

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | Project Management Unit, Thar Coal and Power Technical Assistance Project, M&MDD, Government of Sindh |
| 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | A project of M&MDD, GoS |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | Interior Designing and Office Furnishing Contract |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | INF/KRY.No:1615/09 |
| 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Establishment of the PMU of TCAP-TAP |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | Project Coordinator, PMU, TCAP-TAP |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | Rs. 10,000,000 |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) | N/A |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | September 2009 |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | May 22, 2009 at 4:00 p.m |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) | One |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | One |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | One |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) | Enclosed (Annex-A) |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | Polyconsult International, 16-C Second Floor, 14 Street, Stadium Commercial Phs. V |
| 16) DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) | N/A |
| 17) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | Rs. 6,867,347 |
| 18) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID). | Polyconsult International |
| 19) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| a) <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| c) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| d) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| e) <input type="checkbox"/> IN CASE OF CONSULTANCY: | |
| (i) Least Cost | |
| (ii) QCBS | |

(iii) QBC _____

(iv) CQS _____

- ☐ PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Secretary, M&MDD, GoS

21) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|

22) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

| | |
|-----|--------------------|
| Yes | Tender number 2667 |
| No | |

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

| | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------|
| Yes | April 23, 2009 in Daily Dawn and others |
| No | |

23) NATURE OF CONTRACT

| | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Local | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Int. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|

24) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

26) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|

27) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

29) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

30) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|

31) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

32) WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

33) WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA/OTHER
APPLICABLE RULES
(If yes, give reasons)

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | N/A |
| No | |

34) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | N/A |
| No | |

35) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | N/A |
| No | |

36) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

| | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes | Since no bids were received the time was extended which is also shown on the SPPRA website. |
| No | |

37) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | N/A |
| No | |

38) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|

39) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|


40) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|

41) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | N/A |
| No | |

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


SECRETARY
Mineral & Mineral Development Deptt.
Government of Sindh.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

PROJECT MANAGEMENT UNIT
THAR COAL AND POWER TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROJECT, M&MDD
EVALUATION SHEET FOR INTERIOR DECORATOR

| EVALUATION CRITERIA | | Max. Weight | POLYCONSULT | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | | | Rating | Score |
| 1 | Experience Related to the Similar Assignments | 35 | 90 | 31.5 |
| 2 | Annual Turnover Supported by Income Tax Return | 10 | 80 | 8 |
| 3 | Assignments in Hand | 10 | 90 | 9 |
| 4 | Clientage | 20 | | 4 |
| a | Local | 5 | 80 | 4 |
| b | Foreigners | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Managerial Capability (Regular Manpower) | 15 | 90 | 13.5 |
| 6 | Type and No. of Machinery / Equipment | 10 | 90 | 9 |
| TOTAL | | 100 | | 75 |
| Rating: | | Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90% Good - 80% Above Average - 70% Average 60% | Below Average - 50% | Non-complying - 0% |

Note: No interband ratings allowed in application of the above rating scale. For example, only a rating of 90 or 80 can be given, not 85, 87, etc.

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
MINES & MINERAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TENDER NOTICE

Sealed Tenders are invited from registered Companies/Firms/contractors/interior designers for interior designing and furnishing of office / residence premises of PMU in Karachi. The firms meeting the criteria under PPRA Rules are eligible for participation.

The interested and reputed companies/firms/contractors/interior designers having adequate experience of executing interior designing and furnishing works may submit their offers / proposals in sealed package containing two separate envelopes, i.e. Technical and Financial Proposals to the undersigned. The technical proposal should contain following information:

- i) Profile of the Company
- ii) Registered name and address of the firm with telephone and telefax numbers installed in their name.
- iii) Financial stability certificate from the bank duly supported by the bank statement for the last one-year.
- iv) Copy of NTN certificate & GST Number.
- v) Organizational setup of firm indicating name of principals and technical staff.
- vi) List of existing valuable clients with addresses and telephone numbers.

CONDITIONS:

All bids / tenders in sealed envelopes accompanied with bid security at 2% of bid price in the form of bank draft/pay order in favour of Secretary Mines & Mineral Development Department must be submitted with each offer. Technical & Financial Proposals for Interior Designing and Furnishing should be marked on the envelopes.

1. The Bidding Documents containing detailed terms & conditions, specification of items can be obtained on written request from the office of undersigned on payment of a non-refundable fee of Rs.2000/- in shape of bank draft/pay order in favour of Secretary Mines & Mineral Development Department on any working day upto 1100PST on or before 09th May, 2009.
2. Tenders / Bid Proposals should reach the Mines & Mineral Development Department, 1st floor Tughlaq House, Sindh Secretariat, Kamal Attaturk Road, Karachi on or before 11 May, 2009 at 1400PST, which will be opened on same day at 1430PST in the presence of Bidder's Representatives.
3. Procuring Agency may reject all or any bids subject to the relevant provisions of PPRA Rules.

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY
MINES & MINERAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
MINES & MINERAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
 214, 1st floor Tughlaq House, Sindh Secretariat, Kamal Attaturk Road, Karachi
 PH: 021-9211389, FAX: 021-9211296

INTERIOR DESIGNING AND FURNISHING SERVICES OF PMU LOCATIONS

Assessment Criteria:

The bids will be evaluated as per the following criteria;

| Sr. No. | Grading:- | Marks |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | Experience related to the similar assignments | 35 |
| 2. | Annual Turnover supported by income tax return | 10 |
| 3. | Assignments in Hand | 10 |
| 4. | Clientage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local • Foreigners • Total | 05 15 20 |
| 5. | Managerial Capability (Regular Manpower) | 15 |
| 6. | Type and No. of Machinery/Equipment | 05 |

Note: Minimum score required to pass for Pre-qualification is 60%