Tel:
99211171
Fax:
99211172

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

Karachi, dated the October 2017

NO. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Mis:/117(72-Misc)/2017, The Competent Authority is pleased to constitute Complaint Redressal Committee of Karachi Development Authority.

The composition of CRS is as follow:-

1	Chief Engineer KDA	Chairman
2	Accounts Officer KDA	Member
3	Ex-Chief Engineer KMC	Member

02 The Function and responsibilities of the complaint redressal committee shall be as provided under SPP Rule-31(1)(2) 2010 (amended).

MUHAMMAD RAMZAN AWAN
SECRETARY LOCAL GOVERNMENT

NO. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Mis:/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 Karachi, dated the 26 October 2017

A copy is forwarded for information and taking further necessary action to:-

1. The Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority, Karachi.
2. The Director General, Karachi Development Authority.
3. The Chief Engineer (Dev), Karachi Development Authority.
4. The Municipal Commissioner, Karachi Metropolitan Corporation.
5. The Member of Procurement Committee
6. PS to Chairman, P&D Board, GoS, Karachi.
7. PS to Minister LGD.
8. PS to Secretary LGD.
9. Office Copy

(LATIF KHAN)
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR-II (M&E)

KARACHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (DEV)
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

4th Floor, Civic Center, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

NO:CE(DEV)/Engg:Deptt:/KDA/2017/158-A 22nd November 2017.

ORDER

SUBJECT: COMPOSITION OF THE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE KARACHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

With the approval of Competent Authority i.e. Director General, Karachi Development Authority, the Complaint Redressal Committee of Karachi Development Authority is as follows:

1.	Ram Chand Chief Engineer KDA	Chairman
2.	Muhammad Ahmed Accounts Officer KDA	Member
3.	Ibrahim Baloch Ex-Chief Engineer KMC	Member

The Function and Responsibilities of the Complaint Redressal Committee shall be as provided under SSP Rule-31(1)(2) 2010 (amended).

CHIEF ENGINEER (DEV)
Engineering Department KDA

Copy forwarded for information to the:
1. Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority, Karachi
2. Director General KDA
3. Director General (TS) KMC
4. Secretary KDA
5. Director Finance & Accounts KDA
6. Director Media Management KDA
7. Office File

The CRC evaluate the case on the basis of submissions made by the applicant and criteria laid down in Prequalification Documents, and bear no responsibility towards the authenticity of submitted documents by the applicant.

FINDINGS:

The Committee after having detailed review of the above-mentioned documents has concluded the following:

a) Against Financial Capacity criteria, CRC generally agreed with the findings of Procurement Committee, except, the documents as stated at 3.2.5.4 were not compiled for last 3 years.
b) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Experience Record.
c) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Personnel Capabilities.
d) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Equipment / Machineries.

In the light of above scrutiny of the evaluation process, carried out by the Procurement Committee, CRC is of the considered opinion that, despite revisiting of all categories of evaluation criteria, the end result remains the same i.e. the applicant fails to acquire minimum qualifying marks against the category of Experience Record.

DECISION:

The Prequalification Documents submitted by M/s Sherjan Moosakhail & Sons, fails to qualify the baseline of criteria as required vide Section 4.0 of Prequalification Documents, thereby declared: **"not qualified"**.

Accounts Officer, KDA
Member

Ex-Chief Engineer, KMC
Member

Chief Engineer, KDA
Chairman

E.A Consulting (Pvt) Ltd.

Copy for information:

1. The Director (CB), SPPRA.
2. M/s Sherjan Moosakhail & Sons.

Ref No. CRC/GN/KDA/2018/15 Dated. 16/3/18

SPPRA Serial No. 35930

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE REPORT FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION / BIDDING OF REVAMPING OF GUJJAR NALLAH INCLUDING SERVICE ROAD

In compliance to the order issued by the Secretary Local Government vide no. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Misc/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 dated: 26 / October /2017.

A Complaint Redressal Committee was constituted, the notification of Complaint Redressal Committee is attached at Annexure 'A'.

The terms of reference of the Complaint Redressal Committee were:

The complaint redressal committee upon receiving a complaint from an aggrieved bidder may, if satisfied;

(a) Prohibit the procurement committee from acting or deciding in a manner, inconsistent with these rules and regulations;
(b) Annul in whole or in part, any unauthorized act or decision of the procurement committee; and
    - Recommend to the Head of Department that the case be declared a mis-procurement if material violation of Act, Rules, Regulations, Orders, Instructions or any other law relating to public procurement, has been established.
(c) Reverse any decision of Procurement Committee or substitute its own decision for such a decision.
    - Provided that the CRC shall not make any decision to award the contract.

The committee shall announce its decision within seven days. The decision shall be intimated to the bidder and the Authority within three working days by procuring agency. In case CRC fails to arrive at a decision within stipulated time frame, the complaint shall stand transferred to the Review committee for the disposal of Complaint.

The CRC conducted its meeting on 1st March, 2018, which was attended by all members. In the meeting following documents pertaining to issue were thoroughly inspected by the members:

i. Request for Prequalification issued by K.D.A.
ii. Evaluation Criteria, contained therein.
iii. Prequalification documents submitted by the Contractor, M/s. Sherjan Moosakhail & Sons.
iv. Evaluation report prepared by the Procurement Committee.
v. Application by the Contractor.

The Complaint redressal Committee (CRC) carried out an in-depth study of the evaluation process carried out by the Procurement Committee in line with the approved Evaluation Criteria viz-a-viz the marks allotted to the applicant in each category as referred in Section-4.0 of Prequalification Documents.

The CRC evaluate the case on the basis of submissions made by the applicant and criteria laid down in Prequalification Documents, and bear no responsibility towards the authenticity of submitted documents by the applicant.

FINDINGS:

The Committee after having detailed review of the above-mentioned documents has concluded the following:

a) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Financial Capacity.
b) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Experience Record.
c) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Personnel Capabilities.
d) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Equipment / Machineries.

In the light of above scrutiny of the evaluation process, carried out by the Procurement Committee, CRC is of the considered opinion that, despite revisiting of all categories of evaluation criteria, the end result remains the same i.e. the applicant fails to acquire minimum qualifying marks against the category of Experience Record.

DECISION:

The Prequalification Documents submitted by M/s Yousuf Khan & Brothers, fails to qualify the baseline of criteria as required vide Section 4.0 of Prequalification Documents, thereby declared: **"not qualified"**.

Accounts Officer, KDA
Member

Ex-Chief Engineer, KMC
Member

Chief Engineer, KDA
Chairman

E.A Consulting (Pvt) Ltd.

Copy for information:

1. The Director (CB), SPPRA.
2. M/s Yousuf Khan & Brothers.

SPPRA Serial No. 35930

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE REPORT FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION / BIDDING OF REVAMPING OF GUJJAR NALLAH INCLUDING SERVICE ROAD

In compliance to the order issued by the Secretary Local Government vide no. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Misc/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 dated: 26 / October /2017.

A Complaint Redressal Committee was constituted, the notification of Complaint Redressal Committee is attached at Annexure 'A'.

The terms of reference of the Complaint Redressal Committee were:

The complaint redressal committee upon receiving a complaint from an aggrieved bidder may, if satisfied;

(a) Prohibit the procurement committee from acting or deciding in a manner, inconsistent with these rules and regulations;
(b) Annul in whole or in part, any unauthorized act or decision of the procurement committee; and
    - Recommend to the Head of Department that the case be declared a mis-procurement if material violation of Act, Rules, Regulations, Orders, Instructions or any other law relating to public procurement, has been established.
(c) Reverse any decision of Procurement Committee or substitute its own decision for such a decision.
    - Provided that the CRC shall not make any decision to award the contract.

The committee shall announce its decision within seven days. The decision shall be intimated to the bidder and the Authority within three working days by procuring agency. In case CRC fails to arrive at a decision within stipulated time frame, the complaint shall stand transferred to the Review committee for the disposal of Complaint.

The CRC conducted its meeting on 1st March, 2018, which was attended by all members. In the meeting following documents pertaining to issue were thoroughly inspected by the members:

i. Request for Prequalification issued by K.D.A.
ii. Evaluation Criteria, contained therein.
iii. Prequalification documents submitted by the Contractor, M/s. Yousuf Khan & Brothers.
iv. Evaluation report prepared by the Procurement Committee.
v. Application by the Contractor.

The Complaint redressal Committee (CRC) carried out an in-depth study of the evaluation process carried out by the Procurement Committee in line with the approved Evaluation Criteria viz-a-viz the marks allotted to the applicant in each category as referred in Section-4.0 of Prequalification Documents.

The CRC evaluate the case on the basis of submissions made by the applicant and criteria laid down in Prequalification Documents, and bear no responsibility towards the authenticity of submitted documents by the applicant.

FINDINGS:

The Committee after having detailed review of the above-mentioned documents has concluded the following:

a) Against Financial Capacity criteria, CRC generally agreed with the findings of Procurement Committee, except, the documents as stated at 3.2.5.4 were not compiled for last 3 years.
b) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Experience Record.
c) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Personnel Capabilities.
d) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Equipment / Machineries.

In the light of above scrutiny of the evaluation process, carried out by the Procurement Committee, CRC is of the considered opinion that, despite revisiting of all categories of evaluation criteria, the end result remains the same i.e. the applicant fails to acquire minimum qualifying marks against the categoy of Experience Record.

DECISION:

The Prequalification Documents submitted by M/s Umer Jan & Company, fails to qualify the baseline of criteria as required vide Section 4.0 of Prequalification Documents, thereby declared: **"not qualified"**.

Accounts Officer, KDA
Member

Ex-Chief Engineer, KMC
Member

Chief Engineer, KDA
Chairman

E.A Consulting (Pvt) Ltd.

Copy for information:

1. The Director (CB), SPPRA.
2. M/s Umer Jan & Company.

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE REPORT FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION / BIDDING OF REVAMPING OF GUJJAR NALLAH INCLUDING SERVICE ROAD

In compliance to the order issued by the Secretary Local Government vide no. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Misc/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 dated: 26 / October /2017.

A Complaint Redressal Committee was constituted, the notification of Complaint Redressal Committee is attached at Annexure 'A'.

The terms of reference of the Complaint Redressal Committee were:

The complaint redressal committee upon receiving a complaint from an aggrieved bidder may, if satisfied;

(a) Prohibit the procurement committee from acting or deciding in a manner, inconsistent with these rules and regulations;
(b) Annul in whole or in part, any unauthorized act or decision of the procurement committee; and
    - Recommend to the Head of Department that the case be declared a mis-procurement if material violation of Act, Rules, Regulations, Orders, Instructions or any other law relating to public procurement, has been established.
(c) Reverse any decision of Procurement Committee or substitute its own decision for such a decision.
    - Provided that the CRC shall not make any decision to award the contract.

The committee shall announce its decision within seven days. The decision shall be intimated to the bidder and the Authority within three working days by procuring agency. In case CRC fails to arrive at a decision within stipulated time frame, the complaint shall stand transferred to the Review committee for the disposal of Complaint.

The CRC conducted its meeting on 1st March, 2018, which was attended by all members. In the meeting following documents pertaining to issue were thoroughly inspected by the members:

i. Request for Prequalification issued by K.D.A.
ii. Evaluation Criteria, contained therein.
iii. Prequalification documents submitted by the Contractor, M/s. Umer Jan & Company.
iv. Evaluation report prepared by the Procurement Committee.
v. Application by the Contractor.

The Complaint redressal Committee (CRC) carried out an in-depth study of the evaluation process carried out by the Procurement Committee in line with the approved Evaluation Criteria viz-a-viz the marks allotted to the applicant in each category as referred in Section-4.0 of Prequalification Documents.

The CRC evaluate the case on the basis of submissions made by the applicant and criteria laid down in Prequalification Documents, and bear no responsibility towards the authenticity of submitted documents by the applicant.

FINDINGS:

The Committee after having detailed review of the above-mentioned documents has concluded the following:

a) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Financial Capacity.
b) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Experience Record.
c) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Personnel Capabilities.
d) The CRC showed complete consent with the findings of Procurement Committee against the category of Equipment / Machineries.

In the light of above scrutiny of the evaluation process, carried out by the Procurement Committee, CRC is of the considered opinion that, despite revisiting of all categories of evaluation criteria, the end result remains the same i.e. the applicant fails to acquire minimum qualifying marks against the category of Experience Record.

DECISION:

The Prequalification Documents submitted by M/s Kamran & Kampany, fails to qualify the baseline of criteria as required vide Section 4.0 of Prequalification Documents, thereby declared: **"not qualified"**.

Accounts Officer, KDA
Member

Ex-Chief Engineer, KMC
Member

Chief Engineer, KDA
Chairman

E.A Consulting (Pvt) Ltd.

Copy for information:

1. The Director (CB), SPPRA.
2. M/s Kamran & Kampany.

SPPRA Serial No. 35930

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE REPORT FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION / BIDDING OF REVAMPING OF GUJJAR NALLAH INCLUDING SERVICE ROAD

In compliance to the order issued by the Secretary Local Government vide no. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Misc/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 dated: 26 / October /2017.

A Complaint Redressal Committee was constituted, the notification of Complaint Redressal Committee is attached at Annexure 'A'.

The terms of reference of the Complaint Redressal Committee were:

The complaint redressal committee upon receiving a complaint from an aggrieved bidder may, if satisfied;

(a) Prohibit the procurement committee from acting or deciding in a manner, inconsistent with these rules and regulations;
(b) Annul in whole or in part, any unauthorized act or decision of the procurement committee; and
    - Recommend to the Head of Department that the case be declared a mis-procurement if material violation of Act, Rules, Regulations, Orders, Instructions or any other law relating to public procurement, has been established.
(c) Reverse any decision of Procurement Committee or substitute its own decision for such a decision.
    - Provided that the CRC shall not make any decision to award the contract.

The committee shall announce its decision within seven days. The decision shall be intimated to the bidder and the Authority within three working days by procuring agency. In case CRC fails to arrive at a decision within stipulated time frame, the complaint shall stand transferred to the Review committee for the disposal of Complaint.

The CRC conducted its meeting on 1st March, 2018, which was attended by all members. In the meeting following documents pertaining to issue were thoroughly inspected by the members:

i. Request for Prequalification issued by K.D.A.
ii. Evaluation Criteria, contained therein.
iii. Prequalification documents submitted by the Contractor, M/s. Kamran & Kampany.
iv. Evaluation report prepared by the Procurement Committee.
v. Application by the Contractor.

The Complaint redressal Committee (CRC) carried out an in-depth study of the evaluation process carried out by the Procurement Committee in line with the approved Evaluation Criteria viz-a-viz the marks allotted to the applicant in each category as referred in Section-4.0 of Prequalification Documents.

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
KARACHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
4th Floor, Civic Center, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi. Tel: 021-99230653, 021-99231145

NO. CE/CRC/ENG/DEV/2018/201 DATE: 06/3/18

The Director (CB)
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh,
Karachi.

SR.NO.35930
SPPRA ID: 2147483647

Subject: **PREQUALIFICATION OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS / CONSORTIA FOR REVAMPING OF GUJJAR NALLAH INCLUDING SERVICE ROAD.**

Enclosed please find herewith the report of Complaint Redressal Committee for the above subjected work constituted under the order of Secretary Local Government vide No. LG/DG/M&E/AD-Misc/117(72-Misc)/2017/1357 dated: 26 / October /2017 for hoisting on SPPRA's website.

ENCLOSED:

1. Decision Report of M/s Kamran & Company. (Flag 'A')
2. " " " M/s Umarjan & Company (Flag 'B')
3. " " " M/s Yousuf Khan & Brothers (Flag 'C')
4. " " " M/s Sherjan Mousakhail & Sons (Flag 'D').

Chief Engineer (Dev)
Chairman C.R.C

Copy for Information:

1. P.S to Director General, KDA, Karachi
2. Office Copy.

SPPRA INWARD No. 3474 DATED 07-03-2018

RAHIM