



**OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER PROVINCIAL  
HIGHWAYS CIRCLE MIRPURKHAS**

No: AB/G-148/1698  
Dated 27 / 10 / 2020.

To,


The Assistant Director (Legal-II)  
Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority,  
**Karachi.**

SUBJECT **MINUTES OF THE MEETING WAS HELD ON 26-10-2020, AT 11:30 AM  
IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER PROVINCIAL  
HIGHWAYS CIRCLE MIRPURKHAS / CHAIRMAN CRC.**

The Compliant Redressal Committee meeting was held on 26-10-2020, under the Chairmanship of Superintending Engineer Provincial Highways Circle Mirpurkhas & members.


The minutes of the meeting is submitted herewith, for favour of your kind information and further necessary action.

DA/ As Above

  
Superintending Engineer  
Provincial Highways Circle  
Mirpurkhas

A copy alongwith its enclosures / Minutes of the meeting are forwarded to the:-

1. The Chief Engineer, Highways Hyderabad, for favour of kind information.
2. The Executive Engineer Provincial Highway Division Mirpurkhas, for information.
3. M/s Maa Ji Sons, for information.

  
Superintending Engineer  
Provincial Highways Circle  
Mirpurkhas

*Post the CRC  
decision then  
put up  
Quma  
21/10/2020  
DSS*

SPPRA INWARD DIARY  
NO: 565  
DATED: 10/11/20



# **OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER** **PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY CIRCLE MIRPURKHAS**

## **BEFORE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE UNDER** **RULE NO: 31 OF SPPRA RULES 2010**

### **FACTS AND BACKGROUNDS:-**

The Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division invited tenders for five different works through NIT No. TC/G-55/485/of 2020 dated 10-06-2020 (ID00598-17-0015) under single stage one envelop procedure.

The date of opening bids was 23-07-2020. After the thorough scrutiny of all participate contractor as per eligibility criteria, the BER was hoisted on SPPRA website on 09-10-2020 & 10-10-2020.

The complaint of one aggrieved contractor was received by this office on 16 October 2020 and meeting of compliant Redressal Committee was held on 26-10-2020 at 11:30 AM. The complainant and Executive Engineer Provincial Highway Division Mirpurkhas were present in the meeting (attendance sheet attached)

### **1. M/S. MAA JI & SONS (VERSION):**

Maa Ji S Sons Government Contractor told the committee that he has applied for the (03) Three works at Sr. No. 01, 02 & 05 "Improvement of Samaro Kunri road (Phase-II) Mile 7/4-13/0 + (8.85 Kms) / Reconditioning of road from Mirpurkhas Umerkot to Umerkot Chhore road Kharoro Bypass Mile 0/0-3/7 (6.23 Kms) & Improvement of road from Khatri Bugti Farm to Dargah Lashkar Shah Mile 0/0-6/0" (10.00 Kms). The procurement committee has disqualified on eligibility criteria. All though his company has been register with PEC in category 04 and is entitled for works upto Rs. 200.00 (M).

### **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S (VERSION):**

Mr. Abdul Sattar Khatti Executive Engineer Provincial Highway Division Mirpurkhas stated that Bid of complaint was received and opened before him and rates were announced before him. Bidding amount of complainant has shown in the BER hoisted on

SPPRA website, however the bids of complainant may be lower than the bid of the successful bidder, the bids can be termed as lowest submitted prices but not the lowest evaluated bid.

He further elaborated that the bids of complaint were found as non-responsive on account of non-conformance to the criteria as listed in the NIT.

### **COMPLIANT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS:**

The Compliant has been rejected for not having relevant experience as per required eligibility criteria. The bid amount which was submitted by the complaint is same as hoisted in BER SPPRA website.

The procuring Agency may ask the bidder to has completed pervious projects of similar nature in terms of Rule 46 (i) (a) (iv) read with Rue 42 (i) of SPP Rules 2010 and clause 2.6 of the Authority's Procurement Regulations (Works).


It is stated that mere submission of the lowest bid / offer does not warrant an award of procurement contract until and unless, the bid fulfills the required criteria and other terms and conditions outlined in the bidding documents in terms of Rule 49 of SPP Rules 2010.


### **COMPLIANT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE'S DECISION:**

After hearing the parties at length, scrutinizing the procurement record, discerning the applicable rule, the complainant of M/s Maa Ji & Sons is rejected / nullified.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks from and to the chair.

  
(Mr. Hareesh Vankvani)  
Project Manager  
Construction Co, (Private)  
**Member**

  
(Inamuddin)  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Provincial Buildings Division Mirpurkhas  
Representative of A.G Sindh Karachi  
**Member**

  
(Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Junejo)  
Superintending Engineer  
Provincial Highway Circle  
Mirpurkhas  
**Chairman**