MINUTES OF THE ADDITIONAL MEETING OF PROCUREMENT / PREQUALIFICATION COMMITTEE HELD ON 26TH AUGUST 2014 IN THE OFFIC OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING CIRCLE LARKANA REGARDING EVALUATION OF PREQUALIFICATION OF FIRMS FOR EXECUTION OF "IMPROVEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY, SEWERAGE & DRAINAGESCHEMES OF DISTRICT LARKANA &KAMBER-SHAHDADKOT".

Following attended the meeting

1. Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Sukkur Chairman. 2. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division-I, Larkano Member/Secretary 3. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division Kamber-Member Shahdadkot. 4. Executive Engineer, Rice Canal, Larkano Member 5. Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh Posted at PHED Member Kamber-Shahdadkot.

At the outset of additional meeting held in the office of the Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Larkano, regarding re-evaluating the Prequalification Documents of Fatch & Co. Firm. Thus the Pre-Qualification documents were placed before the Prequalification Committee.

The Executive Engineer, PHE Division-I, Larkano / Secretary prequalification Committee briefed the forum regarding decision taken by Complaint Redressal Committee in which the application of Fatch & Co. Firm was approved.

He further informed that the Prequalification Documents are re-considered and re-evaluated. Thus evaluation report is framed regarding Fatch & Co Firm, which has attained the 41% score.

It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the letter issued to the Firm may also be forwarded to the Project Director for information & perusal.

The meeting ended with the vote of Tanks to and from the chair.

Chairma POC/S.E PHE Cricle Sucker

Cecretary Hember Pac Member Pac XEND PHED XENDHE Kember Low leaves I

MINUTES OF THE ADDITIONAL MEETING OF PROCUREMENT / PREQUALIFICATION COMMITTEE HELD ON 26TH AUGUST 2014 IN THE OFFIC OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING CIRCLE LARKANA REGARDING EVALUATION OF PREQUALIFICATION OF FIRMS FOR EXECUTION OF "IMPROVEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY, SEWERAGE & DRAINAGESCHEMES OF DISTRICT LARKANA &KAMBER-SHAHDADKOT".

Following attended the meeting

1. Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Sukkur Chairman.

2. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division-I, Larkano Member/Secretary

3. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division Kamber-Member Shahdadkot.

4. Executive Engineer, Rice Canal, Larkano

Member

5. Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh Posted at PHED Kamber-Shahdadkot

Member

At the outset of additional meeting held in the office of the Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Larkano, regarding re-evaluating the Prequalification Documents of Fatch & Co. Firm. Thus the Pre-Qualification documents were placed before the Prequalification Committee.

The Executive Engineer, PHE Division-I, Larkano / Secretary prequalification Committee briefed the forum regarding decision taken by Complaint Redressal Committee in which the application of Fatch & Co. Firm was approved.

He further informed that the Prequalification Documents are re-considered and re-evaluated. Thus evaluation report is framed regarding Fatch & Co Firm, which has attained the 41% score.

It was unanimously decided by the Committee that the letter issued to the Firm may also be forwarded to the Project Director for information & perusal.

The meeting ended with the vote of Tanks to and from the chair.

Chairma POC/S.E PHE Circle Sucker

Secretary Hember Pac Member Pac XEN PHED XENPHE Kember Low leaves I.



Superintending Engineer Public Health Engg: Circle

Sukkur

70

No. Chairmen/PQ/Firm/ Sukkur dated:

/of/2014

/August 2014

Fatch & Co. Suit # A-312, Salman Tower, Main National Highway, Malir City, Karachi Ph #0321-3681929

Subject: Pre-Qualification of Contractors/ Firms on the Pre- Qualification Documents for "Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Scheme of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot".

Reference: Chairmen CRC/ Commissioner Larkano Division Larkano Letter No. PD/PQ 64 dated: 18/08/2014 addressed to you & copy to undersigned.

In compliance to referenced letter, the documents furnished by you were re-examined reevaluation in the light of Evaluation Criteria mentioned in SPPRA Rules 2010 by the Procurement /Pre- Qualification Committee constituted with the approval of Secretary to Government of Sindh, Local Government Department, Karachi vide letter No. DG/M&E/AD (MIS)/13(86)/14-1183/14, dated 30-05-2014 and further notified by PD/Commissioner Larkano vide No; PD/PQ/20 dated 2nd June 2014 for participation in tenders of "Improvement Of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes Of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot" to be executed through Public Health Engineering Department,

In re-evaluation of documents, your Company / Firms could not acquire the minimum qualifying score of 60% (already mentioned in the bidding documents of SPPRA format).

Resultantly, your request for Pre- Qualification is hereby rejected.

Superinten Public Health Engg: Circle Sukkur

c. c to the: -

Project Director / Chairman CRC / Commission Larkana Division Larkana for favour of his kind information.

- 0445aa

EVALUTION CRITERIA FOR THE PRE-QULIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTORS / OPERATORS

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (4)

GC CT Wild State Company of Compa

PEC Reg; in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: C4

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

	Comp	Company Prince (10 Marks)	0 Marks)	Office	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Marks)	Genral Fv	Property of the				W		
								Commence (22)	rependence (35) Personal capabilities	capabilities				
Nature Or Work For Evalution	Upto 5 Years	Upta 10 Years	Above 16Years	In the Province	In other Province / Islamahad	Outside		Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	B.E (Civit) with 5 year experience I & Registered with PEC	40	Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each	Total Marks	Remarks
	September 1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	PASSATI C				(20 Marks)			VIEW YEARS		arojest		
-	2 Marks)	(5 Marks)	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	(3 Marks)	(I Mark)	() Mark)	(4 Marks) (5 Marks) for each for each	(5 Marks) for each	(10 Marks)	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)		\$0.00		
	-	7	4				project	project		(Current or)		Million		
DHED				٥	7	æ	6	10	:	1			1000	
1	0	٠	0	,	The State of the	100				12	13	7	15	16
				•	0	0	00	0	10		**	,		

imum Qualifying Marks: 60%

POE Circle Sukkur,

Public Health Engg: Division-I Shortive Engineer Larkano

Rice Canal Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Larkana

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division



No. PD/PQ/: SLarkano dated:

/S- /Aug 2014

M/S. Damas Engineering, Government Contractors, Juneja House Near Girls College, Larkano

Subject:

Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Reference: Your application dated: 16/06/2014 and personal appearance before the

Complaint Redressal Committee

Your Application reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and it is unanimously decided as follows:

After detailed scrutiny of Application, Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee, Bid Evaluation/ Assessment and giving the personal appearance to the applicant, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has not secured minimum passing score of 60%. Hence, the application of the firm is rejected and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

Chairman CRC/ Commissioner Larkano Division Larkano

· C.C

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



No. PD/PQ/: Larkano dated:

/of/2014 1 R - /Aug 2014

Shaikh Brothers, Construction Company, Street No#, Muhalia Allah Abad Road, Air Port Road. Larkano, celi# 0333-3034437

Subject:

Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Reference: Your application No.SBCC/PD/06/2014 dated: 25/06/2014 and personal

appearance before the Complaint Redressal Committee

Your Application reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and it is unanimously decided as follows:

After detailed scrutiny of Application, Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee, Bid Evaluation/ Assessment and giving the personal appearance to the applicant, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has not secured minimum passing score of 60%. Hence, the application of the firm is rejected and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

> Chairman CRC/ Commissioner Larkano Division Larkano

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



No. PD/PO/: Larkano dated:

/of/2014 18- /Aug 2014

Sikandar Ali Junejo, Government Contractors, Juneja House Rais Jan Muhammad Khan Junejo, Near Girls College, Larkano

Subject:

Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Reference: Your application dated: 16/06/2014 and personal appearance before the

Complaint Redressal Committee

Your Application reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and it is unanimously decided as follows:

After detailed scrutiny of Application, Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee, Bid Evaluation/ Assessment and giving the personal appearance to the applicant, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has not secured minimum passing score of 60%. Hence, the application of the firm is rejected and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

> Chairman CRC/ Commissioner Larkano Division Larkano

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



No. PD/PQ/: 6

/Q-/Aug 2014

A.G Construction Company, E-3 Farzana Building 2nd Floor, Room No.7, Shaheed Milat Road, Karachi.

Subject: Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Your Application of dated 17/06/2014 is reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and unanimous decision over it is taken as following.

After detailed scrutiny of Application. Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee and Bid Evaluation/ Assessment, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has deceived in the disguise of joint venture. Besides that the applicant also did not appear on the date of hearing before committee, which shows that he is not interested to defend his case. Resultantly the application of the firm is rejected *ex parte* and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

Chairman CRC/ Commissioner
Larkano Division
Larkano

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- · Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



LARKANO

No. PD/PQ/: Larkano dated:

18- /Aug 2014

Sultan Mehmood & Company, D/145 Ground Floor Block 5 Clifton, Karachi, Ph# 021-35822961-2

Subject: Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

You're Application No.SMC/S.E/PQ/2014/144, dated: 25/06/2014 is reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and a unanimous decision over it is taken as under.

After detailed scrutiny of Application. Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee and Bid Evaluation/ Assessment, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has not secured minimum passing score of 60%. The applicant himself did not appear before the committee to defend his case. Resultantly the application, appeal of the firm is rejected *ex parte* and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

Chairman CRC/ Commissioner
Larkano Division
Larkano

C,C

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



No. PD/PQ/: 63 Larkano dated:

/of/2014 Aug 2014 *- & /*

Saadullah Khan & Bros. D/145 ist Floor, Block 5 Clifton, Karachi, Ph# 021-35822961-2

Subject: Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Your Application Sub/SE PHE/PQ/2014/040 dated 25/06/2014 is reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and a unanimous decision over it is taken as under.

After detailed scrutiny of Application. Para-wise replies of Pre-Qualification Committee and Bid Evaluation/ Assessment, this Committee unanimously is of the view that the said Company/firm has not secured minimum passing score of 60%. The applicant himself did not appear before the committee to defend his case. Resultantly the application, appeal of the firm is rejected *ex parte* and decision of the Pre-Qualification Committee, already conveyed to the applicant, is maintained.

Chairman CRC/ Commissioner
Larkano Division
Larkano

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.



No. PD/PQ/: 6
Larkano dated:

/of/2014 / Aug 2014

Fateh & Co. Suit # A-312, Salman Tower, Main National Highway, Malir City, Karachi Ph #0321-3681929

Subject:

Application under Rule 31 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Reference: Your application No.FCLNo.-02/SE/PH/2014 and personal appearance before the Complaint Redressal Committee on 08/08/2014

Your Application reviewed by the Complaint Redressal Committee and is unanimously decided as follows:

In the light of above position, this committee observed that the ground for rejection was renewal of PEC registration for the year of 2014 on website. According to the applicant, the status of company on PEC website is now got updated. Resultantly, the prayer of applicant is admitted after verification on PEC website and matter is referred to Pre-Qualification Committee to re-evaluate the case of applicant, if otherwise qualifies the score required for Pre-Qualification. The Matter is disposed off accordingly.

Chairman CRC/ Commissioner
Larkano Division
Larkano

- The Director (CB), SPPRA Karachi.
- Chief Engineer, PHED, Sukkur.
- The SE PHE Circle Sukkur/ Chairman for Pre-Qualification Committee, with request to review the application and send the result at the earliest.
- The SE PHE Circle Larkano
- The District Accounts Officer Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- The S.E (Works & Services) Larkano
- Master File.

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES MEETING HELD ON 08/08/2014 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF COMMISSIONER LARKANO DIVISION LARKANO / CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE.

SUBJECT: PRE-QUALIFICATION OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY, SEWERAGE & DRAINAGESCHEMES OF DISTRICT LARKANA &KAMBER-SHAHDADKOT".

Following attended the meeting

(The attendance sheet is attached separately).

1. Dr. Saced Ahmed Mangnejo

Commissioner, Larkano Division Larkano / Chairman.

In Chair.

2. Mr. Ram Chand P Sanjhira,

Chief Engineer,

Public Health Engg: Department,

Sukkur.

Member.

3. Mr. FerumalRohra.

Superintending Engineer.

Works & Services.

Larkano.

Member

4. Mr. Taj Muhammad Mahar

Superintending Engineer.

Works & Services.

Kamber-Shahdadkot

Member

5. Mr. Shafi Muhammad Junejo

District Accounts Officer. Larkano.

Member

At the outset of meeting. Chair welcomed all the participants. The respondent in written reply stated that:

- i. The Prc-Qualification for Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana &Kamher Shahdadkot was initially invited by Project Director / Commissioner Larkana, from the contractors / firms having Pakistan Engineering Council with specialized field having Code CE-09 & financial category C-2 and above and as per the prequalification guidelines issued by SPPRA, with deadline of submission documents up to 22-04-2014 vide No.Dev/03, dated 03-03-2014.
- ii. The Pre-Qualification was well advertised in leading newspapers and hoisted on SPIN's website.

- iii. The corrigendum of Pre-Qualification was issued as per the observations raised by the SPPRA vide letter No.Dir(CB)/SPPRA/3-4(Lrk)/13-14/6258.dated 18th April 2014 and its last date was extended upto 8th May 2014 vide letter No.PD/PQ/Cor; /08, dated 21-04-2014 and the financial limit was re-set as C-5.
- iv. In requirement of Rule-7 of SPRA 2010, a procurement prequalification committee was notified by the Project Director /Commissioner Larkana vide letter NO.PD/PQ/07, dated21-04-2014, after approval from Sceretary to Government of Sindh. Local Government Department vide letter No.LG/DG/M&E/AD-II/24/29-Larkana/2014/540(B), dated 16th April 2014 in the Chairmanship of Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle Larkano.
 - v. On retirement of Mr. FerozuddinMemon. Superintending Engineer. Public Health Engineering Circle Larkano on dated 17/05/2014 with no substitute posted on his place. Thus work was suffering. Hence the procurement / Pre-qualification Committee was re-constituted by Project Director / Commissioner Larkano vide letter No.PD/PQ/20, dated 24th June 2014, with the approval of Secretary to Government of Sindh. Local Government Department Karachi vide letter No.DG/M&E/AD (MIS)/13(86)/14-1183/14. dated 30/05/2014 under the Chairmanship of Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle Sukkur.
- vi. Altogether 50 different contractors / firms had applied for pre-qualification and prequalification Documents were issued to all of them. Out of which, only 39 firms submitted prequalification documents back duly filled.
- vii. After thorough scrutiny of submitted documents of intending firms/ contractors for Pre-qualification by the procurement committee, 10 contractors/firms were found fitting to match the requirement of prequalification as elaborated under SPPRA rules, for pre-qualification.
- viii. The letters, for information were sent to all of them through Courier Service in the light of Decision/Minutes issued by Prequalification Committee. Out of which 3 letters were returned un-delivered due to the incomplete address given by the Firms in their Prequalification Documents.
- ix. Out of remaining 26 disqualified Firms, only eight Firms, aggrieved with the decision of Pre-Qualification Committee, filed appeal against the decision of Prequalification Committee before the Complaint Redressal Committee.
- x. Redressal Committee notified by Project Director/Commissioner Larkano vide No.PD/CRC/120, dated 14th May 2014 with the approval of Secretary to Government of Sindh, Local Government Department Karachi vide Assistant Director-II (M&E) letter No.LG/DG/M&E/AD-II/24/29 Larkana/534(B), dated 16th April 2014 called the appellants. Five appellants appeared in hearingwhereasthree appellantsi.e1)A.G Construction Company,2) Sultan Mehmood& Co. and 3) SaadullahKhan& Bros do not appear before the Committee.

The Redressal Committee reviewed the 08 applications, one by one, in presence of appellants and pre-qualification committee.

appella

01. M/S Sikandar Ali Junejo

Mr. Sikandar Ali Junejo, proprietor & representative of M/S Sikandar Ali Junejo was called and he confirmed that the complaint is made by him. The chair asked from him that if you have any other observation except those mentioned in application may also state but he confined himself on the grievances given by his Firm in writing. The item wise points along with the replies of the procurement committee are mentioned as under:

S.#	Plaint	Reply
	Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the letter No. Chairman/PQ/ Firm/ 35 Sukkur dated 12 th June 2014, where in my request for pre-qualification has been rejected, hence this appeal for review/re-consideration on following grounds.	
	That M/S Sikandar Ali Junejo is most esteemed and reputed company and has been working in different departments for many years to the entire satisfaction of the officials concerned.	It is upto the proprietor of Firm, to prove the reputation and experienced skills through documentary evidence.
2	That there has been no complain against the company till today and company has always done works / contract within stipulated time.	No Comments.
3	That the requisite documents were properly furnished by the company, which have not been examined properly and finding delivered by Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle Sukkur are not justified.	The allegation levelled is baseless. The documents, thus submitted, were scrutinized by the members of Prequalification Committee, constituted with the approval of competent authority.
4	That the company comes up with the required criteria and acquire the minimum qualifying score.	The Firm do not qualify as per set criteria. It has not attained the minimum optimum qualifying score, through its documents.

Decision: After detailed deliberations, the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that firm secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

Decision: After ground that fire

02. M/S Damas Engineering

Mr. Asif Ali Abro, proprietor & representative of M/S Damas Engineering was called and he confirmed that the complaint is made by him. The chair asked from him that if you have any other observations except those mentioned in application may also state but he confined bimself on the grievances given by his Firm in writing. The item wise points along with the replies of the procurement committee are mentioned as under:

S.#	Plaint	Reply
	Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the letter No. Chairman/ PQ/ Firm/ 34 Sukkur dated 12 th June 2014, where in my request for prequalification has been rejected, hence this appeal for review/reconsideration on following grounds.	
 	That M/S Damas Engineering is most esteemed and reputed company and has been working in different departments for many years to the entire satisfaction of the officials concerned.	documentary evidence.
2	That there has been no complain against the company till today and company has always done works / contract within stipulated time.	No Comments.
3	That the requisite documents were properly furnished by the company, which have not been examined properly and finding delivered by Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle Sukkur are not justified.	constituted with the approval of competent
4	That the company comes up with the required criteria and acquire the minimum qualifying score.	The Firm do not qualify as per set criteria. It has not attained the minimum optimum qualifying score, through its documents.

Decision: After detailed deliberations the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that firm secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

03. A.G Construction Company.

The Representative of A.G Construction Company was called but he did not appear before Committee. Nevertheless, his application was considered alongwith the replies of procurement committee as under.

S.#	Plaint	Renly
S.#	As per attached copy of Superintending Engineer. Public Health Engineering Circle Sukkur letter No.Chairman/ PQ/ Firm/ 27 Sukkur dated 12 th June 2014 received in this office by courier on 16 th June 2014 regarding the rejection of Pre-Qualification Documents of our firm. Since were purchased the same in the name of A.G Construction Company and submit our case in Joint Venture as per provision of Pre-Qualification Documents and mentioned our joint venture. Please see page 09 of the said documents. Copy of which attached therefore it is not violation on concealment of facts. We know that category C-02 is required for the subjected project that's why we have clearly mention our JV status with C-01 Company is Section-III A-II Page No.9 of the SPPRA Pre-Qualification Documents provided by the authorities. We also submitted our JV profile with this document.	Reply The referenced Para is reproduced and is read as "All individual Firms and each partner of joint venture applying for prequalification are requested to complete the information in this firm" [1.Name of Firm (Legal) (in case of joint venture (JV), Legal name of each company]. The applicant applied for issuance of Pre-Qualification document only in the name of A.G Construction Company, but at the time of submission, submitted in the name of - Murtzavi Company / Firm with the disguise of Joint Venture.
2	Unfortunately the authorities overtook Section-IIIA-II Page No.9 and reject our pre-qualification documents. So, therefore you are requested to please scrutinize our documents at your level and give us an opportunity to serve better services for your project.	However, at the time of purchase of Pre-Qualification documents, it was to be purchased in the name of Joint Venture, instead it was purchased in the name of single Firm. It tantamount the concealment of facts. Hence the application, at the outset, was rejected.

Decision: The Firm in the light of instructions set in PrequalificationDocument was bound to purchase the document either in single or Joint Venture& submit accordingly. However in this case document was purchased in the name of A.G. Construction Company&was submitted in the name of Murtazavi Firm. This lacuna created the ambiguity. Besides that no one from Firm appeared in hearing. Hence appeal was decided *ex parte* and rejected.

04. Fatch & Co.

Mr. Irfan Ali Awan, representative of M/S Fateh& Co. was called by the Forum and was given chance to brief his case. The item wise points along with the replies of Pre-Qualification Committee are reproduced as under, wherein the respondents stated that his Pre-Qualification Document was not evaluated as it was rejected out rightlybecause the Firm was found renewed by Pakistan Engineering Council on website upto 31st December 2013. Therepresentative stated that his firm was renewed by Pakistan Engineer Council in financial limit of C-4 for the year 2014 during the month of January 2014. However the status on Website was not updatedby PEC, which now have been updated, he opened Pakistan Engineering Council site on his Android set and showed the updated status of his Firm, which was found renewed upto 31st December 2014. He further requested to asses / re-consider his case being on merit.

(S.#	Plaint —	<u> </u>
1 1 1		Reply
'	With reference to your letter No. Chairman/PQ/ Firm/ 41 dated 12 th June 2014we would	v · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i	like to draw your kind attention in the subject	<u> </u>
ļ	matter and it is submitted that we have	
	proviously participated in tender (D	Website and was found renewed up to
	previously participated in tender (Pre-	31 st December 2013
	Qualification of Contractor/Firms on the	
	Prequalification Documents for Improvement	
1	of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Scheme, District Larkana & Kamber	
i	Shahdadkot) and submitted all the required	
	documents including valid / renewed PEC	į
·	License (Valid up to 31st December, 2014).	ļ <u>, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —</u>
~	Your honour through the above referred letter	
1	intimated us that you have inquired through	get their License, renewed for the year
į	the Official Website of PEC License has not been renewed.	[†] 2014.
$+_3$		
.)	Sir, it is for your kind knowledge that we	The countersigned license is re-
	have renewed our PEC License by going	verified on 1st August 2014 on Net and
1	through and completion of all the formalities,	found the license No.C4/07893, with
	however, we are unaware that why PEC has not updated our data on its website,	expiry date of Dec 31st 2013. The
ļ		address of Firm shown on PEC
İ	furthermore, we have approached to the Branch Office of PEC and got Countersigned	Certificate is A-312 Salman Tower
		Main National Highway Malir City
1	by the Competent Authority on the photocopy of our valid / renewed PEC	Karachi. However the address shown
	License.	
	Electise.	Tower Gulistan-E-Johar Block-15
ĺ		Karachi.
		This facunae, shows something is
	I	under the matter. Resultantly the
	Therefore, you are requested to kindly enlist	application was rejected at the outset.
. !	our firm as pre-Qualified Contractor Firm in	The Firm do not fulfil the mandatory
l !	your worthy department, we are submitted	requirement of renewal for year 2014.
	PEC Licence (duly Countersigned by the	consideration.
	Branch Office PEC).	i
	j=	
5/0	Sir it is prayed to look into the matter for	No Comments
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	NO COMMERCS,

- 1	Justice and Transparency in awarding of Pre-	
	Qualification, we are waiting for award of Pre-Qualification through your honourable	
- 1	justice.	
	J	ļ

Decision: After detailed discussion and confirmation on PEC Website, it was decided that the Procurement Committee may re-consider and re-evaluate his case on merit and inform accordingly to the quarter concerned.

05. Sultan Mehmood& Company

The Representative of Sultan Mehmood Company was called but he did not appear. However, his application was considered along with the replies of procurement committee as under.

S.#	Plaint	Reply
2	Your early cooperation in this regard shall highly be appreciated.	No Comments.

Decision: After detailed deliberations the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that none from Firm/ company appeared for defending the case and secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

06. Saadullah Khan & Bros

The Representative of Saadullah Khan & Bros was called but he did not appear. However, his application was considered along with the replies of procurement committee as under.

S.#	Plaint	Reply
1		The reason for disqualifying the Firm is
	provide us the reason/s for	mentioned in the body of rejection letter sent to the Firm.
	disqualifying us in subject Pre-	
	Qualification, please.	
2	Your early cooperation in this	No Comments.
	regard shall highly be appreciated.	i i

Decision: After detailed deliberations the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that none from Firm/company appeared for defending the case and secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

07. M/S Shaikh Brothers Construction Co.

Mr. Mehdi Hassan Shaikh, proprietor & representative of M/S Shaikh Brothers Construction Co.was called and he confirmed that the complaint is made by him. The chair asked from him that if you have any other observations except those mentioned in application may also state but he confined himself on the grievances given by his Firm in writing. The item wise points along with the replies of the procurement committee are mentioned as under:

S.#	Plaint	Reply
· 	Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the letter No. Chairman /PQ/ Firm/ 33. Sukkur dated 12 th June 2014, where in my request for Pre-Qualification has been rejected, hence this appeal for review / re-consideration on following grounds.	i
	That M/S Shaikh Brothers Construction Co is most admired and well-reputed Company and has been working in different departments for many years to the entire satisfaction of the official concerned.	reputation through documentary
F ₂	That firm has especially much experience purely in Water Supply. Drainage & Sewerage Sectors & many other projects, same nature of work were completed / executed under supervision of Public Health Engineering Department.	of work by submitting documentary evidence.
3	That this firm has Registered in Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C-5 & having code of specialization in CE-09 (Sewerage Work & Water Supply).	Agreed.
4	That there has been no complaint made against out company till today and company has always done works/contract within stipulated time.	No comments.
5	That the requisite documents were properly furnished by the company, which have not been examined properly and finding delivered by Superintending Engineer. Public Health Engineering Circle Sukkur are not justified.	Firm along with the Prequalification
	Dat the company comes up with the requisite criteria and acquire the minimum qualifying score.	The Firm is lacking in attaining the minimum optimal score for its qualification.

Decision: After detailed deliberations the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that firm secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

08. M/S Sikandar Ali Khokhar

Mr. Muhammad Saleh Khokhar, representative of M/S Sikandar Ali Khokharwas called and he confirmed that the complaint is made by him. The chair asked from him that if you have any other observations except those mentioned in application may also state but he confined himself on the grievances given by his Firm in writing. The item wise points along with the replies of the procurement committee are mentioned as under:

S.#	Plaint	Reply
	This is reference to the Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Sukkur vide letter No. Chairman/ PQ/ Firm/ 42 dated 12-06-2014 in which our firm / company was rejected due to not acquiring the minimum score of 60% for pre-qualification.	Agreed.
2	Whereas the DC/PD Larkana vide letter No. DC/AD Dev/2014/1212 dated 24-03-2014 has already been pre-qualified in our company through procurement / Prequalification committee in roads and Public health Engineering Sectors copy of which is enclosed for your kind perusal, keeping in view our company is working satisfactory in PHED since 1990. This can be evident from the record of PHWD engineering sector.	The qualification in one department do not tantamount that all other Department should follow the action. The Firm is disqualified due to not attaining minimum score / marks.

Decision: After detailed deliberations the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that firm secured less score than the minimum qualifying score of 60%

The Meeting ended with the vote of thank	s to and from the chair
Menio.No: 54	
Dated: 15-08-2014	1/8/10
•	(Dr. Saeed Ahmed Mangnejo)
	Commissioner Larkano Division/
	Chairman, Gricvances Redressal Committee

Copy t	0:
	The Manager (CB), Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi
	All concerned

ATTENDANCE SHEET

Appealants in the cre on stofly.

	1 1			
Sr. No	Name of Company/firm	Name of Representative	Signature	Contact No.
1.	M/S SIKEduran	Mohamod scen.	Gald.	360.34/13
2.	M'S FATEHS CO	By Pyfou,	2	0321368185
3.	M/s Shaik Bisgling	Mehadi Hassan	Humi	0333-1565
4.	Ms. S. Karda Ali	Selecudor Stigs	Sihodo	0300-3435
5. N	Damas Eng.	Asy	And	0302700
6.		,		
7.				
8.			/	
	1	1	1	i I

Tay Mohammadminar Provi. Thefways coale

Feril Mal. Rohra 5.E, Workstsenrides Carlaine.

Chi Duc Min

JRP-1 K.

Pamchad P. Sanjka

List of Participants of Complaint Redressal Committee held on 08/08/2014, regarding "Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana & Kamber-Shahdadkot" Project.

S.#	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No.	Signatura
	Name of Farticipant			Signature
; 1 '	Dr. Jace	1	929933	- 22-8
2	Tay Molammed Mahas	SE Providifizhway Cirle Larlenhi	0333/ 7065403	Nyman 2222
3	Feru Mal, Rofina	S.E (WES) LRK	63co.30/6900	Lessan
4	Ramchad	chi's Sipia	05 00 3314345	Pre-ju.
5	Shafi N. Fre fo	12 1x 0 Larkana	.03 00 - V563 UPI	- (00/
6				
7	•			
8				•
9				
10	3		74.	



No. PD/CRC/ Larkano dated:

/4 - /May 2014

NOTIFICATION

12

With the approval of Secretary Local Government Department, Karachi vide Assistant Director-II (M&E) letter No. LG/DG/M&E/AD-II/24/29-Larkana/534(B) dated 16th April 2014, undersigned is pleased to constitute the "Committee for Redressal of grievances and Settlement of Disputes" as per Rule-31 of SPPRA 2010 for prequalification/ procurement of the Firms for the Project "Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana & Kamber-Shahdadkot".

The Committee shall comprise of the following officers.

01	Project Director/ Commissioner Larkana Division, Larkana	Chairman
02	Chief Engineer, PHE Department, Sukkur	Member
03	Superintending Engineer(W&S) Larkana	Member
04	Superintending Engineer (W&S) Kamber-Shahdadkot	
05	District Accounts Officer, Larkano	Member Member

Terms of Reference

The Committee shall function in accordance with provision of Sindh Public Procurement Authority Rules 2010.

Project Director/ Commissioner Larkano Division Larkano

CC.

- Secretary Local Govt, RD. Public Heath Engg & Housing Town Planning Department Govt, of Sindh Karachi.
- Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority, Barrack 8 Secretariat 4A Court Road Karachi Tel: 92-21-99205369.
- Chief Engineer PHED Sukkur.
- > Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle, Larkana.
- Superintending Engineer, Works & Services, Larkana.
- Superintending Engineer, Works & Services, Kambar-Shahdadkot.
- Executive Engineer PHE Division-I Larkano.
- Executive Engineer PHE Division Kamber-Shahdadkot.
- District Accounts Officer Larkana.
- Office order file.



No. PD/PQ/ 🤝 7 Larkano dated:

/of/2014 2_[— /April 2014

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of Powers conferred by Rule 7 of SPPRA 2010 and with the approval of Secretary, Local Government Department, Karachi vide No. LG/DG/M&E/AD-II/24/29-2014, the Procurement/ Pre-Qualification Committee for the Project "Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana & Kamber-Shahdadkot" is hereby constituted as under.

01	Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Lurkana	Chairman
02	Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division-I, Larkano	Member
03	Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division Kamber-Shahdadkot	Member
.04	Executive Engineer, Rice Canal Division, Larkana	Member
05	Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh posted at PHED Kamber-	Member
	Shahdadkot	

The Committee will be responsible for:

- Preparing Bidding Documents.
- Carrying out technical as well as financial evaluation of Bids.
- Preparing Evaluation Report as provided in Rule 45, SPPRA Rules 2010.
- · Making recommendations for the award of contract to the competent authority; and
- Perform any other function ancillary and incidental to the above.

Project Director/ Commissioner
Larkano Division
Larkano

CC.

- Secretary Local Govt, RD, Public Heath Engg & Housing Town Planning Department Govt, of Sindh Karachi.
- Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority, Barrack 8 Secretariat 4A Court Road Karachi Tel: 92-21-99205369.
- > Chief Engineer PHED Sukkur.
- Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle, Larkana.
- Executive Engineer PHE Division-1 Larkano.
- Executive Engineer PHE Division Kamber Shahdadkot.
- Executive Engineer Rice Canal Division Larkana.
- Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh posted at PHE Kamber Shahdadkot.
- Office order file.



No. PD/PO/ Larkano dated:

/of/2014

/June 2014

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of Powers conferred by Rule 7 of SPPRA 2010 and with the approval of Secretary, Local Government Department, Karachi vide No. DG/M&E/AD(MIS)/13(86)/14-1183/14, dated 30/05/2014 the Procurement/ Pre-Qualification Committee for the Project "Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana &Kamber-Shahdadkot" is hereby constituted as under.

01 02 03	Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Sukkur Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division-I, Larkano Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division Kamber- Shahdadkot	Chairman Member/Secretary Member
04 05	Executive Engineer, Rice Canal Division, Larkana Divisional Accounts Officerof AG Sindh posted at PHED Kamber-Shahdadkot	Member Member

The Committee will be responsible for:

- Preparing Bidding Documents.
- Carrying out technical as well as financial evaluation of Bids.
- Preparing Evaluation Report as provided in Rule 45, SPPRA Rules 2010.
- Making recommendations for the award of contract to the competent authority; and

Perform any other function ancillary and incidental to the above.

Project Director/Commissioner Larkano Division

Larkano

CC.

- Secretary Local Govt, RD, Public Heath Engg& Housing Town Planning Department Govt. of Sindh Karachi.
- Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority, Barrack 8 Secretariat 4A Court Road Karachi Tel: 92-21-99205369.
- Chief Engineer PHED Sukkur.
- Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle, Sukkur/ Larkana.
- Executive Engineer PHE Division-I Larkano/ Kamber Shahdadkot.
- Executive Engineer Rice Canal Division Larkana.
- Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh posted at PHE Kamber Shahdadkot.
- Office order file.

Equipment Capabilities of the Bidders Improvement of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm

S.No	Name of Article	Unit	Quantity Required	Quantity Available	Maximum Marks	Marks Obtained
]	Excavator	No.	1		2	
2	Tractor Trollys	No.	1		2	
3	Water Tanker	No.	1		2	
4	Dewatering/ Desilting Pumps with Motor/ Engine	No.	2		2	
5	Mixer Machine	No.	2		2	
6	Vibrator	No.	2		1	
7	Generator	No.	2		l	
8	Levelling Instrument	No.	1		11	
9	Steel Form Work for Nala & Drain	No.	20		2	
10	Wooden/ Steel Plate Shuttering Manholes & RCC Circular Tanks	Sft	1000		2	
11	Butt Fusion Joint Machine for PE Pipe	No.]		1	
12	Weilding Plant for MS Pipe	No.	1		l l	
13	Scaffolding & Plates for Pipe Laying	No.	1000		1	
		· ———		Total	20	0

Criteria for Assessment of Financial capabilities of firms

"Improvement Of Water Supply, Sewerage & Drainage Schemes of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot"

- 1. Auditer Financial statement for last five years or any other document which verifies their financial status.
- 2. Working capital in hand for the Project considering Rs: 50 Million as Bench Mark.

i.	Less than 15% of Estimates cost of work	02 Marks
ii.	15-25% of Estimates cost of work	04 Marks
iii.	26-40% of Estimates cost of work	08 Marks
iv.	More then 40% Estimates cost of work	10 Marks

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF PROCUREMENT / PREQUALIFICATION COMMITTEE HELD ON 3.00 PM ON 10TH JUNE 2014 IN THE OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: CIRCLE LARKANA REGARDING EVALUATION OF PREQUALIFICATION OF FIRMS FOR EXECUTION OF "IMPROVEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY, SEWERAGE & DRAINAGE SCHEMES OF DISTRICT LARKANA & KAMBER-SHAHDADKOT"

Following attended the Meeting

01 02 03	Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Sukkur Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division-I, Larkano Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg: Division Kamber- Shahdadkot	Chairman Member/Secretary Member
04 05	Executive Engineer, Rice Canal Division, Larkana Divisional Accounts Officer of AG Sindh posted at PHED Kamber-Shahdadkot	Member Member

At the outset of the meeting, held in the office of the Superintending Engineer, PHE Circle Larkana, regarding evaluation of Pre-Qualification of Constructors/ Operators. Their Prequalification documents were placed before the committee.

The Executive Engineer PHE Division I Larkano/ Secretary Prequalification Committee briefed the forum regarding Pre-Qualification Documents of interested Constructors/ Operators which were opened on 09th May 2014.

He also informed that 39 Constructors/ Operators submitted the documents which were scrutinized/ evaluated in detail. Ten Constructors/ Operators, having different financial limits, out of 39 got the aggregate Qualifying score of 60% as mentioned in evaluation criteria made under SPPRA rule 2010. The remaining 29 firms failed to achieve the targeted score and became liable to be rejected due to the various/ following reasons.

Registration of 02 Firms with PEC could not be confirmed on Net. i)

03 Firms were registered in PEC up to Dec 2013 and were not renewed as ii) confirmed on Net. (iii

01 Firm applied with one name and submitted document with other name.

23 Firms did not achieve the minimum score of 60%. iv)

The chair further informed the forum that the affidavit regarding the black-listing &/or No-Litigation had not been furnished by few of the Constructors/ Operators.

The assessment/ evaluation was already carefully made by the technical team of Engineers and the same was approved unanimously by this forum. It was also decided that the recovery

the same was approved unanimously by this forum. It was also decided that the necessary intimation would be given to all pre-qualified/ dis-qualified constructors in time with the information to Sindh Procurement Regulatory Authority Karachi.

The Constructors/ Operators, who have Pre-qualified but have not submitted the affidavit regarding the non-black-listing on stamp paper of Rs. 100 would be instructed for furnishing the same up to 25th June 2014 to confirm their pre-qualification.

The Project Director may be informed about the outcome of Pre-Qualification committee and lists of Pre-Qualified & Dis-qualified Firms may also be furnished to the Project Director so that the NITs may be sent by him regularly to the Pre-Qualified Firms.

The meeting ended with the vote of Thanks to and from the chair.

LIST IF PARTICIPANTS ATTENDING THE MEETING REGARDING EVALUATION
OF PREQUALIFICATION OF FIRMS FOR EXECUTION OF "IMPROVEMENT OF
WATER SUPPLY, SEWERAGE & DRAINAGE SCHEMES OF DISTRICT LARKANA &
KAMBER-SHAHDADKOT"

S No.	Name of Officer	Designation	T-0:
1	KHALIL AHMED SOOK	Designation Uo SE	Signature
2	Abded wales Salute		
3.	Abdullah Sangi	XEN PHE	
4.	Thulan Other Lace	YEN Rice	Sund
.5.	Goslan Hande Krifin	S. Tree	17



Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

PROJECT DIRECTOR / COMMISSIONER LARKANO DIVISION LARKANO

Zulfigar

•

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

List showing Pre Qualified Firms

		•	D		
S N	Tender	Name Of Constructor/	Marks	Postino Vol. Postino	Domondo
S.IV0.	Register No:	Operator	Obtained		Kelliafks
1	2	3	4	5	9
	CA				
_	1	NPI Construction& Co	81	Qualified	
2	91	Muhammad Ayoob & Bros	29	Qualified	
	CB				
3	2	Crescent Construction & Co	86	Qualified	
4	8	Fazal Karim & Co	63	Qualified	
	C 1				
5	3	Samad Khan Babar & co	69	Qualified	
9	14	PCC Engg: & Construction	63	Qualified	
	C 2				
7	7	Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co	86	Qualified	
8	29	Haji Sayed Ameer & Bros	65	Qualified	
	C 3				
6	50	Jawad Construction	83	Qualified	
	C 4				
10	37	Abdul Manan Chandio	86	Qualified	
	C 5				
		NIL			



Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

List showing Not Pre Qualified Firms

)		
S	Tender	Name	Marks	Qualified/ Not	Remarks
	Register No:	Operator	Obtained	Qualified	IXIIIAI IX3
-	2	3	4	5	9
	CA				
1	11	Saadullah Khan & Co	44	Not Qualified	
2	12	Sultan Mehmood & Co	39	Not Qualified	
3	13	Shaikh Baz Khan	99	Not Qualified	
4	18	United Construction & Co	45	Not Qualified	
5	21	Kaimkhani & Bros	35	Not Qualified	
9	22	Mian Abdul Jabbar & Co	47	Not Qualified	
7	25	Qalandar Bux Abro	57	Not Qualified	
8	31	Khan Muhammad Khan & Co	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
	CB				
6	5	Fazal & Bros	20	Not Qualified	
10	19	Muhammad Ramzan & Co	43	Not Qualified	
	C 1				
=	9	Al Khair Construction & Co	38	Not Qualified	
12	20	MSKB Khan Bros	48	Not Qualified	
13	32	Evershine Construction & Co	52	Not Qualified	
	C 2				
14	6	M Y Bros	0	Not Qualified	Not Found in PEC Registration on Net
15	15	Sayed Engg: & Co	58	Not Qualified	
16	27	Shaikh Hayat Khan & Bros	42	Not Qualified	

	3	4	3	9
Z	Zahid Ali Jatoi	43	Not Qualified	
S	Sikandar Ali Khokhar	51	Not Qualified	
▼.	A G Construction Co	0	Not Qualified	
<u> </u>	Jagirani Construction & Co	54	Not Qualified	
1	Damas Engg:	45	Not Qualified	
(J)	Sikandar Ali Junejo	38	Not Qualified	
	Jatoi Traders	46	Not Qualified	
14	Rasheed Ahmed Abbasi	25	Not Qualified	
_	Fateh & Co	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
	Nadeem Enterprizes	0	Not Qualified	Not Found in PEC Registration on Net
	Shaikh Bros	99	Not Qualified	
	M B Builders	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
	Amjad Muhammad Ali & Co	51	Not Qualified	



Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

		improvement of water Supply, Severage & Diamage Senems of District Earnaing & Ivaniori Shandannor	age or Diannage	שניים יהויים אין וייפון דו המווים וויים	C Namious Shandannos
Z	Tender	Name Of Constructor /	Marks	Onelified/ Not Onelified	Domonito
.0.T.C	Register No:	Operator	Obtained	Vuanneu/ 1991 Vuanneu	INCIDAL RS
1	2	3	4	æ	9
	CA				
1	1	NPI Construction& Co	81	Qualified	
2	11	Saadullah Khan & Co	44	Not Qualified	
3	12	Sultan Mehmood & Co	39	Not Qualified	
4	13	Shaikh Baz Khan	56	Not Qualified	
5	16	Muhammad Ayoob & Bros	<i>L</i> 9	Qualified	
9	18	United Construction & Co	45	Not Qualified	
<i>L</i>	21	Kaimkhani & Bros	38	Not Qualified	
8	22	Mian Abdul Jabbar & Co	47	Not Qualified	
6	25	Qalandar Bux Abro	25	Not Qualified	
10	31	Khan Muhammad Khan & Co	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
	CB				
11	2	Crescent Construction & Co	86	Qualified	
12	5	Fazal & Bros	20	Not Qualified	
13	8	Fazal Karim & Co	63	Qualified	
14	61	Muhammad Ramzan & Co	43	Not Qualified	
	C 1				
1.5	3	Samad Khan Babar & co	69	Qualified	
16	9	Al Khair Construction & Co	38	Not Qualified	
17	14	PCC Engg: & Construction	63	Qualified	
18	20	MSKB Khan Bros	48	Not Qualified	
16	32	Evershine Construction & Co	52	Not Qualified	
	C 2				
20	7	Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co	86	Qualified	

21	6	M Y Bros	0	Not Qualified	Not Found in PEC Registration on Net
22	15	Sayed Engg: & Co	58	Not Qualified	
23	27	Shaikh Hayat Khan & Bros	42	Not Qualified	
24	29	Haji Sayed Ameer & Bros	99	Qualified	
	C3				
25	43	Zahid Ali Jatoi	43	Not Qualified	
26	49	Sikandar Ali Khokhar	51	Not Qualified	
27	50	Jawad Construction	83	Qualified	
	C 4				
28	30	A G Construction Co	0	Not Qualified	Has Used Brochure of Mrtazavi Company
29	34	Jagirani Construction & Co	54	Not Qualified	
30	37	Abdul Manan Chandio	86	Qualified	
31	40	Damas Engg:	45	Not Qualified	
32	42	Sikandar Ali Junejo	38	Not Qualified	
33	44	Jatoi Traders	46	Not Qualified	
34	46	Rasheed Ahmed Abbasi	25	Not Qualified	
35	48	Fateh & Co	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
	C S				
36	35	Nadeem Enterprizes	0	Not Qualified	Not Found in PEC Registration on Net
37	38	Shaikh Bros	95	Not Qualified	
38	45	M B Builders	0	Not Qualified	Not Renewed for 2014 as Per PEC Site on NET
39	47	Amjad Muhammad Ali & Co	51	Not Qualified	



Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: NPI Construction & Engineering

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09

Financial Limit:

~				
Remarks				
Total Marks			15	81
Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million			14	10
Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)			13	20
Personal capabilities (20 Marks) (20 Marks) B.E (Civil) Diploma in Civil experience Enginecriin & with Fees with PEC of 2 years (10 Marks)			12	01
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	01
erience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	'n
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)		6	12
de try			æ	0
Office Facilities (5 Marks) Genral H Project Similar Similar Nature Province / Country over last years (2 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) Office Facilities (5 Marks) (4 Marks)		(1 Mark)	7	
Office	In the Province		9	ю
Marks)	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	5	10
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0
	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0
Nature Of Work For Evalution`			2	PHED Related
#. *-			-	-

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg; Division Exective Engineer

Larkano

QUALIFIED

Result:

Rife Canal Division Larkana ive Engi

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Crescent Construction Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: CB

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (15)

	Remarks		91		
	Total Marks				
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		14	10	
Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million				01	
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	20	
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	Project of Similar Similar Experience Engineerin & Sutth Form Civil Nature In & Sutth Form Civil Sutth Form	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	01	
erience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	\$1	
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Similar Completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	_	6	20	
Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0	
Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamabad	(1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	0	
Office	In the Province		9	c	
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	2	10	
	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0	
Сотра	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0	
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related	

S.#

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-1

Superintending

Exective Enginest
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

QUALIFIED

Result:

Ective Engineer Rec Canal Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Samad Khan Babar & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of/Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (9)

Remarks	91
Total Marks	69
Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	5
Equi capa (20 N	13
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks) B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & gwith Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	5
)	01
ks) Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks) (5 Marks) for each project	01
Genral Experience (35 Marks) Project of Similar Similar Completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks) Marks) (4 Marks) (5 Marks) for each for each project project	91
Marks) Outside Cauntry (1 Mark)	x 0
Facilities (5 Marks) In other Province / Cauntry Islamabad (I Mark) (I Mark) (I Mark) (I Mark) Genrall Simila Natur Country Over last Years (A Marks) (A Marks) project	0
3 1	3
Company Prfile (10 Marks) Offic Upto 5 Upto 10 Above In the Years Years 10 Years Province (2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	e 01
Company Prfile (10 Marks) pto 5 Upto 10 Abov cars Years 10Year farks) (5 Marks) (10 Mar	0
	0
Nature Of Work For Evalution	PHED Related
, S. —	- m

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective-Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-I Larkano

Result:

Canal Division

Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Divisional Accounts Officer

Larkana

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Fazal & Brothers

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: CB

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

	Remarks		91		
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million				
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million		13	10	
Personal capabilities equired for this project (20 Marks)	Personal capabilities (20 Marks) B.E. (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with PEC of 2 years 10 Marks) (10 Marks)				
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	Project of Similar Similar And (15 Marks) Marks) Project of with 5 year Civil Civi	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	10	
erience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	5	
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	(4 Marks) for each project	6	0	
Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0	
Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / 18lamabad	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	0	
Office	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	ю	
Marks)	Above 10Years	(10 Marks)	S	0	
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	8	
Compa	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0	
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related	
	S:#		-	5	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

perintending the PHE Circle Sakkur

Exective-Engineer
Public Health Enge: Division-1

Exective Engineer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Exchve, Engliner Ric Canal Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Al- Khair Construction Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (8)

	16				
	Total Marks				
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each Project 50.00		14	0	
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million		13	10	
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	0	
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	01	
rience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	0	
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	(4 Marks) for each project	6	4	
Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	œ	0	
Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamabad	(I Mark) (I Mark) for each project	7	-	
Office	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	8	
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	S	01	
	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0	
Сошра	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0	
	S.# Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related	
	%:		-	9	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-I

Superintending a

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Result: NOT QUALIFIEI

Fresh F

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Zulfina

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Mohammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of/Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (6)

Financial Limit: C2

			r	1
	Remarks		91	
	Total Marks			
Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million			14	01
Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million				20
this project arks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	01
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	10
Facilities (5 Marks) Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	15
	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)		6	20
	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0
	In other Province / Islamabad	(1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	0
Office J	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	3
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Above 10Years	(10 Marks)	S	01
	Upto 10 Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	4	0
Сотран	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related

8.#

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Execute Engineer
Public Health Engs: Division-1
Larkano

Superintending His Circle

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engs: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

QUALIFIED

Result:

Exercise Engineer
Ric Canal Division
Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Fazal Karim & Company

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (6)

PEC Reg: in 2014; Confirmed Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

	Remarks		16	
	Total Marks			
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		14	10
	Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million		13	01
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in Civil Cxperience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	01
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	-	01
erience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) fur each project	10	5
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Nature Completed Over last (5) Marks) Marks)	(4 Marks) for each project	6	4
Company Prfile (10 Marks) Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Outside Country	(I Mark)	8	0
	In other Province / Islamabad	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	1
	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	m
	Above 10Years	(10 Marks)	5	10
	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0
	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0
Nature Of Work For Evalution`			2	PHED Related
	S:#		_	∞

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Result:

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

> Rige Canal Division ive Engineer

Larkana

Superintendin

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Exective Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: M/Y Brothers & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Confirmed Financial Limit: C2

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09

Remarks 16 Marks Total 15 Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of project 50.00Each 7 capabilities Equipment (20 Marks) 13 completed Nature In experience Engineerin B.E (Civil) | Diploma in experience required far this project of 2 years (10 Marks) Personal capabilities gwith Civi) (20 Marks) Registered with 5 year with PEC (10 Marks) Project of Genral Experience (35 over last (5) Hand (15 Similar Marks) (5 Marks) for each project Marks) years (20 Similar Nature Marks) (4 Marks) for each project Outside Country (1 Mark) Office Facilities (5 Marks) Islamabad Province/ In other (2 Marks) |(5 Marks) |(10 Marks)|(3 Marks) |(1 Mark) 10 Years Province In the Company Prfilc (10 Marks) Upto 10 Years Upto 5 Years S.# Nature Of Work For Evalution

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Not Evaluated as its Registation with PEC on Net is not found.

PHED Related

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-1

Result: NOT QUALIFIEI

0

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division
Rive Car
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Edive Enginer Div

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg. Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Saadullah Khan

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched


	Genral Experience (35 required for this project Marks) (20 Marks)	15
CA	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	
Financial Limit: CA	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	
CE 09 (18)	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	-
Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (18		

_				
	Remarks		16	
	Total Marks		15	44
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		14	10
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million		13	10
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	0
resonal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	01
crience (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	0
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)		6	0
Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0
Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamabad	(1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	-
Office	In the Provinee	(3 Marks)	9	m
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	ĸ	01
	Upto 10 Vears	(5 Marks)	4	0
Сощря	Upto 5 Vears	(2 Marks)	С	0
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related
	S.#		-	1

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Result: NOT QUALIFIED



Canal Division Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Sultan Mahmood & Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: CA

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (18)

		Сотра	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Marks)	Office	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	erience (35 ks)	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	apabilities this project arks)				
Ž # %	Nature Of Work For Evalution`	Upto 5 Years	Upto 10 Years	Above 10Years	In the Province	In other Province / Islamabad	Outside Country	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	Project of Similar Similar Caperience Engineerin & Barks) Hand (15 Registered experience With PEC of 2 years	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of Each project 50.00 Marks) Equipment Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	Total Marks	Remarks
		(2 Marks)	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks)	(10 Marks)	_	(I Mark) (I Mark) for each project	(I Mark)	÷	(5 Marks) for each project	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	(10 Marks)				
-	2	3	4	ş	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
12	PHED Related	0	0	01	ĸ	_	0	0	0	10	0	5	01	39	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-1

Larkano

Superintered

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Exective Engineer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Fective Freigher Ree Capar Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg. Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Shaikh Baz Khan & Brothers Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (8)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

	Remarks		91	
	Total Marks		15	95
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		4	10
	Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of project 50.00 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of project 50.00 Million Million		13	10
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in Civil experience Engineerin & with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	0
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	==	01
erience (35 ks)	Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In ver last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	9.1	5
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15) years (20) Marks)		6	8
Marks)	Outside Country	(I Mark)	8	0
Company Prfile (10 Marks) Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamahad	ks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	0
	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	e.
	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Mark	5	01
	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0
	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	3	0
	Nature Of Work For Evalution		2	PHED Related
	S.#		_	13

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Larkano

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer

Rice Canal Dirtsion Larkana

> Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Exective Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: PCC Engineering & Construction Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (6)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: C1

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

		Remarks		16	
		Total Marks	"	15	63
		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		41	10
		B.E. (Civil) Diploma in caperience Engineerin & Guidess (10 Marks) (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of gwith PEC of 2 years with PEC of 2 years		13	10
	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	Project of Similar Nature In Rand (15 Marks) With PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	10
	Personal c required for (20 M	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	=	10
	erience (35 -ks)	Similar Project of Similar Similar Similar Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15) years (20) Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	5
)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	(4 Marks) for each project	6	4
	Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0
	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamabad	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	7	-
	Office	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	w
) Marks)	Above 10Years	(10 Marks)	5	01
	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0
	Сотря	Upto 5 Vears	(2 Marks)	3	0
		Nature Of Work For Evalution		2	PHED Related
		S.		-	14

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Excellent Engineer
Public Health Eng: Division-1
Larkano

Superintending

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

QUALIFIED

Result:

Ective Enginer Ree Canal Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Enggr Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Syed Engineering Co Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed Financial Limit: C2

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Remarks 16 Marks Total 2 80 Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of project 50.00Million Each 7 Equipment capabilities (20 Marks) 13 10 experience Engineerin B.E (Civil) | Diploma in experience required for this project (10 Marks) of 2 years gwith Personal capabilities Civil 12 0 (20 Marks) with 5 year Registered with PEC (10 Marks) 9 Genral Experience (35 Project of Nature In Hand (15 Similar Marks) (5 Marks) for each project 10 10 Marks) over last (5) completed Project of Similar years (20 Nature Marks) (4 Marks) for each project ∞ Country (1 Mark) Office Facilities (5 Marks) Islamabad Province/ In other (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) 0 Province In the 9 3 10Years Above Company Prfile (10 Marks) 0 (5 Marks) Upto 10 Years 0 Upto 5 Years (2 Marks) S.# Nature Of Work For PHED Related **Evalution**,

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-I

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

E ctive Exemer Ree Canal-Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Enge: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Mohammad Ayoub & Brothers

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (17)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of/Litigation: Yes Atteched

	Remarks		16	
Total Marks				<i>L</i> 9
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		14	01
required for this project (20 Marks) B.E (Civil) with 5 year Registered with PEC of 2 years required for this project Civil Equipment Assuming capabilities capabiliti				10
apabilities this project arks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	(10 Marks)	12	01
Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	11	10
rrienec (35 ks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	10	5
Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)		6	∞
Marks)	Outside Country	(1 Mark)	8	0
Office Facilities (5 Marks)	In other Province / Islamabad	(1 Mark)	7	
Office	In the Province	(3 Marks)	9	æ
Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Above 10Years	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	5	10
	Upto 10 Years	(5 Marks)	4	0
	Upto 5 Years	(2 Marks)	£	0
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`		2	PHED Related
	S:#		_	16

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-I

Superintending B PHE Circl

OUALIE

Result:

E Caral Devision Larkana

> Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: United Construction Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (13)

Financial Limit: CA

B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil Equipment experience Engineerin eapabilities & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years (10 Marks) (10 Marks)	
Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	In other Outside Country Over last (5) Islamabad Country Sears (20 Marks) In other Similar Project of Similar Civil Similar experience Engineerin capabilities the cost of Each Standard Search Searc
Project of Similar Similar Similar Similar Completed Over last (5) Over last (6) Over	Project of Similar Similar Nature Similar cxperience completed over last (5) Marks) Marks) Marks) Marks) Marks) Marks) Marks) (4 Marks)
of Project of r Similar led Nature In (15) Hand (15) 20 Marks) s) (5 Marks) for each project	of Project of With 5 year Civil Equipment Assuming Engineerin capabilities the cost of Marks) (5) Hand (15) Registered experience 20 Marks) with PEC of 2 years (5) Marks) For each project project (10 Marks) (10 Marks)
	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin capabilities the cost of & Each with PEC of 2 years (10 Marks)
B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin eapabilities the cost of & Each with PEC of 2 years (10 Marks) (10 Marks) (10 Marks)	Equipment Assuming eapabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Fach project 50.00 Million
Diploma in Civil Equipment Assuming Engineerin capabilities the cost of g with experience of 2 years (10 Marks) (10 Marks) (10 Marks)	Equipment Assuming eapabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Fach project 50.00 Million
Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million	Equipment Assuming eapabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Fach project 50.00 Million
Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
	Total Marks

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintendi

PHE-Circle Sukkur

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Canal Privision Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Mohammad Ramzan & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

Financial Limit: CB

19		-			S.#	
PHED Related		2			Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	>	Ç.		(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Сопрап
_		4		(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	5	5		(10 Marks)	Above 10 Years	Marks)
	,,	6	\	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office l
	 o	_	1	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
	0	0	c		Outside Country	Marks)
	0		٥	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
	0	-	10	for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks) Marks)	
	10		11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
	10		12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	pabilities this project arks)
	5		13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year experience & g with & capabilities & capabilit	
	5		14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
	43		15		Total Marks	
			10		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-l Larkano

Superintend

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Divisional Accounts Officer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: M.S Khan & Brothers

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (4)

Financial Limit: C1

20		_]				S.#		
PHED Related	Di III Di Istad	2				Nature Of Work For Evalution`		
	0	w		(2 Marks)		Upto 5 Years	Compan	
	0	4		(5 Marks)		Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	
		v		(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)		Above 10Years	viarks)	
	ယ	0		(3 Marks)		In the Province	Office F	
	0	,	1	(I Mark)		In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	
	0	,	×	(1 Mark)		Outside Country	Marks)	
	4		9	for each project	(A Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	
	0		10	for each project	/S Marks)			
	10		11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)		B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Gwith & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	required for this project (20 Marks)	Personal capabilities
	10		12	(10 Marks)		Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	this project arks)	pabilities
	10		13		-	Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)		
	J		14			Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million		
	22	}	15	ñ		Total Marks		
				16		Remarks		

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintending

\$ukkur

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exoctive Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Divisional Accounts Officer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

arkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Kaim Khani & Brothers

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (18)

Financial Limit: CA

													FIED Related	12
	<u> </u>	5	10	10	0	0	0	0	ယ	0	0	2	PUED Related	:]
					,	,	0		6	5	4	ယ	2	_
_	14	13	12	11	10	٥	c	1						
<u> </u>			(10 Marks)	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	ت	(1 Mark)	(4 Marks) (2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) (1 mark) (2 marks) (2 marks) (4 marks	(3 Marks)	(10 Marks)	(5 Marks)	(2 Marks)		
ial less less less ling ling lt of lt of long long long long long long long long	Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Outside Country	In other Province / Islamabad	In the Province	Above 10Years	Upto 10 Years	Upto 5 Years	Nature Of Work For Evalution	S,#
			this project arks)	required for this project (20 Marks)	1	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Marks)	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Office	Marks)	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Compar		
_				,									•	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-I Larkano

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Superintent

PHE Circle

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Canal Division Zarkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Mian Abdul Jabbar & Co

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (11)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Financial Limit: CA

22	-	·	S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
33	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
4	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature completed over last (5) years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15	Experience (35 Marks)
10	Ξ	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
0	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	apabilities this project larks)
10	13		Diploma in Civil Engineerin capabilities the cost of g with experience of 2 years Diploma in Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
10	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
47	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Qalandar Bux Abro & Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (10)

Financial Limit:

			FA	
25			S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compar
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
رى -	6	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	In the Province	Office
0	7		In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
4	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature completed over last (5) years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil Equipment experience Engineerin capabilities g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)		apabilities this project arks)
10	13		Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million	
10	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
57	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintenting by PHE Creck Sukkur

Exective Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Shaikh Hayat Khan & Brothers

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

Financial Limit:

			,			(·		U	c	PHED Related	7/
	43	10	.n	- - - - -	5)	- -	-	>		0	'n	>		
16	15	14	13	12	=	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	ų	2	-
				(10 Marks)	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	(4 Marks) for each project	(I Mark)	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	(3 Marks)	(10 Marks)	(5 Marks)	(2 Marks)		-
Remarks	Total Marks	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year experience & Civil & Equipment & Assuming & Each &	Diploma in Civit Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	B.E (Civit) Diploma in with 5 year Civit experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years		Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Outside Country	In other Province / Islamabad	In the Province	Above 10Years	Upto 10 Years	Upto 5 Years	Nature Of Work For Evalution`	S.#
				apabilities this project arks)	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)	1	Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Marks)	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Office	Marks)	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Compa		

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintending PHE Sir

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

arkana Division

Divisional Accounts Officer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Haji Syed Ameer Brothers

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

Financial Limit:

	65	10	10	10	10	Ċi.	12	0	0	ω	0	5	0	PHED Related	29
16	15	14	13	12	=	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	ı	2	-
				(10 Marks)	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	(5 Marks) for each project	(4 Marks) for each project		(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	(3 Marks)	(10 Marks)	(5 Marks)	(2 Marks)		
Remarks	Total Marks	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year caperience & g with & Goundness (10 Marks) & Equipment & Assuming capabilities & Each with PEC of 2 years with PEC of 2 years & Financial Soundness (10 Marks) & Equipment & Assuming the cost of the cost of the cost of 50.00 Million	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Outside Country	In other Province / Islama bad	In the Province	Above 10Years	Upto 10 Years	Upto 5 Years	Nature Of Work For Evalution`	S,#
				apabilities this project arks)	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)		Genral Experience (35 Marks)	Marks)	Office Facilities (5 Marks)	Office	Marks)	Company Prfile (10 Marks)	Compa		

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Result:

QUALIFIED

Exective Engineer

PHE Circle

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Public Health Engg: Division-l

Larkano

Canal Division Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: A.G Construction

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization:

Financial Limit:

30			% #:	
0 PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compar
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
0	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
0	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8		Outside Country	Marks)
0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	
0	=	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
0	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	apabilities this project arks)
0	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year experience Engineerin & Equipment & Assuming & Civil & Capabilities & Each & Each & Project with PEC of 2 years & Million	
0	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
0	15		Total Marks	
	16	į	Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

. - -

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg. Division-I
Larkano

Superintending ting

Skur

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

E ective Engencer Rice Capar Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Khan Mohammad Khan & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Renewed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization:

Financial Limit: CA

w	<u>_</u> .		S.	
31 PHED Related	1 2		.# Nature Of Work For	
Not Evalua	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
ited as the F	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
Not Evaluated as the Firm is not renewed for the Year 2014	5	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	Above 10Years) Marks)
newed for th	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
ne Year 2014	7	(1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
	8	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
	6	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 ·ks)
	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineering & with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineering with experience of 2 years	apabilities this project arks)
	13		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million	
	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
0	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Superintending Engineer PHE Circle Sakkur

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

e Carfal Division Carkana

-

Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Evershine Construction

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (16)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Atteched

32			ý	_
-	+	 	Z. **	-
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	5	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	Above 10Years	0 Marks)
ယ	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	œ	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
4	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	perienee (35 rks)
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year civil experience g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	apabilities this project arks)
5	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & Gapabilities the cost of & Each with PEC of 2 years Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Each project \$60.00 Million	
10	14	•	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
52	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer

Superintending PHE Circle

Sukkur

Larkano

Public Health Engg: Division

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Jagerani Construction Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

Financial Limit:

34	_		S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
2	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
0	55	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years) Marks)
ω	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8	(1 Mark)	In other Outside Province / Country	Marks)
4	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature Completed Over last (5) Years (20 Marks) Project of Project of Nature In Nature In Nature In Nature In Nature In	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
10	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 rks)
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	onal capabilities ed for this project (20 Marks)
10	13		Diploma in Civil Engineerin capabilities g with experience of 2 years Diploma in Civil Equipment Assuming the cost of 50.00 Million	-
5	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
54	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Public Health Engg; Division-l Exective Engineer DW /12 Larkano

Superintending E

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

ice Canal Division Larkana ctive Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Nadeem Enterprises

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Found

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization:

Financial Limit:

33 S.# Nature Of Work For PHED Related Evalution` (2 Marks) | (5 Marks) | (10 Marks) | (3 Marks) | (1 Mark) | (1 Mark) Upto 5 Years Company Prfile (10 Marks) Years Upto 10 0 Above 10Years S Province In the 0 Office Facilities (5 Marks) Islamabad Province / In other _ Country Outside for each over last (5) Hand (15 | Registered | experience projeet (4 Marks) completed Nature In experience Engineerin Genral Experience (35 Project of Marks) Similar Nature Marks) project for each (5 Marks) Project of Similar -0 $(10 \text{ Marks}) \mid (10 \text{ Marks})$ with 5 year B.E (Civil) Diploma in with PEC of 2 years required for this project Personal capabilities (20 Marks) Civil 12 0 Equipment (20 Marks) capabilities | the cost of 0 3 Assuming (10 Marks) Soundness project Financial Million Each 50.00 7 0 Marks Total 0 2 Remarks 6

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

-

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-l
Larkano

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Superintending En

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Rective Engineer Hoe Canal Division Larkana

Divisional Accounts Officer Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Zulfiga

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Abdul Manan Chandio

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Financial Limit:

37	-		S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	Sh	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years) Marks)
ω	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	1	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8		In other Outside Province / Country	Marks)
20	9	(4 Marks) for each project		Genral Experience (35 Marks)
15	10	(5 Marks) for each project		
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)		Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diptoma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities equired for this project (20 Marks)
20	13		Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)	L
10	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50,00 Million	
98	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Jam Il

Public Health Engg: Division-l Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintending E PHIL Circle Sukkur

Result:

QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Shaikh Brothers

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Financial Limit:

38	-		S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	C	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Сотря
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	y,	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years) Marks)
ω	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	~		Outside Country	Marks)
∞	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 ·ks)
10	Ξ	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks) Project of Similar experience Engineerin & g with Marks) B.E (Civil) Diploma in Civil Engineerin Engineerin & g with experience of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	onal capabilities ed for this project (20 Marks)
10	13		Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)	
5	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
56	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Exective Engineer

te Canal-Division Larkana Tive Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Damas Engineering

Field Of Specialization: CE 09

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit: C4

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

40	<u></u> -	-	S.#	
PHED Related			Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
2	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
w	S	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	Above 10Years) Marks)
0	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	1	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	∞	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature completed over last (5) years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 rks)
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)		apabilities this project larks)
15	13		Equipment capabilities (20 Marks)	
5	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
45	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Superintending had PHE Circle Sukkur

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Public Health Engg: Division Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

cre Caner Division Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Sikander Ali Junejo

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Financial Limit:

42	_		S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
S	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
0	S	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
ω	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8		In other Province / Country	Marks)
0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 ·ks)
10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilitics required for this project (20 Marks)
10	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	ersonal capabilitics quired for this project (20 Marks)
5	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year experience Engineerin & Gapabilities Registered with PEC of 2 years B.E (Civil) Diploma in Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment capabilities the cost of 2 years (20 Marks) Each project 50,00 Million	
5	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50,00 Million	
38	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer Larkano

Superintending

PHE Circle Sukkur

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Zahid Ali Jatoi

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Financial Limit:

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

43	_	4	S.#	
PHED Related	7	,	Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	u	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	Si	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years) Marks)
3	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) for each project	In other Outside Province / Country	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8	(1 Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 rks)
10	=	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
0	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	Personal capabilities equired for this project (20 Marks)
10	13		Diploma in Civil Engineerin capabilities the eost of experience of 2 years Diploma in Equipment Assuming the eost of 2 years Engineerin capabilities the eost of 2 years Each project 50.00 Million	
10	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
43	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-l Larkano

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Jatoi Traders

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (4)

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Financial Limit:

	*# S							
	Nature Of Work For Evalution`							
(2 Marks)								
(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)						
(10 Marks)	Above 10Ycars	Marks)						
(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office						
(I Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)						
(1 Mark) for each project	Outside Country	Marks)						
*)	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)						
(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)							
(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma it with 5 year Civil experience Engineering with & g with Registered with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)						
(10 Marks)		apabilities this project arks)						
	Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million							
	Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million							
	Total Marks							
	Remarks							

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

PHED Related

0

0

10

S

6

œ

0

12

0

0 3

46 2

16

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engincer Larkano

PHE Circle Sukkur

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

ce Canar Division Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: MB Builders

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (C)

Financial Limit:

	7	Т		
45	_ -	•	%.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compa
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
0	S	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	Above 10Years) Marks)
0	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7	(I Mark)	In other Outside Province / Country Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	%	(I Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	010	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 rks)
0	12	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
0	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	apabilitics this project larks)
0	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year experience & Civil & Equipment & Assuming & Capabilities & Ca	
0	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50,00 Million	
0	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-I Exective Engineer

Larkano

Superintending E PHH Circle

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Rice Caral Division Larkana

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Rashid Ahmed Abbasi & Brothers

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Yes Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

Financial Limit:

ı					
	46	-	-	S.#	
	PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
	2	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compai
	0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
	0	5 1	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
	دي	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
	0	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
	0	8	(I Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
	0	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
	0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 ks)
	10	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
	0	12	(10 Marks)		apabilities this project arks)
	٠,	13		Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million	
	٥,	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
	25	15		Total Marks	
		16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division-l

Larkano

PHE Circle Sukkur

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Zulfigar

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Amjad Mohammad Ali & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

Financial Limit:

47	_		S.#	
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compai
0	4	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
10	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
3	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
0	7		In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
0	8	(I Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)
&	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Hand (15 Marks)	erience (35 ks)
10	11	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineering & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal eapabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
0	12	0 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in vith 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	apabilities this project arks)
10	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & Gapabilities Registered with PEC of 2 years with Civil experience with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment (10 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million	
10	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
51	15		Total Marks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Superintending Engineer PHE Circle Sukkur

Exective Engineer Public Health Engg: Division-I Larkano

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Zulfiqar

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Fateh & Co

PEC Reg: in 2014: Not Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (4)

Financial Limit:

: C4

48 PI	-		S.# Natu	
PHED Related	2	_	Nature Of Work For Evalution`	
Not Evaluat	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Years	Compar
ed as the Fi	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)
rm with PE	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)
C is not Ren	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office
Not Evaluated as the Firm with PEC is not Renewed for the Year 2014	7	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)
e Year 2014	8		Outside Country	Marks)
	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)
	10	(5 Marks) for each project		erience (35 ks)
	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) with 5 year experience & Registered with PEC	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)
	12	(10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil Equipment capabilities & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	apabilities this project (arks)
	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & Goundness (10 Marks) & Soundness (10 Marks) & Civil Equipment capabilities the cost of & Each with PEC of 2 years (20 Marks) Project 50.00 Million	
	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million	
0	15		Total Narks	
	16		Remarks	

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Exective Engineer
Public Health Engg: Division-I
Larkano

Superintending

PHE Circle Sakkur

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Executve Engineer

Public Health Engg: Division

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Active Engineer Division Public Larkana Kamber

Divisional Accounts Officer
Public Health Engg: Division
Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

Zulfigar

EVALUTION REPORT FOR THE PRE-QULIFICATION OF BIDDERS

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Sikandar Ali Khokhar

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (5) Financial Limit:

4		<u> </u>	S						
49	_		ς, #						
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`						
0	3	(2 Marks)	Upto 5 Upto 10 Above In the Years Years Years Province Islamabad Similary Project Similary Province Islamabad Similary Province Islamabad Spears (2 Marks) (5 Marks) (1 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark) (4 Marks) (2 Marks) (5 Marks) (1 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)						
0	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)					
10	5	(10 Marks)	Above 10Years	Marks)					
အ	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office					
0		(1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)					
0	8	(I Mark)	Outside Country	Marks)					
8	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Illand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)					
0	10	(5 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Nature In Illand (15 Marks)	ľ					
01	11	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)					
0	12	(10 Marks)	Diploma in Civil Engineerin g with experience of 2 years	apabilities this project arks)					
15	13		B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year civil experience & g with Registered with PEC of 2 years with PEC (10 Marks) (10 Marks) (10 Marks) Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Equipment Assuming capabilities the cost of the cost of 2 years (20 Marks) (20 Marks) Million						
5	14		Financial Soundness (10 Marks) Assuming the cost of Each project 50.00 Million						
51	15		Total Marks						
	16		Remarks						

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-l Exective Engineer

Larkano

Superintending believe PHE Circle

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Result: NOT QUALIFIED

Larkana anal Division

> Public Health Engg: Division Divisional Accounts Officer

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber

EVALUTION REPORT FOR THE PRE-QULIFICATION OF BIDDERS

Improvement of Water Supply, Severage & Drainage Schems of District Larkana & Kamber Shahdadkot

Name of Firm: Jawad Construction Company

PEC Reg: in 2014: Confirmed

Affidavit Of / Litigation: Not Atteched

Field Of Specialization: CE 09 (3)

Financial Limit: C3

F			70						
50	-		S.#						
PHED Related	2		Nature Of Work For Evalution`						
	3	(2 Marks)							
. Vi	4	(5 Marks)	Upto 10 Years	Company Prfile (10 Marks)					
	5	(2 Marks) (5 Marks) (10 Marks) (3 Marks) (1 Mark) (1 Mark)	Above 10Years	Marks)					
ω	6	(3 Marks)	In the Province	Office					
	7	(1 Mark)	In other Province / Islamabad	Office Facilities (5 Marks)					
	8		Outside Country	Marks)					
20	9	(4 Marks) for each project	Project of Similar Project of Nature Similar completed Nature In over last (5) Hand (15 years (20 Marks)	Genral Experience (35 Marks)					
15	10	(5 Marks) for each project							
10	=	(10 Marks) (10 Marks)	B.E (Civil) Diploma in with 5 year Civil experience Engineerin & g with Registered experience with PEC of 2 years	Personal capabilities required for this project (20 Marks)					
10	12	(10 Marks)		ipabilities this project arks)					
15	13		Equipment Assuming eapabilities the cost of (20 Marks) Each project 50.00 Million						
5	14								
83	15		Total Marks						
	16		Remarks						

Minimum Qualifying Marks: 60%

Public Health Engg: Division-l Exective Engineer

Superintendia

PHE-Circle

Larkano

Result:

QUALIFIED

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Public Health Engg: Division Exective Engineer

Can'al Division

Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber Divisional Accounts Officer
Public Health Engg: Division



Purchase Order

PO NO: FD (CTC-I) 03(02)/13-14

PO Date: 02nd June, 2014

Supplier:

Roomi Enterprises Suit No.02, Irshad Terrace, Moor Street, Pakistan Chowk, Karachi, Pakistan.

Phone: +92-21-32631457 +92-21-32214387 Fax:

Delivery Required by:

01 to 05 day

Bill to Location:

Room No. 171, CTC-I Section, Ground Floor, Finance Complex, A-K Lodhi Block, Sindh Secretariat, Shah-r-Kamal Attaturk, Karachi, Pakistan.

Syed Muntazir Jaffri Attention:

Ship to Location:

Finance Department, Govt of Sindh, Building No. 06, A-K Lodhi Block, Sindh Secretariat, Shah-r-Kamal Attaturk Karachi, Pakistan.

Phone: 021-99222113

Delivery Date: June 07, 2014

We are informed to confirm the purchase order on behalf of Finance Department as per details given below:-

Sr No	Description	Qty	Amount Including Taxes
01	APC Smart 10KVA single Phase output UPS with 30-Minutes Back-up time >System: SURT10000XLI >Tower Mount. >UPS with (Batteries disconnected) >Two Front bezels Literature Kit containing:- >Software >Smart-UPS User manuals CD >Six output power cords >Serial Cable >Product documentation, safety and	01 Unit	Rs. 988,650/-
	warranty information >SNMP/Web Card User Manual		ase order is PAK Rs. 988,6

Total value of this purchase order is PAK Rs. 988,650/-

(PAK: Rupees Nine Lac Eighty Eight Thousand Six Hundred & Fifty only)



Contd to next page ...

Terms & Conditions:

- 2. The specification of the Heavy Duty U.P.S is to be in accordance with your bid submitted for this purchase. Any deficiency in the item will be met by the supplier at no cost to Finance Department.
- 3. Invoice should be addressed to <u>Section Officer (B&A)</u>, <u>Finance Department</u>, <u>Govt of Sindh</u>, <u>Karachi</u> with the original invoice (along with photocopy of this P.O and original Delivery Challan).
- **4.** In case of delay attributable to supplier, the supplier shall become liable to penalties for breach of contract. Such penalties shall be levied at the rate of 0.25% of the total purchase order amount per day of delay from original delivery date. The above prices include all Govt/applicable Taxes.

5. Finance Department reserves the right to cancel/revoke this purchase order if delivery is not made within the delivery period.

(AAMIR ZIA/ISRAN) SECTION OFFICER (B&A)

C.C to:

1. The Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Govt of Sindh, Karachi.



Address:

Room No. 171, Care Taker Cell-I, Ground Floor Finance Department,
Government of Sindh, Building No. 06, Sindh Secretariat A.K Lodhi Block,
Shah-r-Kamal Attaturk, Karachi



Suit # 2, Irshad Terrace, Moor Street, Pakistan Chowk, Karachi. 7200 Pakistan.

Ph: 021-32631457,32621496 Fax: 92-21-32214387 NTN No. 2271848-6, S.Tax No.17-50-9999-132-55

E-mail:info@roomienterprises.com

Web:www.roomienterprises.com

EPSON Authorized Reseller

Ref.:FD/CTC-1/1203/14

June 02, 2014

To, Finance Department, A.K Lohdi Complex

Govt. of Sindh Karachi- Pakistan

Subject: acknowledgement of P.O # FD CTC-1 /3(2)/2013-2014

Dear Sir.

With reference to the subject above, I have received you purchase order # FD CTC-1

/3(2)/2013-2014 UPS APC 10000VA and confirming and accepting the P.O, Delivery possible

Date 09-06-14: 07-06-14

Thanking You, Very truly yours,

For, Roomi Enterprises.

S.Muntazir Jaffri 0300-3991405



ANNEXURE-D

FINANCIAL PROPOSAL

	Roomi Enterprises
Name of the Firm and Address	TOTAL CASE
Suit#2, Ishad	TASSACE MOOR Street
Pakujan chou	JK, Konach

2. The rates including all Taxes for provision of Heavy Duty UPS are mentioned below:-

Rupees: Nine Hundred Eight Eight Mousands ix

Hundred Fift only

Milled Report Finds Authorized Signature with Share

Name: SYEM MUNIALIN

Regulation of Street Many All

Regulation of Street Munial Many All

Regulation of Street Municipal Municipal Munial Many All

Regulation of Street Municipal Mun

Bidding Documents



GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN Central Board of Revenue Islamabad

File No. 87/KHVREG/QL/2008/07/7554

CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION Under Sales Tax Act. 1980)

This is to certify that M/s. M/S ROOMI ENTERPRISES

address SHOP#02 IRSHAD TERRACE MOOR STREET PAKISTAN CHOWK
KARACHI hav

have been registered as:

≭ Manufacturer	×	Importer	X	Exporter	K	Distributor
* Retailer	V	Whelesaler	×	Service Provider		

This means that they must:

- Charge sales tax on all taxable supplies made during the course of taxable activity.
- (ii) File a return in the designated bank relating to a month on or before the 15th of the following month, unless otherwise prescribed.
- (iii) File a Nil-Return if no taxable activity takes place during a tax period.
- (iv) Abide by provisions of Sales Tax Act, 1990, and rules made thereunder.

Their Sales Tax Registration Number is

17-50-9999-132-55

Date of Registration is

22-JUL-2006

2271848

and National Tax Number is

[Note: The Sales Tax Registration number must be shown in the return and on all the invoices issued by them and quoted in all their correspondence with the Sales Tax Department.]

Secretary (Registration)
CENTRAL REGISTRATION OFFICE

AANIR 77A ISRAN
Section Officer (Stan)
Finance Department
Government of Sindh

My and.

2 ZW



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH FINANCE DEPARTMENT CONTRACT AGREEMENT

This AGREEMENT of Contract (hereinafter called the "CONTRACT") is made on this 03rd day of June 2014, between FINANCE DEPARTMENT GOVT. OF SINDH (hereinafter called "Procuring Agency") and M/S. ROOMI ENTERPRISES, Suit No.02, Irshad Terrace, Moor Street, Pakistan Chowk, Karachi, Pakistan (hereinafter called the "Contractor").

WHEREAS the Finance Department "Procuring Agency" is desirous that certain work viz supply of one Heavy Duty U.P.S. should be supply by M/S. ROOMI ENTERPRISES "Contractor" and the Procuring Agency has accepted a bid offered by the M/S. ROOMI ENTERPRISES for the execution and completion of such work and the remedying of any defects therein.

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH AS FOLLOWS:-

- 1. In this agreement words and expressions shall have the same meanings as are respectively assigned to them in the conditions of contract hereinafter referred to.
- 2. Specification with quantity and rate:

Sr. No.	ation with quantity and rate: Description	Qtγ	Price Including All Taxes	Remarks
01	APC Smart 10KVA single Phase output UPS with 30-Minutes Back-up time or Equivalent System SURT 1000XLI. Tower Mount. UPS with (Batteries disconnected) Two front bezels Literature Kit Containing: Software Smart-UPS User manuals CD Six output power cords Serial Cable Product documentation, safety and warranty information SNMP/Web Card User Manual	01 Unit	Rs.988,650/-	With replacement Warranty

- The specifications of the U.P.S. are to be in accordance with the contractor bid submitted for this purchase. Any deficiency in the stores will be met by the "Contractor" at no cost to "Procuring Agency".
- 4. The schedule of the payments against supplies by the Procuring Agency to the contractor should be after completion of the job assigned through this Agreement, the contractor hereby covenant with the Procuring Agency to execute and complete the task and remedy defects therein in conformity and in all respects within the provision of the contract
- 5. The Procuring Agency hereby covenant to pay the contractor in consideration of the execution and completion of the task as per provision of the contract, the contract price or such other sum as may become payable under the provision of the contract at the times and in the manner prescribed by the contract.
- 6. In case of delay attributable to supplier, the contractor should become liable to penalties for breach of contract. Such penalties shall be levied at the rate of 0.25% of the total purchase order amount per day of delay from original delivery date and the price of Heavy Duty U.P.S. will be included all Government Taxes.
- 7. Contract period of the above mentioned task, terms and conditions will be commences from the contract signing date till the supply of U.P.S. delivered to the "Procuring Agency" and payment against supplies to the "Contractor" in consideration of the execution & completion of the task

Signature of the Contractor

Signature of the Procuring Agency

Nisar Ahmed Shaikh (Seal) Add: Finance Secretary (Admn/SR)

Finance Department Government of Sindh

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness-I

(Seal)

Sival
(Name, Title and Address)

Witness-II

ANTR ZIA/ISRAN Section of the and Address

Finance Department Government of Sindh



FINANCE DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

		Finance Department Govt of Sindh.
	AME OF THE ORGANIZATION /DEPTT.	Finance Department Gove of Silver
N/	AME OF THE ORGANIZATION / SE	Provincial Government
	ROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Purchase of Heavy Duty U.P.S
TI	TLE OF CONTRACT	No. FD (CTC-I) 03(02)/2013-2014
TE	ENDER NUMBER	Procurement of 10 KVA Heavy Duty
i ∖ B'	RIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	UPS for the Server of Finance
		Department
	AND OVER THE SCHEME	Government of Sindh
) F	ORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	1:00 Million
) T	ENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	-
E	NGINEER'S ESTIMATE	
i) (For civil works only)	Five (05) Days
	CCTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTINUE)	28 th April, 2014 at 01:00 pm, Monday
-, -	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIVIE)	Three (03) Nos
	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	Intee (03) Nos
1)	(Attach list of buyers)	01 No
	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING O	01 No
	RIDS	
	BID EVALUATION REPORT	Enclosed
14)	(enclose a copy)	M/s. Roomi Enterprises, Suite No.02,
		Irchad Terrace, Moor Street, Pakistan
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	Chowk, Karachi.7200, Pakistan
13)	10 une	Rs 988.650/-
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	- tod firm only
	LUNIDALI AWAYS	Single participated in in only
16)	BANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION HE	Single participated firm only
16) 17)	BANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION HE	Single participated firm only
	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REP (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID)	Single participated illinomy
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REP (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID)	Single participated firm only
	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)	Single participated firm omy
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	Single participated firm omy
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS. (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS. (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH E	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH E	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary
17) 18) 19 20	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH EMERGENCY AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes No N
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH EMERGENCY AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? 1) ADVERTISEMENT:	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes No N
17) 18) 19 20	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH EMERGENCY AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? 1) ADVERTISEMENT:	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes Date: 08 TH April, 2014 SPPRA Sr No: 19693
17) 18) 19 20	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH EMERGENCY AND AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? ADVERTISEMENT:	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes No N
17) 18) 19 20	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNU PROCUREMENT PLAN? 1) ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No)	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes Date: 08 TH April, 2014 SPPRA Sr No: 19693
17) 18) 19 20	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCEDURE EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH EMERGENCY AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDING IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? 1) ADVERTISEMENT:	CUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. BRIEF REASONS. Administrative Secretary UAL Yes Date: 08 TH April, 2014 SPPRA Sr No: 19693 No

				Domestic/ Y Int.
1	221	NΔ	TURE OF CONTRACT	
1	22)			Yes Y No
ŀ		VA/L	HETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN	Tes Y
	23)	BIL	DDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS?	
	23)	(If	yes, enclose a copy) yes, enclose a copy) Yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
		14/	WETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS	
	24)	BI	IDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS:	
		(If	f yes, enclose a copy) VHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS	Yes No N
		W	VHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETER THAN OPEN OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN	
	25)	0	OMPETITIVE BIDDING?	Yes Y No
				163
	26)	1	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	
	201	"	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED	O Yes Y No
		V	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOTTED	No No
	27)	E	BID WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALL	Y Yes Y
		1	WHETHER THE SUCCESSION SIGNATURE	
	28) '	COMPLIANT?	D Yes Y No
			WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTE	?
	29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF THE T	RE Yes No N
	-		WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BE	
	30	l.	ALLAND OF CONTRACT	
			(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes
			ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	
	3	1)	(If yes, result thereof)	No
			ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN T	THE Yes
	\ _		TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS	No
	1	32)	- details)	Yes
	-		(If yes, give details) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	
		33)	(If yes, give reasons)	No 🗸
		,		Yes
	-		DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
		34)	(If yes, give detailed reasons)	No
			THAT	THE NO NO
			WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	Yes Y
		35)	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	THE Yes No N
	+		WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISE	S IN
	1		PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SOLDETAL	LS TO
		36	BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VIS	IT, IF
		 	ABROAD:	
			(If yes, enclose a copy)	ATION Yes No N
		-	THERE PROPER SAFFGUARDS PROVIDED ST	
		3	THE CONTRACT (Bank guarantee cto).	Yes
			SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	No
				No
		1 3	ر الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	
			Signature & Official Stamp of	
			Authorized Officer	AMIR ZIA ISRAN
		1	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	ation Officer (B&A)
			•	Finance Department Government of Sindh
				Government of Sindh, Building



Suit # 2, Irshad Terrace, Moor Street, Pakistan Chowk, Karachi. 7200 Pakistan.

Ph: 021-32631457,32621496 Fax:92-21-32214387

NTN No. 2271848-6, S.Tax No.17-50-9999-132-55 E-mail:info@roomienterprises.com

Web:www.roomienterprises.com



Authorized Reseller

Date: 10/04/2014

To,

SO (B&A)

Finance Department,

Government of Sindh.

Subject:

Request for Tender Form For Purchase of UPS for Server

We feel pleasure to introduce our firm as Computers, Printers & Computer accessories other Dear Sir. computer stationery / accessories & services & Software Providers. It is pride for us to maintain prompt services for supply of high standard quality goods under well-experienced staff to save valuable time and money of our valued customers.

So you are on requested to please issue us Tender Document.

Thanking You, For, ROOMI ENTERPRISES


S.Muntazir Jaffri 0300-3991405

Finance Department Government of Sindh









B-105, Block-06 Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi Tel # 34822131, 34823227-8 Fax # 34822136 www.pioneersystem.org

Section Officer (B&A), Finance Department, Govt of Sindh. Govt of Sindh, Karachi

Apr 14, 2014

Sub: Request for Tender Document

Dear Sir,

With reference to the advertisement in PPRA regarding tender of UPS. We Pioneer Systems the importer of Power Electronic products are interested to obtain tender document. You are kindly requested to issue us a Tender form.

Your cooperation in this concern will be highly appreciated.

Thanking you,

Regards,

er Systems

0300-21014

Section Officer (B&A) Linauce Dehartment Covernment of Sindh

COMPUTER MARKETING CO. (PVT) LTD.

3rd Floor, I.E.P. Building, 97/D-1 Main Blvd. Gulberg 3, Lahore-54660, Pakistan. UAN: 92-42-111-357-357 Service Deptt. 571 1705 Fax: 92-42-571 1798, 631 1126

E-mail: cmcsales@cmc.net.pk

306 Clifton Centre, 3rd Floor, Kehkashan, Clifton. UAN: (021) 111-357-357 KARACHI

Fax: (021) 586 3444 E-mail: cmckhi@cmc.net.pk Safdar Mansion, No. 16 East Blue Area, UAN: (051) 111-357-357

ISLAMABAD Fax: (051) 2276 020 E-mail: cmcisb@cmc.net.pk FAISALABAD :

B4, Faisal Complex, Bilal Road, UAN: (041) 111-357-357 Fax: (041) 2409326 E-mail: cmcfsd@cmc.net.pk

http://www.cmc.net.pk URL

HORE



MKT/3/002 Issue-1

CMC-SR.NA.05.16474

Karachi Dated April 7th 2014

Section Officer (B&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh Karachi

Subject: Request of tender document for the "Procurement of 10 KVA UPS tender Enquiry # FD/(CTC-1)03(02)/2013-2014

Dear Sir,

With refer to the above-mentioned tender, it is requested to please issue us for the same. We enclosed the Cash of Rs 1000/- as tender fee non refundable.

Thanks and

Naveed An Sales Manager

South Region



Section Officer Bank Section Officer board Construction of Singh















NO.F.D (CTC-1)/03(02)/2013-2014

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH FINANCE DEPARTMENT


Karachi dated the 21st May, 2014

Section Officer (B&A)

To,

The director, S.P.P.R.A, Govt. of Sindh,

Karachi.



Subject:

BID EVALUATION REPORT REGARDING PROCUREMENT OF HEAVY DUTY U.P.S

FOR THE SERVER OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT

I am directed to enclosed an Original Bid Evaluation Report; original copy of Technical & Financial Minutes regarding the Procurement of Heavy Duty U.P.S for Finance Department's Server.

It is therefore, requested to post the same report on S.P.P.R.A Website and release the SPPRA I.D accordingly.

Encl. As above

D:\CTC-I UMER (2013-2014)\Tenders 2013-2014\Bid Evaluation Report

Finance Department Government of Sindh



Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

Finance Department Government of Sindh

2. Tender Reference No:

No. FD (CTC-I) 03 (02) / 2013-2014

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Procurement of Heavy Duty U.P.S

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage Two Envelopes Procedure

5. Tender Published:

In SPPRA Website with Sr No.19693

6. Total Bid documents Sold:

Three (03 Nos)

7. Total Bids Received:

One (01 No)

8. Technical Bid Opening date:

28th April, 2014

9. No. of Bid technically qualified:

One (01-No)

10. Bid(s) Rejected:

<u>Nil</u>

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

05th May, 2014



Bid Evaluation Report:

70				
Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	CARTIMERULES STORY OF
1	2	3	4	5
M/s. Roomi Enterprises	Rs. 988,650/-	Single Participated Firm	Technically Fulfill the criteria of Tender	Proposed to award a Contract

Section Officer (Budget) Services, General, Administration & Coordination Department (Member)

(Nisar Ahmed Shaikh)

Deputy Secretary (Admn/Sr) Finance Department (Member)

(Mohammad Abid Qureshi)

Additional Finance Secretary (Admn/Sr) Finance Department

(Chairman)

(Aamir kia Isran)

Section Officer (B&A)

Finance Department

(Member)

(Syed Shah Hussain Shah)

Deputy Secretary (Admn)

Works & Services Department

(Member)

ANTIR ZIA ISRAN Section Officer (B&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh

GENERAL TERMS & CONDITIONS:-

A. SELECTION METHOD:

- 1. Selection Method of Bid is being involved on the basis of Single-Stage Two-
- 2. The Technical Proposals would be scrutinized by the "Departmental Purchase Committee" and "Financial Proposals" of only technically qualified firms will be considered and opened on the date as announced/conveyed by the DPC/Finance Department and the rest of will be returned unopened.
- 3. Only one authorized representative per bidder will be allowed to attend the opening of Bids. A representative from a bidder will be required to submit an authority letter in his/her favor by the respective bidders for attending the
- 4. The Finance Department will not be responsible for any costs or expenses incurred by the bidders in connection with the preparation or delivery of bid. Bidders shall submit Bids, which comply with the Bidding Documents. Alternative Bids will not be considered.

B. BID SECURITY:

- 1. A Bid Security, in the shape of a Bank Draft/ Pay order in favor of Section Officer (B&A), Finance Department, Government of Sindh.
- 2. Equivalent to 3% of the total value as earnest money.

C. VALIDITY OF THE PROPOSAL:

The Bid validity period will be (02) months, starting from the last date for receipt of bid submission and all prices shall remain valid for (02) months, however, the responding organization is encouraged to state a longer period of validity for the proposals.

D. CURRENCY:

The Financial Proposals shall be quoted in Pakistani Rupees (PKR) Only.

E. WITH-HOLDING TAX, SALES TAX & OTHER TAXES:

1. The responding Organization is hereby informed that the Government shall deduct Taxes at the rate prescribed under the Tax Laws of Pakistan, from all payments for services rendered by any responding organization who signs a

Page 4 **Bidding Documents**

AMIR ZIA ISRAN tion Officer (B&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh

contract with the Government. The responding organization will be responsible for all taxes on transactions and /or income which may be levied by Government. If responding organization is exempted from any specific taxes, then it is advised to provide the relevant documents with the proposal.

2. The firm should be registered with Income Tax, Sales Tax (Registration Numbers should be clearly mentioned and valid documentary evidence be attached).

F. WITH DRAWAL OR QUALIFYING OF TENDER:

The last tender received shall supersede and invalidate all tenders previously submitted by the bidder. A tender may be withdrawn at any time up to the Official tender closing date & time.

G. VALIDITY OF TENDER:

Validity of tender will be based on the following:-

-Must be rejected. 1. Late Tender

-Must be rejected. 2. Form of Tender & Envelopes improperly

Marked or not used. -Must be rejected. 3. Tender not completed in ink or type writer

-Must be rejected. 4. Restrictions, Qualifications, Omissions or additions made to Tender.

-Must be rejected. 5. Tender not properly signed. -Must be rejected.

6. Arithmetic Errors.

7. Erasures, overwriting or strike-outs may be accepted, provided that they are clear, prices are legible and any such changes are initiated by the Bidder.

8. Despite any other provision in this Tender documents, the Finance Department shall have the right to:

a) Accept or Reject any Tender or Portion thereof;

b) Reject all Tenders;

c) The lowest or any Tender will not necessarily be accepted.

H. MODE OF PAYMENT:

Payment will be received to the Firm against the supply through a Cross Cheque from Accountant General Sindh (AG Sindh).

I. COMMUNICATION:

Inquiries regarding this RFQ shall be submitted in writing to:

"SECTION OFFICER (B&A), ROOM NO. 171, GROUND FLOOR, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, A.K LODHI BLOCK, SINDH SECRETARIAT SHAH-R-KAMAL ATTATURK, KARACHI". Ph No. 021-99222113

Page 5 **Bidding Documents**



AAMIR ZIA ISRAN

Section Officer (B&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh

J. MODE OF DELIVERY:

Bids must be submitted in two separate envelopes clearly marked as "Technical Proposal" & "Financial Proposal" by 12:00 Noon on 28th April, 2014 on the address given at above serial "I".

The Bidders should examine carefully the terms & conditions of the Tender. They should also visit the Office of Finance Department at any time during working hours at their own expenses and obtain all necessary information prior to submitting the tender. Clarification if any, about the job may be obtained from the Section Officer (B&A) or Caretaker Cell at any time during working hours before submitting tenders. Once the Tender is submitted, it will be assumed that no further clarification is required.

Finance Department reserves the rights to extend the opening date of the Bids, cancel the Tender or accept/reject any or all Bids without assigning any reasons.

NOTE:-

Any effort by a bidder to influence the contract in DPC's decision in respect of Bid Evaluation or contract award will result in the rejection of the Bidder's Bid.

AANII ZIA ISRAN Section Officer (8&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh

EVALUATION CRITERIA & COMPARISON OF BIDS:

Bidders who have been qualified on the basis of the preliminary evaluation shall be eligible for a de-evaluation. The purchase will evaluate and compare the bid that have been determined to be substantial responsive. The evaluation will be performed assuming the contract will be awarded to the high evaluated bidder for the entire information system.

The following weights will be used in the evaluation of bids:-

Technical Evaluation: - 70% Financial Evaluation: - 30%

Tegrinie: Erickanaling arabeiteign Wignes sto

			Total	,		Remarks from		
		us version	Marks		2402003°O .	bidd	er	
		, a 197						
	Required Field	Marks		1982年1月1日 1982年1月1日 1982年1日 1	i di	ocume	ntarv	
1 j.)	•						tached or	
						no		
	Registered Name of Bidder	25		25 Marks will be given to bidder	Yes	(}	
				who registered in Industries Department, Govt of Sindh	No	()	
9 -cg	No of Years in Business	25		25 Marks if the firm proves 05-	Yes	ſ	1	
				years experience	No	ì	í	
	Bank certificate	25		25 Marks if the Financial position	Yes	Ì	j ,	
	NIEN D			is sound	No	()	
	NTN Registration Certificate	25		25 Marks if firm has NTN	Yes	()	
	Sales Tax Registration Certificate	o =		Certificate	No	()	
	sales tax Registration Certificate	25		25 Marks if Firm is registered with	Yes	()	
1617	Chamber of commerce or its allied	25		Sales Tax department.	No	()	
	body	25	200	25 Marks if Firm is a member of any chamber	Yes	Ĺ)	
****	Affidavit	25		25 Marks will be given to the	No	ĺ)	
		43		bidder who provided a affidavit on	Yes No	(j	
. 77				stamp paper that the firm has not	NO	ι	J	
				been blacklisted by private, Govt,				
	Authorization/dealership certificate	0 =		Semi Govt, and Autonomous Body)				
J.	from Original Equipment	25		25 Marks will be given to bidder who provided Authorization/	Yes	()	
	Manufacturer			who provided Authorization/ dealership Certificates	No	(}	
	THE STATE OF THE S	P. Walland					M. Harr	
	The state of the s			100 Marks will be given to the	Yes	m.e* - 4/2011**	totalit opening	
	Replacement warranty	100	100	bidder who provided warranty of	No	ì	í	
				UPS for replacement if burnt or damage (atleast 01-year)		`		

Bidding Documents

A Market of Sindh

Section ace Department of Sindh

Covernment of Sindh

Page 7

	Catalogue evidence of workshop products and machinery & tools installed List of Qualified/Certified support team of Professionals	50 25	100	50 Marks if the firm has established workshop along with list of tools/equipments installed 25 Marks if the firm has minimum 03-qualified/certified team or skilled professionals with	Yes No Yes No		
	Customer feedback certificate Report State Bank Credit Certificate	25 20	20	experience of 05-years 25 Marks if the firm has customer feedback certificate from customer 20 Marks on production of Bank	Yes No Yes	(
	Experience in field	100	100	Credit Certificate 100 Marks if the firm has attached proofs/work orders of similar projects. Minimum 05 proofs required.	No Yes No	()

Note:

The required field at Sr # 04 & Sr # 05 should be provided by each & every interested firm and must get 350 Marks (out of 520) in Technical Proposals for qualifying as per above mentioned criteria.

AMIR ZIA ISRAN Section Officer (8&A) Finance Department Government of Sindh