tax Returns of last 5 years showing tax paid as under	Audited Financial Statements of 5 Years Showing Capital as under	Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months
2014	458,277.00	Not provided	Last 10 Months Sales Summaries were required in the Bid Document i.e. means (Apr-14 to Jan-15) This firm has provided for Sales Tax Summaries for the month of May, June & Oct-2014.
2013	88,040.00	26,644,551.00	
2012	3,646,930.00	19,682,308.00	
2011	3,861,490.00	11,421,842.00	
2010	335,221.00	5,922,302.00	

- a) As required in Tender that the Bidding Firms should provide last 10 Months Sales Summaries (Apr-2014 to Jan-2015), but your firm has provided Sales Tax Summaries only for the month of May, June & Oct-2014.
- b) It is further noted from the "Sales Tax Returns" that your firm declared ZERO TURN OVER in most of the months. Further details are given in the table on next page:-

This bidder has provided Sales Tax Returns which were not required. Details

Month & Year	Sales Tax Summary Provided or not	
	Yes / No	Sales Amount as Declared
Jan-15	No	N/A
Dec-14	No	N/A
Nov-14	No	N/A
Oct-14	Yes	683,371/-
Sep-14	No	N/A
Aug-14	No	N/A
Jul-14	No	N/A
Jun-14	Yes	2,345,360/-
May-14	Yes	462,034/-
Apr-14	No	N/A

Therefore your firm acquired marks for Financial Capabilities: (1) $2 \times 5 = 10$ Mark for providing five years Annual Income Tax Returns. $1 \times 4 = 4$ marks for providing four years Financial Statements. $A \times 1 \times 3 = 3$ Marks for providing three months Sales Tax Summaries. Thus your firm acquired 17 marks for Financial Capabilities.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

We had Submitted all Sales Tax Summaries as per your Tender Evaluation Criteria. Your Criteria did not mentioned for non-acceptance of zero balance Sales Tax Returns with zero Turn over can be Submitted as your Evaluation Criteria did not specified the same.

Relevant Field Experience 04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment (max 20 marks): It was required in the Bid Document that the Bidder should provide Maximum 5 "Complex" assignments. Your firm has not provided Documentary Proof (Supply Orders) for the consecutive year 2014 and/or 2013" but provided "ordinary nature supply orders" of years 2012, 2011, 2009, 2008, 2006 and 2005. The provided Sales Tax Summaries for three months also do not confirm that your firm has made sales of complex assignments during past 30 months

You have attached one supply order of 2014 which is on the name of M/s Science and Computer Link. Only one supply order for the year 2014 is attached for Rs. 365,160/- which is also of ordinary nature and cannot be considered at place of "complex assignment" however your firm does not acquired any marks for past years relevant experience.

Please explain your grievance on this paragraph:

Your Tender Evaluation Criteria never specified the requirement of last Year work orders. Therefore our submitted work order should be ~~not~~ accepted.

The relevant Field Experience consider for previous background of the company. That is why we have submitted ~~last~~ five years work orders.

S. Nabeel Hussain

Signature

S. Nabeel Hussain
Zaidi

Name

41204-5242204-9

CNIC Number.

DIRECTOR SCHOOL EDUCATION (PRIMARY) LARKANA REGION LARKANA

Complaint Redressal Committee Meeting

Held on 10th April

For hearing of grievances filed by

M/s Salah Brothers Khairpur Mir's.

Against the Bid Evaluation Reports of District , Kashmore-Kandhkot and Jacobabad, for the tenders published for utilization of School Specific Budget of Stationary items and Laboratory / Library material

Following person represented M/s Salah Brothers participated on behalf of his company.

Acknowledgement of Representative

I, the undersigned am authorized representative of M/s Salah Brothers and I am participating in the meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee on behalf of Salah Brothers.

Signature: 

Name: Umae Salah

Surname Tal

CNIC No. 45203-0814328-7

THE TECHNICAL BIDS SHALL BE EVALUATED ON THE BASIS OF FOLLOWING PARAMETERS:		DEO JACOBABAD	SALAH BROTHERS
S. #	Evaluation Parameters	Total Marks	Brief
1	Company / Firm / Individual Information Required • No of Years in Business 10	45	02 marks for each year in business (Max 10)
	Annual Turnover in Millions 15		0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)
			<p>This firm is being in business since last 11 years thus it acquires 10 marks.</p> <p>This bidding firm has provided last year annual income tax return which shows that annual sales turnover of last year is 0.105 million and the income tax paid by the firm is Rs.4200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 marks.</p>
			<p>I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.</p> <p>It is nowhere written in the evaluation criteria for School Specific Budget 2014-2015 that Annual Turnover will be checked from Income Tax Annual Return. And nowhere it is written that only the last year Annual Income Tax return will be checked. Moreover, the word ANNUAL means YEARLY and it does mean any particular or specific year. Since you have required the 5 years Annual Income Tax return as mentioned in the evaluation criteria (FINANCIAL CAPABILITIES), therefore, my 5 years Annual Income Tax return should be checked. You can also check my annual turnover from the Bank Account Maintenance Certificate or Bank Statement. The details of the 5 years annual income tax return is given below:</p>

SALAH BROTHERS
KHAIRPUR

DETAILS OF THE 5 YEARS ANNUAL INCOME TAX RETURN

YEAR	ANNUAL INCOME PAID	TAX	ANNUAL SALES OF TURNOVER IN MILLIONS	MARKS PER MILLION TURNOVER
2014	4200		0.105	0
2013	29752		0.850	0
2012	22518990 233792		7.25	5.25
2011	79741		2.27	1.5
2010	319910		9.44	6.75
TOTAL MARKS: 13.5				

As I have mentioned the details above, therefore I should be awarded 13.5 marks out of 15.

I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.

I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.

NTN Registration Certificate	10	10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate	The firm provided NTN Certificate. However, acquires 10 marks.	I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.
Tax Registration Certificate	10	10 marks if firm is registered with sales department tax	The firm provided sales tax certificate. However, acquires 10 marks.	I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.

SALAH BROTHERS
KHAIKHAIRPUR

RE-EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SCHOOL SPECIFIC BIDDING OF DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIVANU) JACOBABAD

<p>2</p> <p>Technical Proposal Specifications & Brochures</p> <p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.</p>	<p>The firm provided specification & brochures. However, acquires 10 marks.</p>	<p>I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.</p>
<p>Financial Capabilities</p> <p>25</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)</p>	<p>Bidder has not provided income tax return for the year 2013 but has provided acknowledgement which was not required in the evaluation criteria. Bidder has provided annual income tax return for rest of the years.</p>	<p>I was awarded total 05 marks out of 05.</p>
<p>Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years</p> <p>5</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)</p>	<p>The firm provided audit financial statement of last 5 years. However, acquires 05 marks.</p>	<p>I would request you to please recheck my profile properly. I have attached the sales tax monthly summaries and acknowledgement and sales tax monthly return. Moreover, this can also be confirmed from the Federal Board of Revenue Islamabad. Therefore, I should be awarded 10 marks out of 10.</p>
<p>Audited Financial Statements of 5 years</p> <p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)</p>	<p>The bidder has not provided the required document i.e. Sales Tax Summaries but has provided Acknowledgments which were not required in the evaluation criteria, hence the provided documents can not be acceptable.</p>	<p>I was awarded total 05 marks out of 05.</p>
<p>Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months</p> <p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>

ALAM BROTHERS
HAIRPUR

BID EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SCHOOL SPECIFIC SUBJECT MATERIALS OF DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY) ACCORAMAD

<p>4 Relevant Field Experience 20</p>	<p>2 (document proof) Max 5 assignment</p>	<p>04 marks for each similar complexity assignment</p> <p>Since the bidder has not provided supply order of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which can not meet the requirement of evaluation criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration accepted 2 projects. However, 8 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders.</p>	<p>It is nowhere mentioned in the bid evaluation criteria that only the last 3 years projects are acceptable. I have attached the 10 years work orders of Relevant Field Experience, and this is the reason that I was awarded 10 marks out of 10 for business experience. Moreover, I have been awarded 8 marks out of 20 for relevant field experience which is total injustice. Therefore, you are requested to reconsider my older similar type projects in the light of the 10 years work orders of the RELEVANT FIELD EXPERIENCE and award me 20 marks out of 20.</p>
<p>Note: Firm must get 70% marks in Technical Evaluation for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria</p>			


 S.M. BROTHERS
 KHAIRPUR

THE TECHNICAL BIDS SHALL BE EVALUATED ON THE BASIS OF FOLLOWING PARAMETERS:

B / # Evaluation Parameters	Total Mark		
Company / Firm / Individual Information Required Marks	02	marks for each year in business (Max 10)	This firm is being in business since last 11 years thus it acquires 10 marks.
No of Years in Business * Annual Turnover in Millions	10 15	02 marks for each year in business (Max 10) 0.75 marks per million turnover (Max 15)	This bidding firm has provided last year annual income tax return which shows that annual sales turnover of last year is 0.105 million and the income tax paid by the firm is Rs.4200/- for last year, thus it acquires 0 marks. It is nowhere written in the evaluation criteria for School Specific Budget 2014-2015 that Annual Turnover will be checked from Income Tax Annual Return. And nowhere it is written that only the last year Annual Income Tax return will be checked. Moreover, the word ANNUAL means YEARLY and it does mean any particular or specific year. Since you have required the 5 years Annual Income Tax return as mentioned in the evaluation criteria (FINANCIAL CAPABILITIES), therefore, my 5 years Annual Income Tax return should be checked. You can also check my annual turnover from the Bank Account Maintenance Certificate. The details of the 5 years annual income tax return is given below.

DEO KASHIMORE @ KANDHOKOT

SALAH BROTHERS

SALAH BROTHERS
KHAIRPUR

DETAILS OF THE 5 YEARS ANNUAL INCOME TAX RETURN

YEAR	ANNUAL INCOME TAX PAID	ANNUAL SALES OF TURN OVER IN MILLION	MARKS 0.75 MARKS PER MILLION TURN OVER
2014	4200	0.105	0
2013	29752	0.850	0
2012	2061000 23792	7.25	5.25
2011	79741	2.27	1.5
2010	319910	9.44	6.75
TOTAL MARKS: 13.5			

As I have mentioned the details above, therefore I should be awarded 13.5 marks out of 15.

I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.

NTN Registrati on Certificate	10	10 marks if firm has NTN Certificate	The firm provided NTN Certificate. However, acquires 10 marks.
Tax Registrati on Certificate	10	10 marks if firm is registered with sales department	The firm provided sales tax certificate. However, acquires 10 marks.

SALAH BROTHERS
KHAIRPUR

<p>2</p> <p>Technical Proposal Specifications & Brochures</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10 marks if the bidder provides complete details and specifications of items to be supplied for which he want to quote.</p>	<p>The firm provided specification & brochures. However, acquires 10 marks.</p> <p>I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.</p>
<p>Financial Capabilities</p>	<p>25</p>	<p>02 marks on production of each year Tax paid Returns (Max 10)</p>	<p>The firm provided annual income tax return of 5 years. However, acquires 10 marks</p> <p>I was awarded total 10 marks out of 10.</p>
<p>Income Tax Annual Returns of 5 Years</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>01 marks on production of Financial Statement Report (Max 5)</p>	<p>The firm provided audit financial statement of last 5 years. However, acquires 5 marks.</p> <p>I was awarded total 05 marks out of 05.</p>
<p>3</p> <p>Audited Financial Statements of 5 years</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>1 marks on production of each month record (Max 10)</p>	<p>The bidder has not provided the required document i.e. Sales Tax Summaries but has provided Acknowledgments which were not required in the evaluation criteria; hence the provided documents can not be acceptable.</p> <p>I have attached the sales tax monthly acknowledgement and sales tax monthly return. My point of view is that summary also includes the acknowledgement receipt. Moreover, this can also be confirmed from the Federal Board of Revenue Islamabad. Therefore, I should be awarded 10 marks out of 10.</p>
<p>Monthly Sales Tax Summaries of last 10 Months</p>	<p>10</p>		

SALAH BROTHERS
CHAIRPUR

<p>4 Relevant Field Experience</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>20</p> <p>04 marks for each similar complexity assignment (documented proof) Max 5 assignment.</p>	<p>Notes: Firm must get 70% marks in Technical Evaluation for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria</p>	<p>Since the bidder has not provided supply order of complex nature but has produced supply orders of ordinary nature, which can not meet the requirement of evaluation criteria as mentioned in the bid document. But the procurement committee under special consideration accepted 2 projects. However, 2 marks are given but no marks for rest older ordinary nature supply orders.</p>	<p>It is nowhere mentioned in the bid evaluation criteria that only the last 3 years projects are acceptable. I have attached the 10 years work orders of Relevant Field Experience, and this is the reason that I was awarded 10 marks out of 10 for business experience. Moreover, I have been awarded 8 marks out of 20 for relevant field experience which is total injustice. Therefore, you are requested to reconsider my older similar type projects in the light of the 10 years work orders of the RELEVANT FIELD EXPERIENCE and award me 20 marks out of 20.</p>
------------------------------------	-----------	---	--	---	--

SALAH BROTHERS
 KHAIRPUR