

**OFFICE OF THE
PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT
HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT HYDERABAD**

No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/ **1575**

Dated: - **03-07-2020**

To,

The Executive Engineer / Chairman Procurement Committee,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad.

Subject: - MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD HELD ON 11-06-2020 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCE OF COMPLAINANTS.

- Reference: - Read: 01** This office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1365 Dated 17-06-2020 (**Annexure-A**).
- Read: 02** Your office letter No: TC/G-55/1539 Dated 18-06-2020 (**Annexure-B**)
- Read: 03** This office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1270 Dated 11-06-2020 (**Annexure-C**)
- Read: 04** Your office letter No: TC/G-55/1493 Dated 12-06-2020 (**Annexure-D**)
- Read: 05** This office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1325 Dated 15-06-2020 (**Annexure-E**)
- Read: 06** Your office letter No: TC/G-55/1520 Dated 17-06-2020 (**Annexure-F**).
- Read: 07** This office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1373 Dated 17-06-2020 (**Annexure-G**)
- Read: 08** Attendance Sheet is attached as (**Annexure-H**)
- Read: 09** Copies of BER hoisted on SPPRA website is attached as (**Annexure-I**)

This is in response to your letter No: TC/G-55/1539 Dated 18-06-2020, wherein you have deliberately tried to shift your ill done on your superiors by blame game.

Your letter in response to the minutes of Complaint Redressal Committee meeting is clearly an imaginary template which is against the facts and contradictory to your own letters.

*Warrants put up
Club are com. Junes
30/07 0282*

SPPRA FORWARD DIARY
NO: **477**
DATED: **20-07-20**

First of all, please go through the letters given under reference which includes your office letters and the letters written in response by the undersigned the facts narrated in the minutes of the Complaint Redressal Committee are word by word correct but you have tried to mis-represent the contents by narrating the wrong picture.

During the meeting, it was pointed out that the bid evaluation reports hoisted by you were fake as you do not have any bidding documents of the contractors / complainants from which you have taken these rates. On inquiring, you yourself requested that the bidding documents will be provided and in that connection a letter @ Serial # 3 was written by the undersigned.

Instead of providing the record, you wrote a letter mentioned @ Serial # 4, that the contractors are in possession of the technical record required according to the eligibility criteria. Now the question arises that first of all undersigned did not ask for technical record but the financial bids of the complainants / contractors submitted by them, but you twisted the contents of that letter and referred to provision technical documents.

For a moment, if we admit that the technical record is with the contractors, then on what grounds your have evaluated the eligibility criteria? that means you have not properly conducted the scrutiny of the record and hoisted the Bid Evaluation Reports. This office letters referred at Serial # 5 clearly narrates that the Complaint Redressal Committee requires Bidding Documents but in response you have replied vide letter @ Serial @ 6, that you are compiling the bidding documents and will be provided it latest by 19-06-2020.

Your this letter @ Serial @ 6 proved that the version of Complaint Redressal Committee is correct and you have merely tried to dodge the committee and your superiors by blaming them for misleading tactics.

Refer the last letter of the undersigned on subjected matter @ Serial # 7, it clearly narrates that bidding documents of the contractors are required whose reply is not received till today nor any of the bidding documents were provided by you.

Following record was received from the complainants mentioning their rates against Bid Evaluation Report which you have hoisted shows clear contradiction in the rates given below: -

S#	Name of Firm / Complainant	Work @ S.No:	Rate Quoted	Rate hoisted in BER
1.	M/S Hussain Enterprises	07	Rs. 37,469,313/-	The name of complainant not mentioned in the BER
2.	M/S Ameer Ali Chandio	09	Rs. 36,219,861/-	The name of complainant not mentioned in the BER
3.	M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar	11	Rs. 65,775,300/-	The name of complainant not mentioned in the BER
4.	M/S Rustam Ali Chandio	12	Rs. 60,840,145/-	Rs. 76,123,629/-
5.	M/S Sindh Builders	13	Rs. 75, 925,753/-	The name of complainant not mentioned in the BER
6.	M/S Ghulam Murtaza	15	Rs. 98,966,986/-	The name of complainant not mentioned in the BER

The record clearly shows that you are not only liable to be tried under mis-procurement charges under **SPPRA Rules 32-A** but can also be tried under E&D Rules for taking disciplinary action against you for mis-leading the facts to the superiors.

Furthermore, the attendance sheet which is hoisted on the SPPRA website does not reflect inconformity with the Bid Evaluation Reports which you have hoisted. The bid of the lowest qualified bidder and the lowest or highest bidder must be reflected in the Bid Evaluation Report that shows the reasons for disqualification of the bidder, which you have failed to prove in your Bid Evaluation Report. All the contractors who have participated in the bidding process are not reflected which shows your malafide intension and twisted the facts in hoisting the Bid Evaluation Report.

It is also worth mentioning here that the complainants and other many contractors who have signed the attendance sheet but their names are not mentioned in Bid Evaluation Report which also shows your malafide attention.


(Engr: Ghulam Shabir Memon)

Project Manager

Project Implementation Unit

Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project

Hyderabad /

Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee

A copy is forwarded for information to the: -

- Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.
- Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
- Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.

Annexure - A

**OFFICE OF THE
PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT
HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT HYDERABAD**

No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1365-

Dated: - 17-06-2020

To,

The Chief Engineer,
(Highways) Hyderabad.

Subject: -

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLIANT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION, HYDERABAD HELD ON 11-06-2020 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES / COMPLAINANTS HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT-HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT, HYDERABAD.

NIT NO: TC/G-55/660 DATED 25-02-2020

Please find enclosed herewith the minutes of Complaint Redressal Committee meeting Dated 11-06-2020 of Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad in respect of NIT No: TC/G-55/660 Dated 25-02-2020 for favour your kind information and further action.

dl

(Engr: Ghulam Shabir Memon)

Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project
Hyderabad

Copy forwarded with compliments for information to the: -

1. Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.
2.
3. Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
4. Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad.
5. Mr. Sarmad Ali Shah, Assistant Director, O/o Director Road Research Laboratory, (Cum) Director Monitoring, Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
6. Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.
7. M/S Rustam Khan Chandio, M/S Jam Asif Construction Company, M/S Sindh Builders, M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises, M/S F.B. Enterprises, M/S Hussain Enterprises, M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar M/S Asif Raza, M/S Rabi Traders & M/S Ameer Ali Chandio.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION, HYDERABAD HELD ON 11-06-2020 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES / COMPLAINANTS HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT-HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT, HYDERABAD.

The following attended the meeting

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Engr: Ghulam Shabir Memon
Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project
Hyderabad | Chairman,
Complaint Redressal
Committee |
| 2. Mr. Sarmad Ali Shah
The Assistant Director,
o/o Director Road Research Laboratory, (Cum) Director
Monitoring, Works & Services Department, Hyderabad. | Member
Complaint Redressal
Committee |
| 3. Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed,
Divisional Accounts Officer,
Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad | Member
Complaint Redressal
Committee |
| 4. Mr. Fazal Ahmed Mangi,
Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad. | Chairman / Procuring
Agency |
| 5. M/S Rustam Khan Chandio, M/S F.B. Enterprises,
M/S Hussain Enterprises, M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar M/S
Asif Raza, M/S Rabi Traders & M/S Ameer Ali Chandio | Complainants |

The meeting commenced with the recitation of Holy Quran.

Facts and background

M/S Rustam Khan Chandio, M/S Jam Asif Construction Company, M/S Sindh Builders, M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises, M/S F.B. Enterprises, M/S Hussain Enterprises, M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar M/S Asif Raza, M/S Rabi Traders & M/S Ameer Ali Chandio (hereinafter referred to as the appellants) lodged a complaint Dated 21-05-2020, 28-05-2020 & 04-06-2020 against the NIT No: TC/G-55/660 Dated 25-02-2020 floated for procurement of various works by the Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad (hereinafter referred to as the procuring agency). On receiving these complaints of the appellant the Project Manager / Chairman, Complaint Redressal Committee wrote a letter to the Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad seeking clarification, / detailed report on the procurement process of the said NIT along with relevant record under Sindh Public Procurement Rules-31. The chairman Procuring Agency / Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad was directed to provide the following record of the NIT in question vide letter Nos F-24/ HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1114 & 01-06-2020 & No: F-24/ HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1148 Dated 03-06-2020

1. NIT copy along with newspaper clipping.
2. NIT hoisted on SPPRA.



3. Signed Tender documents of all participants along with contractor (s) profile, whose bid evaluation reports are hoisted.
4. D.R. Book.
5. Attendance Sheet of the participants duly signed by the members of procuring committee.
6. Minutes of procurement committee minutes duly signed by the members of procurement committee.
7. Signed Bid Evaluation Report & Comparative Statement along SPPRA ID.

The procuring agency failed to furnish / provide the relevant record which was sought by the Project Manager / Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee.

The date for Complaint Redressal Committee meeting was fixed vide letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee /1237 Dated 10-06-2020 which was communicated to all the members of the committee, Chairman Procurement Committee/ Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad and all the complaints accordingly.

Complaint Redressal Committee Proceedings

The Chairman of the Complaint Redressal Committee welcomed all the participants of the meeting and introduced the members of the Complaint Redressal Committee. Then the chair asked the appellant to present his case / version on the instant procurement before the committee.

Complainants Version

1. M/S Rustam Khan Chandio has complaint that he was issued Bid Documents for the work @ S.No: 12 namely "Reconstruction of road from Zair Pir -Halla road to Maldasi via Abdul Wahid Burriro" against D.R. No: 81 dated 11-03-2020. He offered the Bid Rs. 60,840,145/- whereas as per Bid Evaluation report his Bid has been shown Rs. 76,073, 538/-
2. M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar has submitted that he has applied for the work at S.No: 11 vide D.R.No: 97 Dated 11-03-2020. The Rate quoted by the complainant 19.99 in the Part A, Part-B-C & D was at par. During the opening process the complainant was declared first lowest. But at the time of hoisting of Bid Evaluation Report any other contractor being shown lowest.
3. M/S Asif Raza has alleged that the at the time of opening contractors quoted below rates but the XEN awarded the works in above rates.
4. M/S Rabi Traders has alleged he has applied in the said NIT through personally and TCS (No TCS receipt has been attached). He further stated that the procuring agency awarded the works in full rate to favorite contractors.
5. M/S Ameer Ali Chandio has complaint that he was issued Bid Documents for the work @ S.No: 09 against D.R. No: 83 dated 11-03-2020. He offered the Bid Rs. 36,219,861/- whereas as per Bid Evaluation report the contract was awarded to the contractor with full rate Rs. 47,716,951/- instead ignoring below rates.
6. M/S Hussain Enterprises has alleged that he sent Bidding documents for the work road from Tando Soomro to Nasarpur through TCS (copy enclosed). He has attached bidding documents issued by Executive Engineer with D.R. No: & Date and Bid amount offered by the



Bidder was Rs. 37,469,313/- Therefore there is need of Bid Evaluation Report to verify the lowest bidder declared by the procurement committee.

7. M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises has alleged that the download Bid Documents for the work mentioned at S.No: 15 and sent through TCS on 12-03-2020 (copy of TCS receipt enclosed as proof but TCS receipt was not enclosed). On 16-03-2020 Bids were opened and his bid amount was announced Rs. 98,966,986/- whereas as per Bid Evaluation Report hoisted lowest bidders was shown M/S Manjhi Construction Company, whose bid was Rs. 129,552,647/-
8. F.B. Enterprises has submitted that the he has applied for the work @ S.No: 16. The two members of the procurement committee was absent on 16-03-2020. Moreover his bid offered Rs. 123,348,213/- was not considered.
9. Jam Asif Construction Company has alleged that Bid documents was downloaded by him Bid documents was also sent by him through TC on 13-03-2020 (copy of TCS report enclosed). Jam Asif has further alleged that his financial proposal was opened on 16-03-2020 by the procurement committee and his Bid was announced Rs. 67,848,146 whereas as per Bid Evaluation Report lowest Bidder has been declared M/S Khokhar and his amount has been accepted for Rs. 93,287,803/-
10. M/S Sindh Builders has alleged that as per Bid Evaluation report 1st lowest bidder has offered Bid Rs. 93,287,803/- whereas Bid amount offered by him was Rs. 75,925, 753/-

(Note: - The complainant M/S Jam Asif Construction Company, Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises & Sindh Builders was absent during the Complaint Redressal Committee meeting but their complaints have already been received to the Complaint Redressal Committee)

Procuring Agency's Version.

Mr. Fazal Ahmed Mangi, Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad / Chairman Procurement Committee while responding to the queries raised by the Complaint Redressal Committee clarified that he is in possession of the record i.e signed tender documents by the complainants with the same offered rates by them which were hoisted in the Bid Evaluation Report by him. He further requested to grant him few days to present the record before the Complaint Redressal Committee and committed that he will provided the record by 15-06-2020.

Complaint Redressal Committee Observations

After hearing the parties at length and perusal of the record the Redressal Committee's observations are as under: -

1. As promised by the Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad, / Chairman Procurement Committee that he will provide the record by 15-06-2020 but he failed to do so. Instead of providing the record he wrote a letter to Project Manager / Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee vide his office letter No: TG/G-1493 Dated 12-06-2020 received on 15-06-2020 that "the contractors would produce original record required according to eligibility criteria as mentioned in NIT for verification by the member of CRS, but the same are still awaited from the complainant's".
2. The narration of the contents of the letter of Executive Engineer, shows his ill intentions that while in the meeting he was asked by the Complaint Redressal Committee to provide the


bidding documents of the complainants but he diverted his part of responsibility and asked the Complaint Redressal Committee to direct the contractors to provide the eligibility criteria record which was already in his possession in shape of technical bidding documents on which he must have evaluated the eligibility criteria of the contractors.


3. In response to Executive Engineer / Procuring Agency letter, the Project Manager / Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee wrote a letter vide this office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1325 Dated 15-06-2020 to produce the bidding documents by 16-06-2020 @ 4.00 PM positively but he failed to provide those documents.


Decision of Complaint Redressal Committee

After due deliberation, the complaint redressal committee has observed that the instant procurement which is still in process and the complainants grievances are seems to be genuine as the procuring agency failed to provide the relevant record which could have been his best weapon in its defence.

In the end, the members of the Complaint Redressal Committee unanimously agreed upon the decision that instant Notice Inviting Tenders may be declared as mis-procurement under Sindh Public Procurement Rules-32-A.


(Mr. Sarmad Ali Shah)
The Assistant Director,
O/o Director Road Research Laboratory,
(Cum) Director Monitoring, Works & Services
Department, Hyderabad.
Member Complaint Redressal Committee


(Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed)
Divisional Accounts Officer,
Provincial Buildings Division,
Hyderabad
Representative of A.G. Sindh
Member Complaint Redressal Committee


(Engr. Ghulam Shabir Memon)
Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carraigeway Project
Hyderabad/
Chairman, Complaint Redressal Committee

Copy forwarded with compliments for information to the: -

1. Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.
2. Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
3. Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
4. Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyderabad.
5. Mr. Sarmad Ali Shah, Assistant Director, O/o Director Road Research Laboratory, (Cum) Director Monitoring, Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
6. Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.
7. M/S Rustam Khan Chandio, M/S Jam Asif Construction Company, M/S Sindh Builders, M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises, M/S F.B. Enterprises, M/S Hussain Enterprises, M/S Abdul Ghaffar Mahar M/S Asif Raza, M/S Rabi Traders & M/S Ameer Ali Chandio.

Annexure - B

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY DIVISION HYDERABAD**

NO: TC/G-55/1539 of 2020

Hyderabad, Dated:-

18/06/2020.

To,

The Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee/
Project Manager,
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project,
Hyderabad.

Subject: MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD HELD ON 11-06-2020 TO
ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES OF COMPLAINANTS.

Reference: Your Office Letter No.F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1365/
dated 17/06/2020.

In response to your office letter cited under reference, after review narration of "Facts & Background", "Proceedings", "Procurement Committee's Version", "Observation of CRC" and "Decision of CRC" in subject minutes of meeting contrary to the reality, I really astonished and compelled to submit my submissions in written to reveal the facts of all the proceedings.

The real facts in narration in replay to the Minutes of Meeting's Proceedings Para-wise is as under:

Facts & Backgrounds

I, in person brought all the required relevant record on 1st scheduled meeting on dated: 11-06-2020 fixed by your good honor, and shown to the participants. On realization by all the present contractors of their deficient documentation, which resulted their disqualification, non-responsive and failure in competitive bidding process. On the occasion, the Chairman of CRC also controlling officer of procuring agency (Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad) asked the Chairman Procurement Committee also Executive Engineer, Of the Division (Procuring agency) on request by complaining contractors to compromise and made commitment with them for next coming procurement to be relaxed and ease them through favoritism so they may acquire the work to be awarded. Therefore, your kind honor officially postponed such attentive meeting postponed fixed next date with showing reasons in contradiction to the above briefed facts: "The Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Hyderabad/ Chairman Procurement Committee further requested to grant him few days to present the record before the complaint Redressal Committee and committed that he will provided the record by 15-06-2020."

Cont.. Page # 02

SPECIAL DELIVERY
NO: 18553
DATE: 29-06-2020

Post the
CRC decision
the
Gurram
29/06/20
A357

complaint refer to available

(02)

Due to non-undertaking of any deceitful commitment by undersigned, your good honor again and again postponed the meeting with different reasons including reason of non-submission of record by the undersigned.

Proceeding of CRC.

During proceedings the role of members other than Chairman of CRC remained silent. On submission of required documents by the undersigned, showing deficient documentation of complaining contractors, the proceedings was nothing but dialogue of Chairman CRC with Chairman PC (undersigned) to compromise with complaining contractor for future commitment.

In 'CRC Proceedings' paragraph only repeated the complaints of all the complaining contractors as and they have explained in their complaint. Whereas, neither the version of procurement committee nor reasons of disqualification, non-responsive and / or failure of complaining contractor is mentioned.

Version of the CRC

The version of CRC regarding request by undersigned for postponement of meeting was not correct, because the required record was already brought and presented during first fixed meeting of CRC on dated 11-06-2020.

Observation of CRC

In light of observation of CRC, the contents of letter written by undersigned regarding 'the record to be required from contractors in support of their complaint' was actually the version of CRC during first meeting, held on 11-06-2020, when undersigned submitted technical documents having attached deficient documents of complainants. Therefore, my request was of the view of CRC not diversion of responsibility.

Decision of CRC

On review of above described facts & backgrounds, proceeding and incorrect version of CRC, it is not difficult to be gauged the intention and role of individuals in making such decision. Therefore, the required record is again submitted for ready reference of all the concerned.

Furthermore, it is submitted that all the procurement was proceeded under the umbrella of Procurement Committee, constituted by the Principal Accounts Officer of Administrative Department vide notification No. E&A (W&S)/3-9/91-2013 dated: 02-03-2020, comprising of odd number three officers under chair of of BPS-18 officer and

Cont., Page # 03

(03)


two officers of BPS-17, (Rule, 7 of S.P.P Rules), who all are written signed witness of all the proceedings, functions and disbursed their responsibilities in accordance with the Rule, 8 of SPP Rule.

In view of foregoing facts and grounds, it is requested to kindly review the decision of CRC in light of foregoing grounds and facts.

(Engr: Fazal Muhammad Mangi)
Executive Engineer,
Chairman Procurement Committee/
Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad

Copy F.W.Cs to :

- ✓ The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.
- ✓ The Chief Engineer, Highways Department, Hyderabad.
- ✓ The Managing Director, SPPRA, Karachi.


Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad

**OFFICE OF THE
PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT
HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT HYDERABAD**

No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/ 1270

Dated: - 11-06-2020

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad.

Annexure - C

Subject: - MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

The meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee was held on 11-06-2020 in office of the undersigned, which was attended by all the members of Complaint Redressal Committee as notified by the Works & Services Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi via Notification No: E&A/W&S/3-9/2020 Dated 03-06-2020. All the complainants (Contractors) as well as you were also present in that meeting.

The Complaint Redressal Committee heard all the complainants in detail in your presence and you were given opportunity to respond to the complainants, but on few works the said NIT the relevant record was missing for which the committee could not scrutinize the works. On inquiring, you informed the Committee that you will provide the relevant record by Monday i.e. 15-06-2020. Your request was accepted for provision of relevant record on Monday.

You are therefore directed to ensure the provision of all the relevant records on the given date and time to this office for scrutiny and further to prepare minutes of the Complaint Redressal Committee meeting within stipulated time & same may be forwarded to the higher authorities for taking further necessary action.

11/6/20
(Engr. Ghulam Shabir Memon)
Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project
Hyderabad

A copy is forwarded for information to the: -

- Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
- Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- Assistant Director Office of the Director, Road Research Laboratory (Cum) Director Monitoring Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
- Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD

NO.TC/G-55/1493/2020

Hyderabad Dated/

12/06

/2020

To,

The Project Manager,
Project Implementation Unit (PIU)
for Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual
Carriageway Project, Hyderabad.

Annexure D


SUBJECT:- MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

Reference: Your office letter No.F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1270 dated 11-06-2020.

It is very kindly submitted with reference to your good office letter No. cited above, that that during the CRC meeting held on 11-06-2020 in your office. It was decided that the contractors would produce original record required according to eligibility criteria as mentioned in the NIT for verification by the members of CRC, but the same are still awaited from the complainant's.

It is therefore, requested that the complainants may kindly be directed to produce the requisite original record, so that further comments may be furnished to your good office.

D.A/As above


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY DIVISION
HYDERABAD

Copy f.w.c's for information to the:-

1. The Chief Engineer, (Highways), Hyderabad.
2. The Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
3. The Assistant Director, Office of the Director, Road Research Laboratory (Cum) Director Monitoring Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
4. The Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division Hyderabad.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY DIVISION
HYDERABAD

06/2020
D/S...
H/C
137A

OFFICE OF THE
PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT
HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT HYDERABAD

No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/ 1325

Dated: - 15-06-2020

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad.

Amexur - E

Subject: -

MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

Reference: -

Your office letter No: TC/G-55/1493 Dated 12-06-2020 received on 15-06-2020.

It is regret to say that your office misapprehended the contents of this office letter, wherein you were directed to produce the relevant bidding documents in original of the contractors whose Bid Evaluation Reports have been hoisted with respect to NIT NO: TC/G-55/375 DATED 03-02-2020 & TC/G-55/660 DATED 25-02-2020.

But instead of providing the relevant record to the Complaint Redressal Committee you have again tried to deceive your superiors by writing the irrelevant letter.

If it had been the issue of eligibility criteria as mentioned in your letter, all the relevant technical broushers of the contractors are submitted to your office by those contractors on or before the date of opening respective NITs.

Your act shows irresponsibility towards the Government duty, mishandling such a sensitive issue of procurement, wherein your office is in due possession of the technical / financial documents provided by the contractors but instead of providing the bidding documents, you are writing the letter to the undersigned to direct the contractors to produce their original technical documents where we could check the eligibility criteria.

As for as the eligibility criteria is concerned, till now we had not touched the eligibility criteria of the contractors which you must have evaluated before hoisting of Bid Evaluation Report on the SPPRA website.

You are therefore directed to produce the bidding documents of the respective contractors, whose Bid Evaluation Reports are hoisted on SPPRA website, whether their rates have been quoted lowest or highest duly signed by those contractors along with their company stamp to this office by **16-06-2020 @ 4.00 PM positively.**

In case of failure strict action will be recommended against you and your Sub-ordinate staff.

This must be taken as **MOST IMPORTANT MATTER.**

e/c
(Engr. Ghulam Shabir Memon) 15/6/20.
Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project
Hyderabad

A copy is forwarded for information to the: -

- Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
- Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh Karachi.
- Assistant Director Office of the Director, Road Research Laboratory (Cum) Director Monitoring Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
- Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD**

NO: IIC/G-148/ 1520 of 2020

Hyderabad, Dated:-17/6/2020

To,

Annexure-F

The Project Manager,
Project Implementation Unit
Dual Carriageway project
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas
Hyderabad.


SUBJECT:- MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

Reference:- Your good office letter No. F/24/ HMDCP / Complaint Redressal Committee /1325 dated: 15-06-2020.

It is submitted that procurement committee completed the entire process of Evaluation on the basis of relevant documents produced by the bidders, but now the complainants are insisting to accept their point of view for which they have been asked to produce the original record otherwise there is question of mishandling.

As for as the question of producing bidding document of the respective contractors whose bid Evaluation reports were hosted is concerned, it is submitted that the required bidding documents are being compiled and the same would be submitted latest by 19-06-2020.

This is for favour of your kind information.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
HYDERABAD

- ✓ Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
- ✓ Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- ✓ Assistant Director Office of the Director, Road Research Laboratory (Cum) Director Monitoring Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
- ✓ Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
HYDERABAD

AR
17/06/2020

Received
17/06/2020

**OFFICE OF THE
PROJECT MANAGER PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UNIT
HYDERABAD-MIRPURKHAS DUAL CARRIAGEWAY PROJECT HYDERABAD**

No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1373

Dated: 17-06-2020

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad.

Annexure-9

Subject: - MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

Reference: - Your office letter No: TC/G-55/1520 Dated 17-06-2020.


Most regretfully it is stated that being an Executive Engineer of the most important Provincial Highways Division of Sindh, you are behaving in unmeaning full manner and have indulged yourself in irrelevant correspondence which is a mere waste of time or can be said time gaining tactics.

In this office letter No: F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/1325 Dated 15-06-2020, you were directed to submit the bidding documents of the contractors whose Bid Evaluation Reports have already been hoisted by you, their quoted rates have been shown in comparative statement.

To make things simple, you are directed to submit those tender documents which you have received in sealed envelope duly filled by the contractors and were opened on the date of opening i.e 16-03-2020. The undersigned failed to understand how come you need time for compiling those tender documents which are already filled by the contractors. Does it mean that you are filling the rates of those tender documents?

As far as, technical documents of the contractor are concerned, you are hereby directed to also submit the evaluation criteria result of the contractors on which you have qualified or disqualified them in the simple proforma duly signed by you.

The above documents must reached this office by TODAY POSITIVELY OR matter will be reported to the Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi for taking disciplinary action against your under E&D Rules.


(Engr. Ghulam Shabir Memon)
Project Manager
Project Implementation Unit
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project
Hyderabad

A copy is forwarded for information to the: -




















- Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.
- Chief Engineer (Highways) Hyderabad.
- Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- Assistant Director Office of the Director, Road Research Laboratory (Cum) Director Monitoring Works & Services Department, Hyderabad.
- Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Buildings Division, Hyderabad.










**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD**




















ATTENDANCE SHEET

FOR PARTICIPATION VARIOUS CONTRACTORS FOR
TENDER PROCESS OF N.I.T NO.TC/G-55/660, DATED 25-02-2020, HELD ON 16-03-2020.


No.	Name of Contractor/Agency	Signature of Contractors
1	m/s. Bashir Ahmed & Co	
2	m/s. Tanweer Ahmad Builders	
3	m/s. Burag Engineering Sonal	
4	m/s. Hoshesh & Co.	
5	m/s. S.C. Shetty & Co	
6	m/s. United Engineers Const Co	
7	m/s. M.B.C & Co	
8	m/s. Sonari Constco.	
9	m/s. Abdul Aziz Rypul	
10	m/s. Taj Muhammad Khaskhali	
11	m/s. Aslam Subhan BVT Ltd	
12	m/s. Abdul Hakeem Chandio	
13	m/s. Syed Mehboob Alam Shah Bukhari	
14	m/s. Shahzeb & Co.	


	M/s Mighi Construction PVT Ltd	
	M/s Z. A. Jumo-	
	M/s Al Karam const. Co:-	
	M/s L.M.Q const:-. Co:-	
	M/s Abdel Wahab Mehar	
	M/s AMB & Co	
	M/s Kholer. Brothers Co.	
	M/s Atta Muhammad Rughio	
	Khalid Syg Woods	
	M/s Rafiqat Ali & Co	
	Khalid ur Rehman Noun & Brothers	 0333708812
	M/s Ruyam Khan chandio	
	M/s Ameez Alchandio	
	Gulam Mustafa Enterprises	
	Ashu Shouab & Brothers	
	M/s Raza PANTHER	
	M/s Hotaari Brothers	
	M/s Engineer Majid Hussain Enterprises Puresa	
	M/s Al Hassan Electric and civil work	


	Enterprises	
	K. Hussain & Al-Haseeb EMT	Car.
35	M/S Alif AM Construction	Yamha
36	FAZAL CONSTRUCTION Co	Razal
37	CITASE CONSTRUCTION Co	Kalumban
38	JOYA CONTRACTOR	
39	Mars Developers	
40	T.A.M & Co	
41	AL Nawad Enterprises	Zame
42	ZMH Construction	Muz
43	Assudullah const. company	
44	M/S Hamlet Builders	hi
45	M/S Shams Builders & Developers	Nimrod
46	M/S AMK Construction Company	
47	M/S Simha Builders	
48	M/S Teba International Contracting	Benid
49	M/S Ashael Enterprises	Sunf.
50	Imtiyaz Ali Meeman	
51	M/S Ayran Enterprises Govt. Contractor	

	M/s Abdul Shaffer Memon	
53	M/s S.S.D Enterprises	
54	M/s RASBI TRADERS.	
55	M/s MSIN FOUNDATION	
56	M/s Jitei & Co.	
57	M/s ARZAM	
58	Cambrian Enterprises	
59	Metro Engineering	
60	MB Builders	
61	Indus Causti Co.	
62	M/s Mohamad Ismail Wasan	
63	M/s Shamsher Khan	
64	M/s G.G ENTERPRISES.	
65	M/s Rajgan Enterprises	
66	M/s Raj Causti	
67	M/s Preet-y Causti Co.	
68	M/s Saleem Khawas	
69	M/s Shoro Brothers	
70	M/s DL Madnia	

71	M/S Niqj Mohamad Khan & Broth	Faild M
72		
73		
74		
75		


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
 Executive Engineer
 Provincial Highway Division
 Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
 Assistant Engineer,
 Public Health Engineering,
 Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
 Assistant Engineer
 Provincial Highway Sub-Division
 Tando Alahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM TAHIR HINGORO ROAD TO WAHAB SHAH STATION ROAD MILE 0/0-2/0 (3.22 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	4 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	1 No.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Shahzeb & Co.	26,296,867/-	1st	0.86% Below	Being lowest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
 Executive Engineer
 Provincial Highway Division
 Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
 Assistant Engineer,
 Public Health Engineering,
 Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
 Assistant Engineer
 Provincial Highway Sub-Division
 Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Procuring Agency:-

Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:

Tender Reference No:-

NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020

3) Tender Description/Name of work:-

RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM PIYARO LUND TO WANHYAL
THEBO MILE 0/0-3/4 (5.60 KMS).

4) Method of Procurement:-

Single Stage One Envelope

5) Tender Published:-

Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English

6) Total Bid documents Sold:-

8 Nos.

7) Total Bids Received:-

3 No.

8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-

N/A

9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-

N/A

10) Bid(s) Rejected:-

11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-

16-03-2020.

12) Bid Evaluation Report:-

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Shamsheer Khan	51,398,483/-	1st	0.67% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/S Soneri Const. Co.	51,967,222/-	2nd	0.43% Below	Being higher offer	
3	M/S Tanveer Builders	52,167,612/-	3rd	0.82% Below	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:

(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)

Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)

(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)

Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)

(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)

Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Procuring Agency:-

Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:

Tender Reference No:-

NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020

Tender Description/Name of work:-

RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM ZAIR PIR -HALA ROAD TO
MALDASI VIA ABDUL WAHID BURIRO MILE 0/0-6/2 (10 KM)


- 4) Method of Procurement:-
- 5) Tender Published:-
- 6) Total Bid documents Sold:-
- 7) Total Bids Received:-
- 8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-
- 9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-
- 10) Bid(s) Rejected:-
- 11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-
- 12) Bid Evaluation Report:-


Single Stage One Envelope
Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
7 Nos.
3 No.
N/A
N/A


16-03-2020.

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S A.M.B & Co.	75,554,574/-	1st	0.47% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/S Rustam Khan Chandio	76,073,538/-	2nd	0.21% Above	Being higher offer	
3	M/S Rajgan	76,123,629/-	3rd	0.28% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)


**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>IMPROVEMENT OF ROAD FROM MATLI TO DANDO MILE 0/0-12/0 (PHASE-I) MILE 0/0-4/3 (7.0 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	14 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	3 No.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Khokhar Brothers	93,287,803/-	1st	0.60% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/S Bashir Ahmed	94,009,363/-	2nd	0.17% Above	Being higher offer	
3	M/S Preaty Const. Co.	94,170,757/-	3rd	0.34% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Procuring Agency:-

Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:

Tender Reference No:-

NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020

Tender Description/Name of work:-

W/R OF ROAD FROM BUKERA REGULATOR TO RAEES MANZOOR
ALI KHAN PITAFI, MEHRAB KHAN PITAFI MILE 0/0-3/0 (4.82 KMS) (2"
THICK ASPHALT).

4) Method of Procurement:-

Single Stage One Envelope

5) Tender Published:-

Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English

6) Total Bid documents Sold:-

14 Nos.

7) Total Bids Received:-

3 No.

8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-

N/A

9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-

N/A

10) Bid(s) Rejected:-

11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-

16-03-2020.

12) Bid Evaluation Report:-

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Asadullah Const.	93,966,565/-	1st	0.88% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/S Abdul Ghaffar Memon	94,506,732/-	2nd	0.31% Below	Being higher offer	
3	M/S Preaty Const. Co.	94,644,427/-	3rd	0.16% Below	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:

(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)

Executive Engineer

Provincial Highway Division

Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)

Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.

(MEMBER)

(Engr: Basim Ahmed Shaikh)

Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar

(MEMBER)


**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM SHAIKH BHIRKIO FARAN SUGAR MILL TOWARD PHULKARA MILE 0/0-4/6 (7.64 KM).
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	12 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	3 No.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Manjhi Const. Pvt. Ltd.	129,552,647/-	1st	0.34% Below	Being lowest offer	
1	M/S United Engineering Const. Co.	130,280,229/-	2nd	0.21% Above	Being higher offer	
1	M/S Niaz Muhammad Khan & Bros.	130,591,827/-	3rd	0.45% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Anahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM HASHIM KHASKHELI ROAD TO MITHO KHASKHELI ROAD MILE 0/0-2/0 (0.00-3.22 KMS)</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	04 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	01 No.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Taj Muhammad Khaskheli	26,325,482/-	1st	0.83% Below	Being lowest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MASSU BHURGIRI TO MUREED SIPIO ROAD MILE 0/0-1/7 (3.0 KMS)
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	05 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	02 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Sindh Builders	26,436,020/-	1st	0.90% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/S Khokhar Bros. Const.	26,682,988/-	2nd	0.30% Above	Being higher offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM JAM DISTRY TO JUMMU KHOSO</u> <u>/ ZAFAR SHAH KOT MILE 0/0-2/0 (3.20 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	4 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	1 No.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/S Hamlet Builders	27,897,045/-	1st	0.53% Below	Being lowest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM PALIJANI TO GUL MUHAMMAD PANHWAR ROAD MILE 0/0-2/3 (3.80 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	3 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	2 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/s S & Sons	28,180,330/-	1st	0.45% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/s F.B Enterprises	28,432,112/-	2nd	0.44% Above	Being higher offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM CHOWDAGI TO KK NIZAMANI MILE</u> <u>0/0-2/1+330' (3.5 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	4 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	2 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Muhammad Ismail Wassan Builders	34,314,484/-	1st	0.41% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/s Khokhar Brothers Const.	34,530,801/-	2nd	0.22% Above	Being higher offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF LINK ROAD FROM TANDO SOOMRO ROAD TO NASARPUR CITY MILE 0/0-1/7 (3.0 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	05 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	03 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	---
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Z.A Juno	39,521,220/-	1st	0.66% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/s Mass Developers	39,896,014/-	2nd	0.29% Above	Being higher offer	
3	M/s ZMH Const. (Pvt.) Ltd.	40,003,184/-	3rd	0.56% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MASSU BHURGURI TO SANGI MILE 0/0-3/6 (6.0 KMS).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	8 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	3 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	N/A
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Ghulam Murtaza	47,716,957/-	1st	0.55% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/s Imtiaz Ali Memon	48,092,163/-	2nd	0.22% Above	Being higher offer	
3	M/s Ismail Wassan	48,197,112/-	3rd	0.44% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)




**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD.**


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1) Name of Procuring Agency:-	Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Hyd:
2) Tender Reference No:-	NO.TC/G-55/660, dated 25-02-2020
3) Tender Description/Name of work:-	<u>RECONDITIONING OF LINK ROAD FROM TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN BULRI SHAH KARIM DITTA WAH ROAD TO HAJI MUHAMMAD JAT ROAD MILE 0/0-5/0 (8.00 KM).</u>
4) Method of Procurement:-	Single Stage One Envelope
5) Tender Published:-	Leading Newspapers Urdu, Sindhi & English
6) Total Bid documents Sold:-	08 Nos.
7) Total Bids Received:-	03 Nos.
8) Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable):-	---
9) No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable):-	N/A
10) Bid(s) Rejected:-	---
11) Financial Bid Opening Date:-	16-03-2020.
12) Bid Evaluation Report:-	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost of offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s ZMH Const (Pvt) Ltd	65,775,300/-	1st	0.86% Below	Being lowest offer	
2	M/s Rajgan Enterprises	66,611,629/-	2nd	0.39% Above	Being higher offer	
3	M/s S.C Shahzad & Co.	66,740,030/-	3rd	0.59% Above	Being highest offer	

The tenders were opened by the tendering committee comprised of the officers as below:


(ENGR: FAZAL MUHAMMAD MANGI)
Executive Engineer
Provincial Highway Division
Hyderabad
(CHAIRMAN)


(Engr: Muhammad Sadique Ansari)
Assistant Engineer,
Public Health Engineering,
Sub-Division Jamshoro.
(MEMBER)


(Engr: Bashir Ahmed Shaikh)
Assistant Engineer
Provincial Highway Sub-Division
Tando Allahyar
(MEMBER)